VOLUME 10

PAGES 1938 - 2173

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EDWARD O'BANNON, ET AL.,)

PLAINTIFFS,) NO. C-09-3329 CW

VS.) FRIDAY, JUNE 20, 2014

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE) OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA

ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION, ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.) COURT TRIAL

BEFORE THE HONORABLE CLAUDIA WILKEN, JUDGE

REPORTERS' TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFFS: HAUSFELD, LLP

1700 K STREET, NW, SUITE 650

WASHINGTON, DC 20006

BY: MICHAEL D. HAUSFELD, ESQUIRE

SATHYA GOSSELIN, ESQUIRE

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER, LLP

5301 WISCONSIN AVENUE, N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20015

BY: WILLIAM A. ISAACSON, ESQUIRE

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)

REPORTED BY: DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR 4909

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR 8258

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS

TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

1		(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)		
2				
3	FOR PLAINTIFFS:		HEINS, MILLS & OLSON, P.L.C. 310 CLIFTON AVENUE	
4		BY:	MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55403 RENAE D. STEINER, ESQUIRE	
5				
6			VENABLE, LLP 757 7TH STREET, NW	
7		BY:	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004 SETH ROSENTHAL, ESQUIRE	
8				
9			HAUSFELD, LLP 44 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 3400	
10		BY:	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104 MICHAEL P. LEHMANN, ESQUIRE	
11			BRUCE WECKER, ESQUIRE	
12				
13				
14				
1516	FOR DEFENDANT NCAA:		MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE, 35TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071	
17		BY:	GLENN D. POMERANTZ, ESQUIRE	
18			MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 560 MISSION STREET, 27TH FLOOR	
19		BY:	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105	
20		ы.	ROHIT K. SINGLA, ESQUIRE CAROLYN HOECKER LUEDTKE, ESQUIRE	
21			LUIS LI, ESQUIRE JESLYN A. MILLER, ESQUIRE	
22			ODODIN A. MIDDEN, DOÇOTNE	
23				
24				
25				

1	INDEX		
2	DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES:	PAGE	VOL.
3	EMMERT, MARK		
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ISAACSON	1942	10
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POMERANTZ	2018	10
6	RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. ISAACSON	2031	10
7	DELANY, JAMES		
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LI	2034	10
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HAUSFELD	2092	10
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LI	2122	10
11	PETR, TODD		
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. LUEDTKE	2126	10
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT:	EVD.	VOL.
2	1005-1	2107	10
3	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS:		
4	270	1942	10
5	298	1991	10
6	730	1990	10
7	826	1981	10
8	2006	2011	10
9	2011	1942	10
10	2012	1983	10
11	2026	1942	10
12	2049	2006	10
13	2054	1984	10
14	2062	1985	10
15	2065	1949	10
16	2080	2004	10
17	2083	2003	10
18	2084 (PGS. 34 & 35)	2009	10
19	2299	1942	10
20	2582	1942	10
21	3078	2104	10
22	3168 (PGS. 27 & 28)	1993	10
23	3193	2091	10
24	3308 (PGS. 7, 9, 10, 12, 13 & 23)	2173	10
25	3900	2173	10

FRIDAY, JUNE 20, 2014 8:30 A.M. 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 THE CLERK: REMAIN SEATED. COME TO ORDER. COURT IS IN SESSION. 4 5 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. MR. ISAACSON: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 6 7 THE COURT: YOU MAY PROCEED. 8 MR. ISAACSON: JUST FOR THE RECORD, WE WOULD MOVE 9 INTO EVIDENCE WITHOUT OBJECTION PLAINTIFFS' 2026, 2299, 2582, 10 2011, AND 270. 11 WE ARE ALSO MOVING --12 THE COURT: RECEIVED. (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBITS 270, 2011, 2026, 2299 & 2582 13 14 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 15 MR. ISAACSON: -- OVER OBJECTION 2584 AND 2292 --16 THE CLERK: SLOW DOWN. READ THE NUMBERS AGAIN. 17 MR. ISAACSON: 2584 AND 2292, AND WE WILL ADDRESS 18 THAT IN A SEPARATE WRITING TO THE COURT, AND NOT TODAY. 19 THE COURT: OKAY. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION RESUMED 21 BY MR. ISAACSON: 22 GOOD MORNING, DR. EMMERT. 23 A. GOOD MORNING. YESTERDAY WE SPENT SOME TIME TALKING ABOUT MR. RENFRO. 24 25 WHILE HE WAS WITH THE NCAA, AND WORKING WITH YOU IN 2012,

1 HE WENT TO THE ASPEN INSTITUTE ON BEHALF OF THE NCAA TO BE ON 2 A PANEL, CORRECT? 3 I BELIEVE THAT'S RIGHT, YES. THE PANEL WAS COLLEGE SPORTS AT THE CROSSROADS, 4 ENTERTAINMENT AND EDUCATION, AND IT INCLUDED A DISCUSSION OF 5 THE ANTITRUST LAWSUITS AGAINST THE NCAA; IS THAT CORRECT? 6 7 I DON'T KNOW THAT. Α. 8 Q. DO YOU --9 MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE SHOW HIM 2597? 10 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 11 THE CLERK: SORRY. GIVE ME ONE SECOND. 12 MR. ISAACSON: MATT? OH, I'M SORRY. 13 THE CLERK: THERE YOU GO. 14 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 15 MR. ISAACSON: THIS IS JUST SOMETHING WE MARKED LAST 16 NIGHT. WE LOOKED THIS UP LAST NIGHT. I'M JUST USING THIS TO 17 SEE IF THIS REFRESHES HIS RECOLLECTION. SATH HAS A COPY. 18 BY MR. ISAACSON: 19 THIS IS OFF OF THE WEBSITE OF THE ASPEN INSTITUTE. AND 20 21 YOU'LL SEE IT'S "COLLEGE SPORTS AT THE CROSSROADS, 22 ENTERTAINMENT OR EDUCATION?" 23 AND IT NOTES THAT -- THE ISSUE OF ANTITRUST LAWSUITS AND IT DISCUSSES THE SPEAKERS. 24

DOES THIS HELP YOU REMEMBER THAT THE NCAA SENT MR. RENFRO

1 IN 2012 WHILE YOU WERE PRESIDENT TO SPEAK ON THESE TOPICS AT 2 THE ASPEN INSTITUTE? 3 I REMEMBER THAT MR. RENFRO WENT TO THAT EVENT AND I KNOW THAT HE WAS ON A PANEL. I DIDN'T KNOW ALL THE TOPICS UNTIL 4 5 YOU JUST SHOWED THEM TO ME. SO, YES. 6 O. ALL RIGHT. 7 AND THEN AT THE NCAA CONVENTION IN 2013, DO YOU RECALL 8 THAT MR. RENFRO SPOKE THERE ON A PANEL ON THE ISSUE -- A PANEL 9 ON THE ISSUE OF SEXUAL ABUSE AND HOW TO ADDRESS THAT IN 10 COLLEGE ATHLETICS? 11 I DON'T REMEMBER THAT, BUT I'LL TAKE YOUR WORD FOR IT. Α. 12 Q. NOW AT THAT -- I WILL SHOW IT TO YOU, SEE IF YOU REMEMBER 13 IT. 14 MR. ISAACSON: 2596. WE PULLED THIS OFF OF THE NCAA 15 WEBSITE LAST NIGHT. IT'S THE 2013 NCAA CONVENTION. 16 WE CLICK THROUGH TO A LINK FOR THE -- CLICK TO THE LINK 17 FOR THE PANEL. 18 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 19 BY MR. ISAACSON: 20 Q. ALL RIGHT. 21 "NCAA EDUCATIONAL SESSION, DEVELOPING A PROACTIVE 22 APPROACH, CREATING POLICIES AND TRADITIONS TO PROTECT 23 ALL MEMBERS OF THE CAMPUS COMMUNITY. RECENT EVENTS 24 HAVE BROUGHT THE ISSUE OF CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE TO THE

NATIONAL CONVERSATION."

```
1
           I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR THIS, BUT ALL I WANT TO ASK YOU
 2
       IS, THIS WAS A HOT TOPIC FOR THE NCAA AT THE TIME, RIGHT?
 3
               MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION. THIS
      PARTICULAR --
 4
 5
                THE COURT: WE DON'T NEED TO USE THE PAPER. YOU CAN
       ASK HIM --
 6
 7
               MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, THE SUBJECT MATTER. THEY
 8
       HAVE AGREED -- THIS RELATES TO ISSUES CONCERNING PENN STATE.
 9
       THEY AGREED BEFORE TRIAL THEY WOULD NOT RAISE ANY ISSUES
10
       RELATING TO PENN STATE.
11
               MR. ISAACSON: ALL I'M ASKING IS WHETHER MR. RENFRO
12
       WAS SENT TO THE NCAA CONVENTION TO SPEAK ABOUT A HOT TOPIC FOR
13
       THE NCAA.
14
                MR. POMERANTZ: THAT'S FINE.
               MR. ISAACSON: THEN I'M DONE WITH THAT.
15
16
                THE COURT: OKAY.
17
                THE WITNESS: HE WAS AMONG A NUMBER OF SPEAKERS THAT
       ARE AFFILIATED WITH THE NCAA THAT WAS SPEAKING AT THAT EVENT,
18
19
      YES.
      BY MR. ISAACSON:
20
21
         ALL RIGHT. LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT MR. RENFRO'S BIOGRAPHY ON
22
       THE NCAA WEBSITE FOR THE CONVENTION. "RENFRO HAS SERVED AS A
23
       SPOKESMAN."
24
                      (DOCUMENT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)
25
                "RENFRO HAS SERVED AS A SPOKESMAN FOR THE NCAA IN
```

1 EVERY MAJOR MARKET NEWSPAPER IN THE COUNTRY. HAS 2 PARTICIPATED IN HUNDREDS OF TELEVISION AND RADIO 3 INTERVIEWS, AND IS MEDIA TRAINED SENIOR MANAGEMENT FOR INTERVIEWS ON HBO, ESPN, FOX SPORTS NEWS, COURT 4 5 TV, AND OTHERS." IS THAT CORRECT? 6 7 YES. Α. 8 Q. (READING) 9 "HIS OP-ED PIECES AND COLUMNS WERE PUBLISHED IN 10 U.S.A. TODAY, NEW YORK TIMES, WASHINGTON POST, LOS ANGELES TIMES, AND OTHER PAPERS, CORRECT? 11 12 Α. YES. 13 Q. (READING) 14 "IN HIS CAPACITY AS VICE PRESIDENT, SENIOR ADVISER, 15 RENFRO WROTE HUNDREDS OF SPEECHES, POSITION PAPERS, 16 CONGRESSIONAL TESTIMONY, AND HE, IN FACT, HAS 17 TESTIFIED BEFORE CONGRESS ON ISSUES IN COLLEGE SPORTS." 18 19 WAS THAT CORRECT? I ASSUME IT IS. THAT ALL OCCURRED BEFORE MY TIME WITH THE 20 Α. 21 NCAA. 22 BASED ON WHAT YOU KNOW, IS THAT CORRECT INFORMATION? Q. 23 I HAVE NO REASON TO NOT BELIEVE IT. Α. WHEN MR. RENFRO RETIRED, YOU SAID THIS TO THE PRESS. 24 **Q**. 25 "HE BRINGS TO HIS JOB EXPERIENCES VIRTUALLY NOBODY

ELSE ALIVE HAS. SO I CAN PUT SOMEBODY ELSE IN THAT 1 2 BOX, BUT THEY DON'T BRING THE SKILL, THAT KNOWLEDGE, 3 AND THOSE EXPERIENCES. HE'S IRREPLACEABLE." YOU SAID THAT, DIDN'T YOU? 4 5 I ASSUME SO. Α. NOW, BEFORE -- BEFORE YOU JOINED THE NCAA, I WANT TO SHOW 6 7 YOU SOMETHING MR. RENFRO WROTE BECAUSE I BELIEVE IT CONTINUED 8 AFTER YOU JOINED. I WANT TO SEE IF YOU REMEMBER THIS. 9 MR. ISAACSON: PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2065. IF I MAY I APPROACH, YOUR HONOR? 10 11 THE COURT: YES. 12 MR. ISAACSON: I WOULD LIKE TO HAND YOU THE EXHIBIT. 13 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 14 BY MR. ISAACSON: 15 THE REASON I'M HANDING YOU THIS, SIR, IS THIS BEGINS WITH 16 AN EMAIL AT 2065-1 FROM MR. RENFRO ON MAY 2010 SO THAT'S 17 BEFORE YOU JOINED THE NCAA. AND HE'S ATTACHING ONE-PAGERS. AND IF YOU JUST PAGE THROUGH THE ATTACHMENTS THAT ARE IN 18 19 FRONT OF YOU, YOU WILL SEE ONE-PAGERS ON A VARIETY OF TOPICS, INCLUDING STUDENT ATHLETE LIKENESSES, LEAD VERSUS SERVE, 20 21 FISCAL MODEL OF ATHLETICS, DIVERSITY, ET CETERA. 22 IF YOU CAN JUST PAGE THROUGH AND TELL ME WHETHER YOU 23 REMEMBER THESE ONE-PAGERS EXISTING AND EVENTUALLY BEING PUT ON 24 THE NCAA WEBSITE.

I REMEMBER DURING MY TRANSITION THERE WERE A VARIETY OF

```
1
      MATERIALS PROVIDED TO ME, AND I WON'T -- I DON'T SPECIFICALLY
 2
      REMEMBER THIS DOCUMENT, BUT IT WOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH WHAT
 3
       I -- WHAT I SAW THAT -- THAT THIS DOCUMENT MIGHT HAVE BEEN
      PROVIDED TO ME.
 4
      Q. OKAY.
 5
          NOW THE LAST TWO PAGES, 2065-11, COMMERCIALISM, AND
 6
 7
       2065-12, AMATEURISM, I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT, BUT FIRST LET ME
 8
       SEE IF I CAN AGREE WITH YOU ON THE HISTORY OF THESE.
 9
           THE -- IT'S OUR -- I WILL REPRESENT TO YOU THAT -- YOU
10
      KNOW WHO DR. STAUROWSKY IS?
11
      Α.
         YES.
       Q. DR. STAUROWSKY TOOK AT LEAST SOME OF THESE ONE PAGES OFF
12
13
       THE NCAA WEBSITE, AND THEN SHE CITED THEM IN ONE OF HER
14
       ARTICLES IN THE FOOTNOTES -- OR IN THE BIBLIOGRAPHY.
15
                MR. ISAACSON: AND IF WE LOOK AT 2067-1 --
16
               MR. POMERANTZ: 2067 --
17
               MR. ISAACSON: -1.
           AND I WOULD MOVE INTO EVIDENCE 2065.
18
19
                MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
20
                THE WITNESS: I'M SORRY, 206?
               MR. ISAACSON: 7.1, WHICH IS GOING TO COME UP ON THE
21
22
       SCREEN.
23
                THE CLERK: OKAY ONE --
                THE COURT: RECEIVED.
24
25
```

1 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2065 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 2 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 3 THE WITNESS: SO THAT'S NOT IN THESE PAPERS THAT I HAVE? 4 5 MR. ISAACSON: IT IS, BUT THIS IS SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT. 6 MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, JUST SO THE RECORD IS 7 CLEAR, I THINK WE JUST -- HE JUST MOVED INTO EVIDENCE 2065; IS 8 THAT CORRECT? 9 MR. ISAACSON: YES. 10 MR. POMERANTZ: WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO THAT. 11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THAT WILL BE RECEIVED. (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2065 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 12 13 BY MR. ISAACSON: 14 2067-1, YOU WILL SEE AT THE BOTTOM --15 MR. ISAACSON: IF YOU CAN BLOW IT UP FOR HIM, MATT. 16 THIS IS THE DISCUSSION OF AMATEURISM. 17 BY MR. ISAACSON: THERE'S A WEBSITE LINK FOR NCAA.ORG, AND THEN IT SAYS 18 19 LATER IN THE CHAIN, 2010/EMMERT PART 5. AND IF YOU LOOK AT 20 2068.1, YOU'LL SEE A SIMILAR DESIGNATION FOR COMMERCIALISM. 21 THESE ARE TWO OF THE ONE-PAGERS. 22 SO, AM I CORRECT -- AND THESE ARE NO LONGER PUBLICLY 23 AVAILABLE. THEY HAVE EITHER BEEN TAKEN DOWN OR PUT INTO A 24 NCAA-ONLY ACCESS SITE. 25 AND BASED ON THE DESIGNATION "EMMERT" IN THERE, WOULD IT

BE FAIR TO CONCLUDE THAT THESE ONE-PAGERS WERE PART OF THE 1 2 INFORMATION THAT YOU WERE GIVEN AS PART OF YOUR TRANSITION TO 3 REVIEW AND AT LEAST FOR A WHILE MADE AVAILABLE PUBLICLY? A. I ASSUME THAT'S RIGHT, BUT, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW PRECISELY 4 5 WHAT THAT -- WHAT THAT TAG LINE MEANS, BUT I ASSUME THAT'S 6 CORRECT. 7 Q. LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT 2067.1. 8 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 9 OKAY. THIS IS THE ONE-PAGER WRITTEN BY MR. RENFRO ON 10 AMATEURISM. 11 MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, IF HE'S TALKING ABOUT --12 ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT 2067 OR 2065? 13 MR. ISAACSON: I STARTED WITH 2065. I'M NOW ON 14 2067.1. 15 MR. POMERANTZ: THE ONLY -- ON 2067, WE DON'T KNOW 16 EXACTLY WHERE THIS CAME FROM. WE DO SEE THE WEBSITE AT THE 17 BOTTOM, BUT WE HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO FIND IT. IT'S NOT A 18 BATES-STAMPED DOCUMENT THAT WAS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY. 19 MR. ISAACSON: AND THE HISTORY OF THIS IS IS WHAT I EXACTLY EXPLAINED. 20 21 DR. STAUROWSKY, WHO'S PREPARED TO TESTIFY TO THIS, TOOK IT 22 OFF THE WEB. IT'S NO LONGER AVAILABLE ON THE WEB AFTER SHE 23 PUBLISHED -- EITHER BEFORE OR AFTER SHE PUBLISHED HER ARTICLE. 24 AND THE ORIGINAL DESIGNATION IS THERE, AND IF YOU TRY TO GO TO 25

IT, IT SAYS YOU CAN'T HAVE IT ANYMORE.

```
MR. POMERANTZ: IS IT DIFFERENT THAN WHAT YOU SHOWED
 1
 2
      HIM IN 2065?
 3
               MR. ISAACSON: I HAVEN'T CHECKED LINE BY LINE BECAUSE
       2065 -- BECAUSE THIS IS THE ONE THAT WAS PUT ON THE NCAA
 4
 5
       WEBSITE, SO IT IS OF MORE CONCERN TO ME THAN THE INTERNAL
       DOCUMENT FROM EARLIER.
 6
 7
               MR. POMERANTZ: AND THE ONLY PROBLEM WITH THAT IS WE
 8
       DON'T KNOW IT WAS FROM THE NCAA WEBSITE BECAUSE WE DON'T -- IT
 9
       IS JUST DR. STAUROWSKY SAYING THAT.
10
                THE COURT: WE CAN CALL DR. STAUROWSKY BACK.
11
                MR. POMERANTZ: I'M NOT ASKING THAT. I'M JUST SAYING
12
       IF IT IS NO DIFFERENT THAN 2065, LET'S JUST USE 2065.
13
                THE COURT: IF YOU GET A CHANCE TO CHECK LATER ON,
14
       YOU CAN TELL ME AND WE'LL DO THAT.
15
               MR. ISAACSON: RIGHT. BUT WE WILL BE MOVING, IF
16
      NECESSARY, WE WILL CALL DR. STAUROWSKY TO SAY SHE TOOK THOSE
17
       OFF THE WEB.
                THE COURT: OR YOU CAN USE 2065 IF IT'S EXACTLY THE
18
19
       SAME, ONCE YOU GET A CHANCE TO COMPARE IT WORD BY WORD.
               MR. ISAACSON: THERE'S --
20
21
                THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON.
22
                MR. ISAACSON: THERE'S SOME ADDITIONAL SIGNIFICANCE
23
       TO THE FACT THAT THIS WAS PUBLICLY AVAILABLE IS MY ONLY POINT.
24
      BY MR. ISAACSON:
25
         ALL RIGHT.
```

```
ON AMATEURISM UNDER "CURRENT CHALLENGES", IT SAYS "AS THE
 1
 2
       SCALE", AT THE END.
 3
          PARDON ME. WOULD YOU MIND IF I JUST READ THE FULL
      PARAGRAPH?
 4
 5
       Q.
          ABSOLUTELY.
          THANK YOU.
 6
       Α.
 7
                        (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
 8
           OKAY. I'M SORRY, GO AHEAD.
 9
           ONE OF THE THINGS THAT IS WRITTEN IN THIS DOCUMENT IS:
      Q.
                "AS THE SCALE OF BOTH REVENUE GENERATION AND SPENDING
10
11
                HAS GROWN OVER THE LAST FEW DECADES, THERE IS A
12
                GENERAL SENSE THAT QUOTE 'BIG-TIME ATHLETICS IS IN
13
                CONFLICT WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM'. THERE IS
14
                SOME CRITICAL MASS OF MAKING AND SPENDING MONEY ABOVE
15
                WHICH CONVENTIONAL WISDOM HOLDS INTERCOLLEGIATE
16
                ATHLETICS IS NO LONGER VIEWED AS AMATEUR SPORTS."
17
           DO YOU REMEMBER THOSE TYPES OF STATEMENTS BEING INCLUDED
       IN THE BRIEFING PAPERS THAT YOU REVIEWED WHEN YOU BECAME NCAA
18
19
       PRESIDENT?
20
       A. AS WE DISCUSSED YESTERDAY AT LENGTH, MR. RENFRO USED A LOT
21
       OF GENERALIZATIONS LIKE AS ARE EXPRESSED HERE. "THERE IS A
22
       GENERAL SENSE." I DON'T KNOW TO WHOM HE'S REFERRING WHEN HE
23
       SAYS "A GENERAL SENSE".
24
           DID WE HAVE AND DO -- DO THE MEMBERS CONSTANTLY HAVE
```

CONCERNS ABOUT THE INTERACTION BETWEEN COMMERCIALISM AND

1 AMATEUR STATUS? OF COURSE THEY DO.

SO THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION IS THIS WOULD BE A VERY COMMON KIND OF CONVERSATION AROUND INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS ON A REGULAR BASIS, AND HAS BEEN FOR A HUNDRED YEARS.

Q. ALL RIGHT.

MY ACTUAL QUESTION WAS, WHETHER THIS WAS PART OF THE BRIEFING YOU RECEIVED WHEN YOU BECAME NCAA PRESIDENT.

- A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
- Q. OKAY.

THE -- DO YOU HAVE ANY DISAGREEMENT WITH THE STATEMENT

THAT AS THE SCALE OF BOTH REVENUE GENERATION AND SPENDING HAS

GROWN OVER THE LAST FEW DECADES, THERE IS A GENERAL SENSE THAT

BIG-TIME ATHLETICS IS IN CONFLICT WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF

AMATEURISM?

- A. I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS A LEVEL OF CONCERN, BOTH IN THE PUBLIC AND AMONG THE MEMBERS, ABOUT THE CONFLICTS BETWEEN COMMERCIAL PRESSURES AND AMATEURISM, YES.
- Q. AND THERE'S NO QUESTION IN YOUR MIND THAT AT A CERTAIN

 LEVEL OF COMMERCIALISM THAT THAT IS A THREAT TO THE PRINCIPLE

 OF AMATEURISM?
- A. I'M NOT SURE EXACTLY WHAT MR. RENFRO MEANT IN THIS -- IN THIS REGARD.
- Q. I'M NOW ASKING ABOUT WHAT YOU MEAN. ALL RIGHT? I'M NOT

 CONCERNED NOW ABOUT MR. RENFRO. ALL RIGHT?
 - THERE IS NO QUESTION IN YOUR MIND THAT AT A CERTAIN POINT

- OF COMMERCIALISM THERE BECOMES A CONFLICT BETWEEN

 COMMERCIALISM AND THE PRINCIPLES OF AMATEURISM; ISN'T THAT

 RIGHT?
 - A. IT DEPENDS ON WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT WHEN YOU DESCRIBE COMMERCIALISM.
 - SO, AS WE DISCUSSED YESTERDAY, FOR EXAMPLE, I THINK DIRECT PRODUCT ENDORSEMENT BY A STUDENT ATHLETE IS INAPPROPRIATE. AS I STIPULATED YESTERDAY, I LOOK AT SOME OF THE -- PERSONALLY, I LOOK AT SOME OF THE IMAGES YOU PUT IN FRONT OF ME AND OTHERS IN THE COURTROOM, AND I FIND THOSE OBJECTIONABLE.
 - SO, WHAT I FIND AN OBJECTIONABLE USE OF COMMERCIALIZATION
 IS, IN LARGE PART, IRRELEVANT. I'M NOT SOMEONE WHO PASSES
 JUDGMENT ON THOSE. THAT'S UP TO THE MEMBERSHIP.
 - Q. ALL RIGHT.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

- YOU'RE NOT SOMEONE WHO PASSES JUDGMENT ON THE LEVEL OF USE OF -- THE LEVEL OF COMMERCIALIZATION OF COLLEGE ATHLETES.
- 17 THAT'S UP TO THE MEMBERSHIP; IS THAT RIGHT?
 - A. YES. THEY'RE THE ONES THAT ESTABLISH THE RULES, NOT ME.
- Q. WELL THE NCAA DOES HAVE SOME RULES, AS YOU SAY, FOR COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION?
 - A. ALL OF WHICH WERE CREATED BY THE MEMBERSHIP. AND YOU ARE
 ASKING ME MY PERSONAL OPINION. THOSE ARE TWO VERY DIFFERENT
 THINGS.
 - Q. I AGREE.
- 25 THE 2068-1. THIS IS THE ONE-PAGER ON COMMERCIALISM.

1 MR. ISAACSON: PLEASE SHOW HIM THE PARAGRAPH ON 2 CURRENT CHALLENGES. 3 (PAGE DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) BY MR. ISAACSON: 4 5 I'M GOING TO ASK YOU ABOUT THE LAST PART OF THE PARAGRAPH, BUT PLEASE READ THE WHOLE PARAGRAPH IF YOU WANT. 6 TELL ME WHEN 7 YOU ARE READY FOR A QUESTION. 8 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 9 Α. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. IT'S WRITTEN THERE: 10 **Q**. 11 "THERE HAS EMERGED A STRONG SENSE AMONG SOME. THE 12 KNIGHT COMMISSION, THE DRAKE GROUP, THE COALITION ON 13 INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS THAT THE DEVELOPMENT OF 14 INCREASED DOLLARS ACQUIRED THROUGH CORPORATE 15 RELATIONSHIPS DOES NOT SQUARE WITH THE PRINCIPLE OF 16 AMATEURISM, ESPECIALLY WHEN IMAGES OF STUDENT 17 ATHLETES, EVEN THROUGH THE USE OF GAME VIDEO, ARE USED IN PROXIMITY TO COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS." 18 19 DO YOU AGREE THOSE CONCERNS HAVE BEEN EXPRESSED BY THOSE 20 GROUPS? 21 YES. Α. 22 NOW ONE -- WE'VE TALK ABOUT THE KNIGHT COMMISSION. WE'VE 23 TALKED ABOUT THE DRAKE GROUP DURING THIS CASE. I WANT TO ASK 24 YOU ABOUT THE COALITION ON INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS.

I DON'T REMEMBER THAT I DISCUSSED THE DRAKE GROUP WITH

YOU. 1 2 I SAID DURING THE TRIAL. 3 A. OKAY. MR. ISAACSON: IF WE CAN GO BACK TO THE SECOND 4 5 CENTURY REPORT, WHICH PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2017. AND I WANT TO LOOK AT PAGE 36 AND 37. 6 7 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 8 BY MR. ISAACSON: 9 YOU WILL REMEMBER WE REVIEWED YESTERDAY PARTS OF THE 10 PRESIDENTIAL TASK FORCE ON THE FUTURE OF DIVISION I 11 INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS? 12 Α. YES. 13 AT 36, A SECTION ON THE VALUE OF INTEGRATION, IT SAYS IN 14 THE UPPER RIGHT-HAND CORNER: 15 "DURING THE LATE 1970'S AND EARLY 1980'S, FOR 16 EXAMPLE, THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EDUCATORS AND 17 ATHLETIC ADMINISTRATORS BEGAN TO SHIFT." IT GOES ON TO SAY: 18 19 "THAT FACULTY MEMBERS WHO SERVED AS KEEPERS OF 20 ACADEMIC AND INSTITUTIONAL VALUES WERE NO LONG AS INTRICATELY INVOLVED IN THE BUDGETING PROCESS OR IN 21 DECISION-MAKING IN ATHLETICS AS THEY HAD BEEN IN THE 22 23 PAST. AND SOME ATHLETIC PROGRAMS BEGAN TO DRIFT AWAY 24 FROM THE CORE VALUES OF THE UNIVERSITY AND ESTABLISH

THEIR OWN AUTONOMY. ATHLETIC DIRECTORS WHO WERE

INCREASINGLY CONSUMED WITH GENERATING REVENUES, 1 2 FACILITY GROWTH, AND A COMPLEX FINANCIAL STRUCTURE 3 BECAME MORE AND MORE ISOLATED FROM THE ISSUES OF THE ACADEMY." 4 5 YOU AGREED WITH THOSE CONCERNS EXPRESSED IN THE REPORT THAT -- BY THE TASK FORCE OF WHICH YOU WERE A MEMBER, RIGHT? 6 7 I ACCEPTED THAT THOSE STATEMENTS MIGHT DESCRIBE THE 8 SITUATION AT SOME CAMPUSES. THEY CERTAINLY DIDN'T DESCRIBE 9 THEM AT THE UNIVERSITIES I WAS PART OF. 10 Q. ALL RIGHT. 11 AT 2017-37, THEN ONE OF THE POINTS THAT THE TASK FORCE 12 URGED WAS REFERRED TO THE COALITION ON INTERCOLLEGIATE 13 ATHLETICS, WHICH WE JUST SAW IN THE ONE-PAGER. 14 (PAGE DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 15 NOW, THE COALITION ON INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS IS A 16 COALITION ON FACULTY WHO ADDRESS ISSUES IN COLLEGE ATHLETICS. 17 IS THAT A FAIR SUMMARY? 18 YES. Α. 19 Q. AND THE TASK FORCE SAID: 20 "THE COIA IS AN INFORMED GROUP" -- UP IN THE UPPER 21 RIGHT-HAND CORNER, "WITH A WELL THOUGHT-OUT AGENDA 22 AND ITS DISPLAYED A COMMITMENT TO A COLLABORATIVE 23 APPROACH IN THE CHANGING CULTURE. THE COIA HAS BEEN 24 SUCCESSFUL TO DATE PRECISELY BECAUSE ITS MEMBERS 25 UNDERTOOK TO UNDERSTAND THE NATURE OF THE

1 INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS ENTERPRISE AND THE FACTS OF 2 THE MATTER." 3 THAT WAS A STATEMENT YOU AGREED WITH, RIGHT, SIR? YES. 4 Α. 5 OKAY. Q. AND BY THE TIME YOU BECAME PRESIDENT, THAT COALITION WAS 6 7 STILL WARNING THAT THE DEVELOPMENT OF INCREASED DOLLARS 8 ACQUIRED THROUGH CORPORATE RELATIONSHIPS DOES NOT SQUARE WITH 9 THE PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM. IS THAT FAIR? 10 Α. YES. 11 Q. YOU CALLED MR. RENFRO A PROVOCATEUR, AND I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN BY THE TERM. 12 13 WAS MR. BRAND BEING A PROVOCATEUR WHEN HE SAID IN A 14 DOCUMENT I SHOWED YOU: 15 "THE UNIVERSITY PRESIDENTS WANT IT BOTH WAYS. THEY 16 WANT TO BE ABLE TO RAIL AGAINST COMMERCIALISM AND 17 THEY WANT THE REVENUES THAT COMES WITH CORPORATE ADS." 18 19 IS THAT BEING A PROVOCATEUR? 20 HE WAS EXPRESSING HIS OPINION, OBVIOUSLY. Α. 21 WHAT ABOUT MR. DEMPSEY, ONE OF YOUR PREDECESSORS, WHEN HE 22 SAID, "THE LEVEL OF CYNICISM OVER COMMERCIALISM OF OUR MOST 23 ADVISABLE ATHLETIC PROGRAMS HAS REACHED EPIDEMIC PROPORTIONS." WAS THAT BEING A PROVOCATEUR? 24

I WILL LET YOU MAKE THAT JUDGMENT. HE WAS EXPRESSING HIS

1 OPINION. 2 ALL RIGHT. 3 YOU TALKED ABOUT THE PASS-THROUGH ON THE NCAA.COM CITE 4 YESTERDAY. 5 THE T-SHIRTS? YES. Α. 6 Q. YES, YES, YES. 7 THE -- AND I WANT TO SEE IF IT WORKED LIKE -- SOMETHING 8 LIKE THIS. 9 I'M GOING TO HAVE SOME HELP FROM MY FRIEND, MATT, HERE. 10 MR. ISAACSON: MATT, CAN YOU GO TO THE FLORIDA 11 STATE'S HOME SITE? 12 (DOCUMENT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 13 FSU.EDU, CLICK ON ATHLETICS? 14 CLICK ON IT AGAIN, AND THEN CLICK ON OFFICIAL SEMINOLES 15 GEAR AT THE TOP. 16 BY MR. ISAACSON: 17 YOU KNOW WHO JAMEIS WINSTON IS, RIGHT? 18 Α. I DO. 19 WON THE HEISMAN TROPHY, IS A STAR QUARTERBACK FOR FLORIDA Q. 20 STATE, AND HE REMAINS A STUDENT, CORRECT? 21 A. YES. 22 MR. ISAACSON: NOW, WOULD YOU TYPE "WINSTON" IN? 23 ALL RIGHT. THEN YOU SEE -- WOULD YOU HIGHLIGHT THE CARDS 24 THERE?

BY MR. ISAACSON: 1 2 IS THAT THE TYPE OF PASS-THROUGH TO COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS 3 THAT NCAA.COM WAS DOING? I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THAT KIND OF PRODUCT WAS 4 5 AVAILABLE THROUGH THE NCAA WEBSITE OR NOT, BUT THAT'S PRECISELY WHAT I HAD THEM TAKE DOWN SO THAT YOU COULDN'T DO. 6 7 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND SOMETHING YOU MADE NO EFFORT TO SEE IF UNIVERSITIES 8 9 HAVE STOPPED DOING? I HAVE NOT PERSONALLY, NO. 10 11 MR. ISAACSON: I'M GOING TO MOVE TO HAVE -- WE'LL 12 OFFER A SCREEN SHOT OF THIS PAGE AS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2603, 13 AND WE WILL DISCUSS IT WITH COUNSEL LATER. 14 PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT -- THIS IS -- I THINK WE ALL 15 UNDERSTAND THIS IS LIVE. 16 LET'S GOING TO THE OREGON DUCKS WEBSITE. 17 BY MR. ISAACSON: YOU KNOW WHO MARCUS MARIOTA IS? 18 Q. 19 I DO. Α. 20 Q. STAR QUARTERBACK FOR OREGON? 21 (DOCUMENT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 22 MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE SHOW THE LINK FOR THE JERSEY 23 WITH HIS NUMBER? YOU GOT THAT, MATT? IF YOU DON'T HAVE IT, I'M GOING TO COME BACK TO IT. 24 25 ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO TO THE GEORGIA TECH'S TWEET.

1 (DOCUMENT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 2 BY MR. ISAACSON: 3 ALL RIGHT, SIR, YOU CAN SHOW THIS. THIS IS A TWEET BY GEORGIA TECH MARKETING YESTERDAY. 4 5 "WE'VE GOT NEW GEORGIA TECH ATHLETIC SCHEDULE CARDS. WHO NEEDS 'EM? AND SEND US THE ADDRESS FOR YOUR BUSINESS AND 6 7 WE'LL SEND 'EM OUT." 8 YOU SEE DOMINOS, YOU SEE COCA-COLA, AND YOU SEE ALL THE 9 PLAYERS -- OR SEVERAL PLAYERS. DO YOU CONSIDER THIS COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION OF THE 10 11 PLAYERS? 12 A. YOU KNOW, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THE SPECIFIC RULES 13 GOVERNING COMMERCIALIZATION WOULD APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE OF 14 THIS. I PERSONALLY DON'T FIND IT APPROPRIATE AT ALL. 15 Q. ALL RIGHT. 16 THE NCAA HAS NO MECHANISMS IN PLACE TO REGULATE THIS SORT 17 OF ACTIVITY, RIGHT? 18 AS I JUST SAID, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS A 19 DIRECT VIOLATION OF THE -- OF THE COMMERCIALISM RULES OR NOT. 20 Q. ALL RIGHT. 21 MR. ISAACSON: I WILL MOVE TO ADMIT THIS AS 2598. WE 22 CAN DISCUSS IT LATER IF YOU WANT. 23 BY MR. ISAACSON: THE PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2340-85. THIS IS A RULE. AND 24 25

THIS GOES TO THE POINT YOU WERE JUST RAISING INTO A QUESTION

THE JUDGE ASKED YESTERDAY. 1 2 MR. ISAACSON: IF WE CAN LOOK AT 12.5.2.1A. 3 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) BY MR. ISAACSON: 4 5 THE COURT ASKED YESTERDAY WHAT'S THE RULE ON DIRECT -- NO 6 DIRECT ENDORSEMENT? AND YOU'LL SEE HERE, WE'VE PULLED UP THE 7 RULE THAT TALKS ABOUT -- REFERS TO DIRECT PROMOTIONS. 8 THIS IS THE RULE THAT YOU'VE BEEN DISCUSSING THAT 9 PROHIBITS DIRECT PROMOTIONS OR DIRECT ENDORSEMENTS? 10 Α. YES. 11 Q. OKAY. NOW YESTERDAY, ONE OF THE CONCERNS YOU EXPRESSED TO 12 THE COURT WAS IF A TRUST FUND WAS SET UP THERE, COULD BE 13 MONETIZATION OF THAT, SOMEONE COULD BORROW MONEY BECAUSE THEY 14 HAVE MONEY IN THE TRUST FUND. REMEMBER THAT? 15 16 A. YES. 17 MR. ISAACSON: NOW IF WE CAN LOOK AT 2340-236, RULE 18 1611.1. 19 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 20 AND THE RULE BOOK IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. SO I'M -- I 21 THINK WE AGREE WE WILL PUT IN ANY PAGES DISCUSSED IN THE CASE. 22 BY MR. ISAACSON: 23 Q. BUT THE -- IT SAYS HERE THAT RECEIPT OF A BENEFIT BY 24 STUDENT ATHLETES, FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS IS NOT A VIOLATION 25 OF NCAA RULES IF IT IS DEMONSTRATED THAT THE SAME BENEFIT IS

1 GENERALLY AVAILABLE TO THE INSTITUTIONAL STUDENTS AND THEIR 2 FAMILY MEMBERS OR FRIENDS.

WOULD YOU CONSIDER MONETIZATION OF A TRUST FUND FOR STUDENT ATHLETES TO BE CONSISTENT WITH THIS RULE?

- I'M NOT SURE. Α.
- SO YOU DON'T ACTUALLY KNOW WHETHER THE NCAA RULES WOULD PERMIT MONETIZATION OF A TRUST FUND?
- I BELIEVE I SAID I DON'T KNOW. Α.
- Q. OKAY.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 I THINK IT WOULD REQUIRE DEEPER CONSIDERATION THAN ONE THAT'S AVAILABLE RIGHT NOW.
- 12 Q. ALL RIGHT.

YOU DISCUSSED HOUSING IN YOUR TESTIMONY, AND THE RULES THAT HAVE BEEN PASSED TO MAKE SURE THAT ATHLETES ARE LIVING WITH STUDENTS.

AND AS I UNDERSTAND THAT RULE, THAT TEAMS CAN ALL LIVE TOGETHER IN THE SAME DORM OR SAME DORMITORY FACILITY AS LONG AS HALF OF THE RESIDENTS ARE NONATHLETES; IS THAT CORRECT?

- Α. YES.
- SO THEY DON'T HAVE TO BE SCATTERED AROUND CAMPUS. THEY 0. CAN ALL LIVE TOGETHER, BUT WE JUST HAVE TO MAKE SURE THAT AT LEAST HALF OF THE STUDENTS IN THE FACILITY ARE NONATHLETES?
- THAT'S PERMITTED BY RULES. MANY UNIVERSITIES HANDLE IT IN DIFFERENT WAYS. SOME INSIST ON GREATER INTEGRATION ACROSS RESIDENCE HALLS AND DON'T ALLOW CLUSTERING OF STUDENT ATHLETES

- IN ONE FACILITY. IT DEPENDS UPON INSTITUTIONAL PREROGATIVES. 1 2 THE MEMBERSHIP RULE WAS ESTABLISHED TO SET A FLOOR ON 3 THAT -- ON THAT SORT OF ACTIVITY, AND IT GETS MANAGED IN DIFFERENT WAYS. 4 5 SO ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY EFFORTS BY UNIVERSITIES TO COMPETE IN RECRUITING BY BUILDING LARGER AND LARGER AND MORE GRANDIOSE 6 7 LIVING FACILITIES FOR TEAMS THAT ALSO THEN WILL INCLUDE 8 REGULAR STUDENTS? 9 RESIDENCE HALLS ARE USED AS RECRUITING TOOLS FOR ALL Α. 10 STUDENTS IN A VARIETY OF WAYS. AND AS A GENERAL RULE, 11 RESIDENCE HALLS HAVE BEEN IMPROVING CONSISTENTLY TO MAKE THEM 12 MORE ATTRACTIVE TO STUDENT ATHLETES. 13 YES, OF COURSE, SOME UNIVERSITIES HAVE TRIED TO CREATE 14 RESIDENCE HALLS THAT WOULD BE MORE ATTRACTIVE TO STUDENT 15 ATHLETES. 16 O. LET ME ASK YOU IF YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH SOME OF THOSE 17 FACILITIES. MR. ISAACSON: IF WE CAN LOOK AT A NEWS ARTICLE, 18 19 EXHIBIT 2602. PAGE 2. 20 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 21 BY MR. ISAACSON: 22 ALL RIGHT. THIS ARTICLE ALLEGES THAT THERE IS NOW AN ARMS 23 RACE FOR FACILITIES IN WHICH ATHLETES CAN LIVE IN. AND I WANT
 - THE -- FIRST IT BEGINS WITH A NEW FACILITY FOR OHIO -- AT

24

25

TO ASK YOU ABOUT THAT.

OHIO STATE WHERE A MAJORITY OF THE FRESHMAN AND SOPHOMORE
FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL PLAYERS LIVE.

I WANT TO ASK YOU IF YOU ARE AWARE OF THIS RESIDENCE.

MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THIS IS THE NOT

THE PROPER WAY TO USE A DOCUMENT LIKE THIS. THIS IS OBVIOUSLY

HEARSAY.

THEY SHOULD ASK DR. EMMERT IF HE KNOWS SOMETHING. IF HE DOESN'T, THEY CAN REFRESH HIS RECOLLECTION. HE'S JUST LEADING WITH THIS AND READING THE DOCUMENT.

THE COURT: I THINK THAT'S RIGHT.

MR. ISAACSON: OKAY.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

- Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF AN OHIO STATE RESIDENCE THAT WAS COMPLETED LAST YEAR AT A COST OF \$37 MILLION, 186 SQUARE FEET, SIX STORIES, SIX-PERSON SUITES, THREE BEDROOMS, LIVING ROOMS, AND INDIVIDUAL BATHROOMS?
- A. NO.

- Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF PRESS STATEMENTS SAYING THAT THAT OHIO STATE FACILITY COULD BE CONVERTED INTO A FOUR SEASONS WITH MINIMAL RENOVATIONS?
- A. NO.
- Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR AT THE UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY THAT COACH
 CALIPARI HAS BEEN PRAISING THE BUILDING OF WHAT'S CALLED THE
 WILDCAT COAL LODGE, WHICH FEATURES FLAT SCREEN TV'S, A CHEF,
 LEATHER RECLINERS GALORE, AND THAT COACH CALIPARI HAS REFERRED

TO THE LIVING QUARTERS AS THE GOLD STANDARD OF ATHLETE

HOUSING?

A. YES.

- Q. ARE YOU AWARE THAT THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS ANNOUNCED IN JANUARY, IT WOULD BUILD A \$17.5 MILLION PRIVATELY FUNDED APARTMENT COMPLEX THAT HOUSED IN THAT COMPLEX WOULD BE THE MEN'S AND WOMEN'S BASKETBALL PLAYERS AS WELL AS GENERAL POPULATION STUDENTS?
- A. NO.
 - Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF ARTICLES SAYING THAT CALLING THIS -- THIS BUILDING OF FACILITIES IN WHICH THE TEAMS CAN LIVE ALONG WITH NON -- WITH REGULAR STUDENTS AS A LOOPHOLE IN YOUR RULES?
- A. NO, BUT I DON'T DENY THAT THAT'S BEEN WRITTEN.
- Q. ALL RIGHT.

ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH AUBURN'S DONAHUE HALL WHICH WAS COMPLETED LAST YEAR, A \$51 MILLION SUITE-STYLE RESIDENCE HALL WITH 209 ROOMS IN WHICH THE ENTIRE FOOTBALL TEAM LIVES?

- A. NO.
- Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF OKLAHOMA'S \$75 MILLION HEADINGTON HALL STEPS AWAY FROM MEMORIAL STADIUM WHICH INCLUDES SUITES FOR 500 STUDENTS, A HUNDRED OF THEM ARE FOOTBALL PLAYERS. THERE'S A GAME ROOM, 75-SEAT MOVIE THEATER, AND THE SAM BRADFORD TRAINING TABLE. RUNNING BACK ADRIAN PETERSON OF THE MINNESOTA VIKINGS DONATED \$500,000 TO THE PROJECT.
 - ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY OF THAT?

A. I'M MORE THAN WILLING TO STIPULATE THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF

VERY NICE DORMITORIES AND RESIDENCE HALLS BEING BUILT AROUND

THE COUNTRY.

- I'M NOT -- DON'T GO OUT AND INSPECT RESIDENCE HALLS IF THAT'S YOUR POINT.
- Q. YOU SAID YESTERDAY YOU HAD CONCERNS ABOUT STUDENT ATHLETES
 BEING IN A DIFFERENT CLASS FROM STUDENTS IF NIL MONEY WAS
 SHARED.

DO THESE TYPES OF FACILITIES THAT WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT PUT ATHLETES IN A DIFFERENT CLASS FROM THE NORMAL SUBSTITUTE BODY?

- A. IT PUTS THEM IN A CLASS THAT'S THE SAME AS AT LEAST
 50 PERCENT OF THE RESIDENCE HALLS NONATHLETIC RESIDENTS, IF
 THAT'S WHAT YOU MEAN, YES.
- Q. WHAT ABOUT THE STUDENT BODY THAT IS NOT IN THAT DORM?
- A. I'M NOT SURE OF YOUR FAMILIARITY WITH RESIDENCE HALLS ON CAMPUSES, BUT THEY VARY RADICALLY FROM ONE STYLE TO ANOTHER ACROSS THE CAMPUS BASED UPON WHAT'S OLD, WHAT'S NEW.

THERE'S GREAT COMPETITION TO GET INTO THE BEST DORMS. SO

THERE ARE -- THERE'S A VARIETY OF QUALITY OF STANDARD -
QUALITY OF RESIDENCE HALLS ACROSS EVERY CAMPUS. OBVIOUSLY

WHAT YOU ARE DESCRIBING ARE RESIDENCE HALLS THAT ARE EXTREMELY

ATTRACTIVE AND THE STUDENTS THAT LIVE IN THEM I'M SURE FEEL

AND ARE VERY PRIVILEGED IN THAT OPPORTUNITY.

Q. MY QUESTION TO YOU, SIR, IS, IF THE FOOTBALL TEAM LIVES

- TOGETHER IN THE MOST GRANDIOSE DORM ON CAMPUS AND THE NCAA
 RULES ALSO REQUIRE THAT SOME REGULAR STUDENTS BE PERMITTED IN
 THAT DORM, HAVE WE CREATED A DIFFERENT CLASS FOR THOSE
 FOOTBALL PLAYERS FROM ALL THE OTHER STUDENTS ON CAMPUS WHO
 - A. I THINK I JUST ANSWERED THAT OUESTION.

AREN'T IN THAT DORM?

- WHAT ABOUT FOOTBALL STADIUMS, BASKETBALL STADIUMS, THESE ENORMOUS FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL STADIUMS THAT THE PLAYERS PLAY IN; DOES THAT PUT THEM IN A DIFFERENT CLASS ON CAMPUS FROM REGULAR STUDENTS?
 - A. YES. THEY ARE THE ONES WHO PERFORM IN STADIUMS.

ALL RIGHT. WE'LL LET THE RECORD DECIDE THAT.

- Q. WHAT ABOUT NATIONALLY-TELEVISED GAMES, THESE FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL PLAYERS ARE PLAYING IN A VERY POPULAR NATIONALLY TELEVISED GAMES; DOES THAT PUT THEM IN A DIFFERENT CLASS ON CAMPUS FROM REGULAR STUDENTS?
- A. FOR FOOTBALL AND MEN'S BASKETBALLS PLAYERS, YES. THEY ARE
 THE ONES BEING BROADCAST ON TELEVISION. I THINK THAT'S
 SELF-EVIDENT.
- Q. WHAT ABOUT THE ATHLETES WHO STUDENTS THINK WILL GET

 PROFESSIONAL NFL OR NBA CONTRACTS; DOES THAT PUT THEM IN A

 DIFFERENT CLASS ON CAMPUS FROM REGULAR STUDENTS?
 - A. I'M NOT SURE.

Q.

Q. ATHLETES WHO WEAR GEARS FROM CORPORATE SPONSORS THROUGH

CONTRACTS WITH THE SCHOOL, PLAYING IN STADIUMS WITH CORPORATE

- SIGNAGE, APPEAR IN COMMERCIALS FOR UPCOMING NCAA BROADCASTED

 GAMES; DOES ALL OF THAT PUT THEM IN A DIFFERENT CLASS ON

 CAMPUS FROM OTHER STUDENTS?
 - A. IF BY "APPAREL" YOU MEAN WEARING A NIKE OR ADIDAS SHIRT ON CAMPUS, NO, THAT WOULD BE A FAIRLY COMMON EVENT ON MOST AMERICAN CAMPUSES.
 - Q. WHAT ABOUT THE COMBINATION OF ALL OF THOSE THINGS, DOES
 THAT PUT THEM IN A DIFFERENT CATEGORY ON CAMPUS FROM THE
 REGULAR STUDENTS?
 - A. THEY ARE CERTAINLY WIDELY RECOGNIZED AND ARE SEEN AS SOMEONE WHO IS A POPULAR ATHLETE, IF THEY ARE, IN FACT, YOU KNOW, RECOGNIZED AS SUCH.
 - Q. WHAT ABOUT ATHLETES WHO ARE COMMERCIALLY EXPLOITED; ARE
 THEY ANY LONGER IN A POSITION TO GAIN THE ADVANTAGES OF BEING
 A STUDENT ATHLETE IN YOUR OPINION?
 - MR. POMERANTZ: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, ARGUMENTIVE.

 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

- Q. THE -- YOU TALKED ABOUT SCHOOLS LEAVING THE NCAA DIVISION

 I OR THE NCAA TOURNAMENT. THE SMALLER SCHOOLS RECEIVE

 SUBSTANTIAL REVENUES FROM THE NCAA BY VIRTUE OF THE NCAA

 TOURNAMENT.
 - IS THAT A FAIR STATEMENT?
- A. ALL OF THE REVENUES DISTRIBUTE ACROSS ALL OF THE SCHOOLS
 OF THE NCAA, YES.

- Q. RIGHT. AND SO WE LOOKED AT FORM 990S AND WE COULD SEE

 SOMETHING LIKE APPALACHIAN STATE GET \$815,000 IN, I GUESS IN A

 RECENT YEAR.
 - THAT'S THE TYPE OF MONEY THESE SMALLER SCHOOLS GET, RIGHT?
 - A. GENERALLY -- THAT WOULD -- YES. THAT WOULD BE A LARGE

 AMOUNT. THEY MUST HAVE BEEN PARTICIPATING IN THE TOURNAMENT
- 8 Q. ANOTHER LOWER AMOUNT WOULD BE MONMOUTH UNIVERSITY
 9 \$442,000?
- 10 **A.** YES.

DIRECTLY.

4

5

6

7

15

16

- Q. ST. FRANCIS UNIVERSITY IN PENNSYLVANIA, 1800 STUDENTS,

 THEY RECEIVED \$521,000.
- 13 THOSE ARE THE TYPE OF SCHOOLS YOU'RE SAYING WOULD CONSIDER
 14 LEAVING THE NCAA, RIGHT?
 - A. NO, I DIDN'T SAY THEY WOULD CONSIDER LEAVING THE -(SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
- 17 **Q.** OR, I'M SORRY --
 - A. THEY MAY WELL LEAVE DIVISION I.
- 19 Q. ALL RIGHT.
- 20 NOW AT THE TIME YOU JOINED THE NCAA, YOU'VE BEEN THE
 21 PRESIDENT OR CHANCELLOR OF UNIVERSITIES IN THE PAC-12, THE
 22 SEC, AND THE BIG EAST; IS THAT A FAIR SUMMARY?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- Q. WHEN YOU WERE -- WHEN YOU WERE AT LSU, YOU HIRED COACH
- NICK SABAN, WELL-KNOWN, AWAY FROM MICHIGAN STATE. LSU WON THE

- BCS CHAMPIONSHIP, AND THEN YOU MADE NICK SABAN THE NATION'S
 HIGHEST PAID COACH, RIGHT?
- **A.** YES.

- Q. AND AT THE UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, YOU LED A
 \$300 MILLION FOOTBALL STADIUM RENOVATION, AND YOU ALSO MADE
 FOOTBALL COACH STEVE SARKISIAN THE HIGHEST PAID STATE EMPLOYEE
 AT ABOUT \$2 MILLION PER YEAR?
 - A. THE FOOTBALL COACH AT WASHINGTON HAS CONSISTENTLY BEEN THE HIGHEST PAID STATE EMPLOYEE, SO I CERTAINLY DIDN'T CHANGE ANY TREND, IF THAT'S YOUR POINT.
 - Q. ALL RIGHT.
 - THIS -- IN ALL -- AT THE TIME THE NCAA MADE YOU ITS

 PRESIDENT, OKAY, IT WAS WELL-KNOWN THAT YOU WERE THE -- YOU

 WERE THE PERSON WHO MADE NICK SABAN THE HIGHEST PAID FOOTBALL

 COACH IN THE NATION.
 - A. I ASSUME SO.
- Q. OKAY.
 - NOW, THERE'S BEEN DISCUSSION OF THIS IN THIS CASE OF NCAA FORMS FOR CONSENTS FOR PERMISSION TO USE INDIVIDUAL STUDENT ATHLETES FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROMOTING THE NCAA CHAMPIONSHIP.
- 21 AND YOU ARE AWARE THAT THOSE FORMS EXIST, RIGHT?
- **A.** YES.
- Q. YOU ARE VERY CLEAR THAT THOSE ARE LIMITED TO PROMOTING THE

 NCAA CHAMPIONSHIP?
- 25 A. THAT'S THE RULE, YES.

1 Q. AND WHEN THEY SIGN THOSE FORMS, THE PLAYERS ARE RELEASING 2 THEIR RIGHTS FOR THE NCAA TO USE THAT IMAGE FOR THE PURPOSE OF 3 PROMOTING THE CHAMPIONSHIP GAMES. CORRECT? MR. POMERANTZ: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, TO THE EXTENT 4 5 IT'S CALLING FOR A LEGAL CONCLUSION FROM DR. EMMERT. BY MR. ISAACSON: 6 7 Q. YOUR UNDERSTANDING IS --8 THE COURT: I THINK HIS UNDERSTANDING OF IT IS 9 RELEVANT. 10 BY MR. ISAACSON: 11 O. YOUR UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE PLAYERS ARE RELEASING THEIR RIGHT TO THE NCAA TO USE THAT IMAGE FOR THE PURPOSE OF 12 13 PROMOTING THE CHAMPIONSHIP GAMES, CORRECT? 14 THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, THOUGH, AS POINTED OUT, I'M 15 CERTAINLY NOT A LAWYER. 16 O. NO ONE IS SUGGESTING THAT YOU ARE. 17 IT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING THOSE CONSENTS ARE POTENTIALLY INFINITE IN TERMS OF HOW LONG THEY RUN? 18 19 Α. NO. O. IT'S YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THOSE RELEASES ARE 20 21 POTENTIALLY INFINITE IN DURATION? 22 A. I DON'T KNOW THAT. 23 MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE SHOW PAGE 78 OF HIS DEPOSITION? 24 (DEPOSITION DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)

1 BY MR. ISAACSON:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

Q. AND ON THIS PAGE, YOU ARE DISCUSSING THESE RELEASES. AND AT LINE 18 YOU SAY THEY ARE USED FOR PROMOTING CHAMPIONSHIP GAMES FOR WHATEVER PERIOD OF TIME THEY ARE USED TO PROMOTE CHAMPIONSHIP GAMES.

THEN LINE 24 YOU WERE ASKED:

"HOW LONG, INFINITE?"

ANSWER AT LINE 25, CONTINUING ONTO THE NEXT PAGE.

"POTENTIALLY, OF COURSE -- FOR PROMOTING A
CHAMPIONSHIP GAME? YES. FOR THAT SOLE PURPOSE,
YES."

ALL RIGHT. THAT ANSWER WAS CORRECT, WASN'T IT? IT WAS TRUTHFUL TESTIMONY?

- A. AS I SAID, POTENTIALLY, OF COURSE. I'M NOT A LAWYER. I
 CAN'T INTERPRET THIS LANGUAGE FROM A LEGAL PERSPECTIVE.
- Q. MY QUESTION TO YOU WAS, WAS THAT TRUTHFUL TESTIMONY AT YOUR DEPOSITION?
- 18 **A.** YES.
- Q. NOW, THE NCAA RULES MANDATE THAT THE MEMBER COLLEGES AND
 UNIVERSITIES OBTAIN THOSE CONSENT FORMS FROM ATHLETES IN ORDER
 FOR THEM TO PARTICIPATE IN DIVISION I BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL,
 CORRECT?
 - A. NO.
- 24 Q. OR FOR DIVISION I BASKETBALL?
- 25 **A.** NO.

- 1 Q. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY DO?
- 2 **A.** NO.
- 3 Q. ALL RIGHT.
- 4 MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE LOOK AT PAGE 79 OF HIS

5 DEPOSITION?

6

7

8

9

(DEPOSITION DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)

NEVER MIND.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

Q. ALL RIGHT.

- 10 NOW -- AND WHEN YOU REFER TO THESE RIGHTS, WHAT YOU REFER
- 11 TO THESE ARE AS MEDIA RIGHTS, THAT'S WHAT THE STUDENTS ARE
- 12 GIVING UP?
- 13 A. THEIR NAME, IMAGE AND LIKENESS, IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE
- 14 REFERRING TO?
- 15 Q. THE TERM YOU USE IS "MEDIA RIGHTS". YES, THE NAMES,
- 16 IMAGES AND LIKENESS.
- 17 **A.** I MAY NOT HAVE USED IT -- I DON'T RECALL WHETHER I'VE EVER
- 18 USED THE WORD "MEDIA RIGHTS".
- 19 THE, AGAIN, THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS, I USE, LIKE MOST
- 20 PEOPLE, LANGUAGE PROBABLY MORE FLEXIBLY AND LOOSELY THAN A
- LAWYER DOES.
- 22 **Q.** YOU DO HAVE THE UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY ARE GIVING UP
- 23 CERTAIN RIGHTS WHEN THEY SIGN THOSE FORMS?
- 24 **A.** YES.

25

Q. OKAY. NOW, LET'S TALK SOME ABOUT THE VIDEO GAMES.

1 MR. ISAACSON: PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 826. 2 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 3 BY MR. ISAACSON: AT THE BOTTOM I WANT TO SHOW YOU AN EMAIL FROM STEVE 4 5 MALLONEE. HE'S WITH MEMBERSHIP -- HE WAS AT THIS TIME WITH MEMBERSHIP SERVICES AT THE NCAA. 6 7 DO YOU KNOW HIM? 8 I'M SORRY. I DO, BUT COULD I SEE THE FULLEST OF THIS 9 MEMORANDUM? I DON'T KNOW WHAT THIS IS ABOUT OR WHAT ITS 10 CONTEXT IS. 11 SURE. Q. CAN YOU BLOW UP THE TOP PART? 12 Α. 13 Q. I JUST WANTED TO FIRST SHOW YOU WHO IT IS FROM. 14 THE COURT: GIVE HIM THE WHOLE THING IF YOU HAVE IT 15 IN HARD COPY. 16 WHILE YOU ARE DOING THAT, I MISSED SOMETHING AND MAYBE YOU 17 CAN SHOW IT TO ME WHILE HE'S LOOKING AT THAT. THE RULE ABOUT DIRECT PROMOTIONS THAT YOU SHOWED HIM? 18 19 YOU PUT THAT BACK UP ON THE SCREEN? I GUESS MAYBE YOU CAN'T PUT TWO THINGS ON AT ONCE. 20 21 THE WITNESS: I HAVE THIS. 22 MR. ISAACSON: HE HAS THE DOCUMENT. 23 CAN YOU PUT THE RULE BACK UP --24 THE COURT: OR GIVE IT TO ME IN A HARD COPY OR CITE 25 ME WHERE IT IS. I JUST MISSED IT.

```
1
               MR. ISAACSON: SURE. IT'S 12.5.2.1A AT 2340-85. AND
 2
       IT'S ON YOUR SCREEN.
 3
                       (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)
                MR. POMERANTZ: THERE'S MORE TO THAT RULE WHICH WE
 4
 5
       WILL BE GOING OVER WITH OTHER WITNESSES.
                THE COURT: OKAY.
 6
 7
           RIGHT AFTER IT OR SOMEWHERE ELSE?
 8
                MR. POMERANTZ: IT WILL BE ON -- PROBABLY MONDAY.
 9
                THE COURT: NO. I MEAN IS IT RIGHT -- CAN I READ IT
      RIGHT HERE? IS IT IN THE SAME SECTION?
10
11
               MR. POMERANTZ: IT'S IN THE SAME SECTION, YES.
                MR. ISAACSON: I HAVE THE PAGE AVAILABLE. I HAVE THE
12
13
      FULL PAGE AVAILABLE.
14
                THE COURT: GIVE IT TO MS. RILEY.
15
                            (COUNSEL CONFER.)
16
               MR. ISAACSON: I WILL GIVE HER THE --
17
                MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, THE PORTION WE WERE
       REFERRING TO IS NOT ON THAT PAGE. WE WILL PUT IT IN EVIDENCE
18
19
       WITH A WITNESS PROBABLY ON MONDAY.
                THE COURT: OKAY.
20
21
      BY MR. ISAACSON:
22
          HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW 826?
23
      Α.
          YES.
24
          MR. MALLONEE IS WITH MEMBERSHIP SERVICES; IS THAT CORRECT?
       0.
25
           YES. IT'S MALLONEE NOT THAT IT MATTERS.
       Α.
```

1 Q. THANK YOU.

- A. QUITE ALL RIGHT.
- Q. IT MATTERS TO HIS FAMILY.

 MR. MALLONEE IS STILL THERE AT THE NCAA?
 - A. YES, HE IS.
 - Q. AND HE'S PART OF THAT MEMBERSHIP SERVICES DEPARTMENT THAT
 YOU REFERRED TO AS HAVING THE CONTENT EXPERTISE WITH RESPECT
 TO THE RULES OF THE NCAA?
 - A. YES.
 - Q. ALL RIGHT. AND HE SAYS AT THE BOTTOM OF THIS FIRST PAGE,
 HE'S TALKING ABOUT THE EA SPORTS NCAA FOOTBALL GAME.

"HAVING SAID THAT, SINCE OUR CURRENT
RULES/INTERPRETATIONS ONLY PRECLUDE ACTUAL USE OF THE
NAME, PICTURE, OR PHYSICAL LIKENESS IN COMMERCIAL
PROMOTION ACTIVITIES, THESE COMPUTERIZED VIDEO GAMES
ARE BASICALLY ALLOWED TO DO WHAT THEY ARE DOING. THE
JERSEY NUMBER ALONG WITH THE POSITION AND VITAL
STATISTICS IS CLEARLY AN ATTEMPT TO HAVE THE PUBLIC
MAKE THE ASSOCIATION WITH THE CURRENT STUDENT
ATHLETE, AND IT APPEARS TO BE WORKING."

DID MEMBERSHIP SERVICES DISCUSS THAT OPINION WITH YOU?

- A. THEY DIDN'T AT THIS TIME. I WASN'T WORKING -- IT WAS FIVE YEARS LATER BEFORE I BEGAN WORKING WITH THEM, SO OBVIOUSLY WE DIDN'T DISCUSS THIS TOPIC.
- Q. WE ALL KNOW THAT YOU WEREN'T HERE AT THAT TIME.

BUT MR. MALLONEE, IF I'M SAYING HIS NAME CORRECTLY, IN THE MEMBER SERVICES DEPARTMENT WERE ALL THERE WHEN YOU BECAME PRESIDENT, THE VIDEO GAMES WERE BEING DISCUSSED. WAS THIS CONCERN RAISED WITH YOU -- WAS THIS OPINION GIVEN TO YOU ONCE YOU BECAME PRESIDENT?

- A. AS THE ENTIRE EMAIL CHAIN INDICATES, THIS WAS A VERY

 ACTIVE DEBATE AMONG THE STAFF AND THEN LATER AMONG THE

 MEMBERSHIP ITSELF. AND IT WAS ONE OF THE REASONS THAT I

 DECIDED THAT WE SHOULD EXTRACT OURSELVES FROM THIS

 RELATIONSHIP SINCE IT WAS CONTROVERSIAL, AND DETERMINING

 WHETHER OR NOT THIS STATEMENT WAS ACCURATE WAS SOMETHING THAT

 WE SHOULDN'T BE INVOLVED IN.
- Q. ALL RIGHT. YOU'VE SAID THOSE THINGS BEFORE, SIR.
- A. THAT'S THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION. THAT'S WHY I SAID THEM.
 - Q. I WANT TO KNOW IF MEMBERSHIP SERVICES GAVE YOU THE OPINION THAT THIS IS CLEARLY AN ATTEMPT TO HAVE THE PUBLIC MAKE THE ASSOCIATION WITH THE CURRENT STUDENT ATHLETE AND IT APPEARS TO BE WORKING.
 - MR. POMERANTZ: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
 - THE WITNESS: NO, I NEVER HAD ANYONE SAY THAT TO ME DIRECTLY, IF THAT'S YOUR QUESTION.
 - BY MR. ISAACSON:

Q. DID MEMBERSHIP SERVICES SAY TO YOU AS IT SAYS IN THE NEXT PARAGRAPH:

"THE BIGGEST CONCERN I HAVE IS THAT SUCH A POSITION 1 REALLY DOES ALLOW FOR THE MAXIMUM COMMERCIAL 2 3 EXPLOITATION OF THE STUDENT ATHLETE. AND IF THAT OCCURS, WILL IT BE LONG BEFORE WE CAN DEFEND NOT 4 5 GIVING THEM A PIECE OF THE PROFITS." NO ONE EVER RAISED THAT CONCERN WITH ME. 6 Α. NO. 7 MEMBERSHIP -- YOU NEVER HEARD ANYTHING LIKE THAT FROM 8 MEMBERSHIP SERVICES EVEN THOUGH THEY WERE WRITING ABOUT IT? 9 SO LET ME RESTATE MY ANSWER. Α. IT'S A SIMPLE QUESTION, WHETHER YOU HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT 10 11 THIS. A. NO, IT'S NOT A SIMPLE QUESTION. IT DOESN'T DESERVE A 12 "YES" OR "NO" ANSWER. IT'S A MUCH MORE COMPLICATED QUESTION 13 14 AND IT DESERVES A MORE COMPLICATED RESPONSE. 15 THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS, AS I STATED, THERE HAS BEEN A 16 GREAT DEAL OF DISCUSSION, AS THIS ENTIRE EMAIL CHAIN 17 DEMONSTRATES, ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO BE 18 INVOLVED IN THE VIDEO GAME BUSINESS. OUR CONTRACT, THE NCAA'S 19 CONTRACT WITH EA SPORTS EXPRESSLY FORBID THEM FROM USING NAME, 20 IMAGE AND LIKENESS IN AN INAPPROPRIATE WAY. 21 SOME OF THE STAFF MEMBERS WERE EXPRESSING CONCERNS THAT 22 THAT MAY BE THE CASE, AND THEY DID, INDEED, TALK WITH ME ABOUT 23 IT. AND BASED UPON THOSE OPINIONS, I DETERMINED THAT IT WAS 24 INAPPROPRIATE FOR US TO BE INVOLVED IN VIDEO GAMES.

SINCE -- HENCE, WHEN THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY CAME TO EXTRACT

```
OURSELVES FROM IT, I DID SO. BUT NO ONE EXPRESSED THOSE
 1
 2
      PRECISE THOUGHTS TO ME, IF THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE ASKING.
 3
      Q. YEAH, THAT IS WHAT I WAS ASKING.
               MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, JUST BECAUSE I THINK THE
 4
 5
      WAY THE QUESTION WAS POSED, THE HIGHLIGHTING OF THE SCREEN IS
      LEADING -- I THINK IT WAS MISLEADING, THEY DIDN'T INCLUDE THE
 6
 7
      FIRST --
 8
               MR. ISAACSON: HE'S --
 9
               MR. POMERANTZ: -- FIRST TWO SENTENCES OF THAT
      PARAGRAPH, WHICH PUT THAT SENTENCE IN DIRECT CONTEXT.
10
11
                THE COURT: WHEN IT'S IN EVIDENCE, I WON'T HAVE THE
12
      HIGHLIGHTING.
13
               MR. POMERANTZ: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
14
               MR. ISAACSON: I WILL MOVE --
15
                THE COURT: YOU CAN TAKE IT OFF NOW, IF YOU'D LIKE.
16
               MR. ISAACSON: I WILL MOVE 826.
17
               MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, IT'S NOT JUST THE
      HIGHLIGHTING. IT'S THE FACT THAT THE QUESTION WAS MISLEADING
18
19
      BY NOT PUTTING IT IN THE CONTEXT OF THOSE FIRST TWO SENTENCES.
20
               MR. ISAACSON: THAT'S WHAT REDIRECT IS FOR.
21
                THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. DR. EMMERT GAVE A FULSOME
      ANSWER, SO I THINK WE'RE CLEAR.
22
23
               MR. POMERANTZ: I OBJECT TO THE QUESTIONS, BUT NOT TO
24
      THE ADMISSION OF THE DOCUMENT ITSELF.
```

MR. ISAACSON: LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THE REST --

1 THE CLERK: YOU NEED TO WAIT UNTIL SHE SAYS 2 "RECEIVED". 3 MR. ISAACSON: I'M SORRY. YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. THE COURT: DID YOU HEAR ME SAY RECEIVED? AND THE 4 5 NUMBER? MR. ISAACSON: 826. 6 7 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 826 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 8 BY MR. ISAACSON: 9 AT THE BOTTOM, THERE'S AN EMAIL FROM BRIAN BARRIO, WHO IS 10 IDENTIFIED AS THE COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR AT THE IVY LEAGUE. 11 A COMPLIANCE COORDINATOR IS SOMEONE WHO MAKES SURE THAT 12 THE IVY LEAGUE IS COMPLYING WITH NCAA RULES, AMONG OTHER 13 THINGS, CORRECT? 14 Α. YES. 15 AND HE'S TALKING ABOUT THE VIDEO GAMES. AND GOING ON TO 0. 16 THE NEXT PAGE, HE SAYS, THE IVY LEAGUE SAYING THIS: 17 "THE QUESTION WE COULD NOT ANSWER WAS, IS THIS A POTENTIAL SOURCE OF LIABILITY FOR THE NCAA? THIS 18 19 SEEMS TO GO BEYOND THE PLAUSIBLE DENIABILITY INHERENT 20 IN SELLING A JERSEY WITH A UNIFORM NUMBER WITH NO 21 NAME ON THE BACK." 22 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH DISCUSSIONS THAT THE JERSEY SALES 23 WITH THE NUMBERS ON THE BACK ARE BEING SOLD AS BEING IN 24 COMPLIANCE WITH NCAA RULES BASED ON A PLAUSIBLE DENIABILITY 25 CONCEPT?

1	A. NO. I'VE NEVER HEARD THAT.
2	I'VE HEARD A GREAT DEAL OF DISCUSSION ABOUT JERSEYS WITH
3	NUMBERS ON THE BACK, BUT I'VE NEVER HEARD IT IN THE CONTEXT OF
4	PLAUSIBLE DENIABILITY.
5	Q. PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2012.
6	(EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)
7	THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM GAIL DENT, DECEMBER 22, 2005 ABOUT
8	THE EA MATTER. SHE WAS, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE ASSOCIATE
9	DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC AND MEDIA RELATIONS. IS SHE STILL IS
10	THAT CORRECT?
11	IS SHE STILL AT THE NCAA?
12	A. SHE IS.
13	Q. AND SHE SAYS AT THE END OF THE FIRST PARAGRAPH:
14	"I THINK THIS IS GOING TO COME UP AGAIN DOWN THE ROAD
15	BECAUSE ANYONE CAN LOOK AT THE GAMES AND SEE A
16	RESEMBLANCE TO THE STUDENT ATHLETE IN QUESTION AND A
17	PARTICULAR SCHOOL."
18	WERE THOSE CONSISTENT WITH THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE BEING
19	MADE TO YOU BY MEMBERS OF THE NCAA STAFF?
20	A. THAT WAS CERTAINLY THE CONCERN THAT WAS BEING EXPRESSED BY
21	SOME MEMBERS OF THE STAFF AND, INDEED, THEY WERE SOME OF MY
22	PERSONAL CONCERNS.
23	MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS'
24	EXHIBIT 2054.
25	I MOVE TO ADMIT 2012.

MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 1 2 THE COURT: RECEIVED. 3 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2012 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) BY MR. ISAACSON: 4 5 2054. THIS IS, AT THE TOP, AN EMAIL FROM PETER DAVIS. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, HE WAS THE NCAA DIRECTOR OF CORPORATE 6 7 ALLIANCES FOR MANY YEARS. IS THAT CORRECT, IS HE STILL AT THE 8 NCAA? 9 A. NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF. 10 O. OKAY. 11 HE IS ASKED BY MR. DUNN, WHO IS THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF 12 CORPORATE RELIANCES, DO YOU KNOW HIM? 13 A. NO. 14 MR. DUNN ASKED "SO" -- IN THE MIDDLE: 15 "SO, WE ARE PERMITTED TO CREATE AN IMAGE THAT KIND OF 16 LOOKS LIKE THE STUDENT ATHLETE, CORRECT?" 17 MR. DAVIS RESPONDS: "FOR THE MOST PART, THAT'S CORRECT." HE GOES ON TO SAY IN THE LAST PARAGRAPH: 18 19 "I'VE NEVER BEEN SOLD ON THE DEGREE OF LIKENESS, BUT MS," 20 REFERRING TO MEMBERSHIP SERVICES, "MYLES", REFERRING TO 21 DR. BRAND, AND "GAS" REFERRING TO MR. SHAHEEN, "ARE ALL OKAY WITH IT. SO WHO AM I TO DISAGREE." SMILEY FACE, OR SMILEY 22 23 ICON, WHATEVER WE CALL THOSE THINGS. WAS THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE BRIEFING THAT YOU RECEIVED 24 25 WHEN YOU BECAME NCAA PRESIDENT, THAT THESE DEGREES OF

```
LIKENESSES HAD BEEN APPROVED BY DR. BRAND, MEMBERSHIP
 1
 2
       SERVICES, AND OTHER HIGH LEVEL NCAA EXECUTIVES?
 3
          I WAS AWARE THAT -- WAS MADE AWARE THAT THE -- THAT THE
       LIKENESSES IN THE VIDEO GAMES WERE NOT INCONSISTENT WITH THE
 4
 5
       CURRENT RULES, AND THAT THE CONTRACT WITH EA SPORTS PROHIBITED
       ANY INCREASE IN THE REALISM OF THE -- OF THE AVATARS. AND IT
 6
 7
       WAS, OF COURSE, A MATTER OF INDIVIDUAL JUDGMENT AS TO WHETHER
 8
       OR NOT THE AVATARS WERE, INDEED, TOO LIFE-LIKE, IF YOU'LL USE
 9
       THAT EXPRESSION.
10
           AND, AGAIN, IT IS BASED UPON THAT AMBIGUITY THAT
11
       ENCOURAGED ME TO EXTRACT THE NCAA FROM THE VIDEO GAMES.
12
                MR. ISAACSON: ALL RIGHT. PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT -- I
13
      WOULD ACTUALLY MOVE TO ADMIT THAT EXHIBIT, WHICH WAS 2054.
14
                MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
                MR. ISAACSON: PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2062 --
15
16
                THE CLERK: ARE YOU GOING TO WAIT?
17
               MR. ISAACSON: YES.
                THE COURT: RECEIVED.
18
19
              (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2054 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)
                MR. ISAACSON: EVENTUALLY I WILL LEARN.
20
21
                THE CLERK: THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
22
      BY MR. ISAACSON:
23
          2062, MR. DUNN WRITES:
24
                "I STILL WORRY ABOUT THE LIKENESSES, COLT MCCOY,
25
                TERRENCE CODY, DAN HERRON. IT'S PRETTY OBVIOUS TO
```

ME." 1 2 WAS THERE DISCUSSION WITH YOU THAT THESE LIKES --3 LIKENESSES TO ACTUAL PLAYERS WERE NOT AMBIGUOUS, THEY WERE 4 PRETTY OBVIOUS? 5 I THINK I'VE ANSWERED THIS QUESTION SEVERAL TIMES, BUT IF Α. YOU WOULD LIKE I WILL DO IT ONE MORE TIME. 6 7 Q. NO, THIS IS --8 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.) 9 Α. I HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH THE STAFF AND WITH MEMBERSHIP 10 ABOUT THE VIDEO GAMES AND THE AMBIGUITY OF WHETHER OR NOT THE 11 LIKENESSES WERE SUFFICIENTLY -- HAD GONE OVER THE EDGE OF 12 REALISM. AND IT WAS, THEREFORE, BECAUSE OF THAT AMBIGUITY, 13 THAT I DETERMINED THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE IN THE VIDEO GAME 14 BUSINESS AND EXTRACTED OURSELVES FROM IT AT THE FIRST 15 OPPORTUNITY. 16 I THINK I HAVE GIVEN THAT ANSWER SEVERAL TIMES NOW. 17 I THINK YOU HAVE, TOO. Q. BUT DID ANYBODY IN THE STAFF SAY TO YOU, THIS ISN'T 18 19 AMBIGUOUS, SIR, IT'S OBVIOUS? 20 Α. NO. 21 MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE TO ADMIT PLAINTIFFS' 2062. 22 MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 23 THE COURT: RECEIVED. 24 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2062 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)

MR. ISAACSON: ALL RIGHT.

1 THE PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2023. 2 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 3 IF WE CAN GO TO 2023-3, SO THAT WE CAN SHOW DR. EMMERT 4 THAT THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM GREG SHAHEEN TO DR. BRAND, 5 AUGUST 2007. BY MR. ISAACSON: 6 7 AND MR. SHAHEEN WAS A HIGH-LEVEL EXECUTIVE OF THE NCAA AT 8 THE TIME, CORRECT? 9 HE WAS THE DIRECTOR OF BASKETBALL. Α. 10 HE'S ONE OF THE ONES WHO HAS AN AGREEMENT TO COOPERATE FOR 11 PURPOSES OF THIS LITIGATION WITH YOU, RIGHT? 12 A. HE DOES. 13 MR. ISAACSON: ALL RIGHT. IF WE CAN LOOK AT 2023-4. 14 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 15 ALL RIGHT. HE SAYS IN -- IN THE MIDDLE PARAGRAPH, 16 "IMPORTANTLY". IF WE CAN BRING UP THAT PARAGRAPH. 17 MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY ASK TO HAVE THE HARD COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT PROVIDED TO DR. EMMERT. 18 19 THE COURT: YES. 20 MR. ISAACSON: SATH, CAN I HAVE THAT? 21 (DOCUMENT HANDED TO WITNESS.) 22 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. 23 BY MR. ISAACSON: 24 Q. ALL RIGHT. 25 IN THE PARAGRAPH THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT BEGINS

1 "IMPORTANTLY". AND IT TALKS ABOUT WE WERE UNABLE TO INCLUDE 2 THE USE OF THE STUDENT ATHLETES' NAMES OR LIKENESSES TO APPEAR 3 OR BE A PART OF ANY COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS SOLD BY COMMERCIAL ENTITIES. 4 5 AND IT GOES ON TO SAY: "A LOOK AT THE EVOLUTION OF THE VIDEO TECHNOLOGY, 6 7 EVEN SINCE WE MET WITH EA TWO YEARS AGO IS AMAZING. 8 AND WE ARE MISSING THE POINT BY NOT ALLOWING NAMES 9 AND PERHAPS LIKENESSES. IF WE ARE ALLOWING IT IN 10 BROADCAST, VIDEO GAMES ARE LITERALLY NO DIFFERENT 11 NOW. THEY LOOK AND FEEL THE EXACT SAME WAY. I'M 12 GETTING EXAMPLES TO SHOW YOU IN THE COMING WEEKS." 13 WAS THE POINT MADE TO YOU IN THESE DISCUSSIONS THAT YOU'VE 14 REPEATEDLY REFER TO ABOUT THE GAMES, THAT THE GAMES WERE THE 15 SAME AS THE BROADCASTS --16 Α. NO. 17 MR. ISAACSON: THE PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 730, AND LET'S HAVE A HARD COPY AVAILABLE. 18 19 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 20 (EXHIBIT HANDED TO WITNESS.) 21 BY MR. ISAACSON: SIR, PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 730, YOU WILL SEE IS A DECK, AS 22 23 WE SAY. 24 AND IF WE CAN SHOW THE FIRST PAGE, PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES 25 NCAA BYLAW 12.5. AND FLIP THROUGH IT AND TELL ME IF YOU'VE

- 1 SEEN THIS OR SOMETHING LIKE IT BEFORE. 2 CAN YOU TELL ME THE ORIGIN OF THIS AND WHEN IT WAS 3 PRODUCED? Q. SURE. I WILL TELL YOU WHAT I KNOW ABOUT IT. 4 5 MR. KERIN -- BO KERIN, DO YOU KNOW HIM? 6 Α. NO. 7 HE WAS THE FORMER ASSISTANT ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF Q. 8 MEMBERSHIP SERVICES WHO HAS BEEN DEPOSED IN THIS CASE. 9 HE SAID IT APPEARS TO BE PART OF THE STAFF TRAINING 10 PROGRAM FOR NEW STAFF MEMBERS. 11 AND IT CERTAINLY IN APPEARANCE LOOKS LIKE -- IT'S GOT PICTURES AND SOME BASIC INFORMATION ABOUT THE RULES. 12 13 Α. OKAY. 14 AND IF YOU LOOK AT PAGE 38 --Q. 15 PARDON ME. DO YOU KNOW WHEN THIS WAS PRODUCED AND WHEN IT Α. 16 WAS UTILIZED. 17 I DO NOT HAVE THAT INFORMATION. MR. ISAACSON: IF WE CAN LOOK AT PAGE 38. 18 19 (PAGE DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 20 AND I'M SORRY, WHICH IS "IMPACT OF THE LAW". AND THEN 21 SLIDE 40. 22 (PAGE DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 23 THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY. I'M GETTING THERE.
 - BY MR. ISAACSON:

24

25

Q. THIS IS A DOCUMENT GIVEN TO US BY THE NCAA. IT WAS

PRODUCE IN DISCOVERY. 1 2 OKAY. Α. 3 Q. ALL RIGHT. AND AS PART OF THIS DECK, IT SAYS: 4 5 "THE LAW ALSO MAY BE ON THE STUDENT ATHLETE'S SIDE." AND THERE'S A LITTLE FIGURE WITH A STOP SIGN. 6 7 "A STUDENT ATHLETE HAS THE RIGHT OF PUBLICITY WHEREBY 8 ANOTHER MAY NOT USE A NAME, PICTURE, LIKENESS OR 9 PHOTO FOR COMMERCIAL ACTIVITY WITHOUT PERMISSION." 10 ARE YOU AWARE OF TRAINING MATERIALS LIKE THIS AT THE NCAA? 11 I HADN'T SEEN THEM UNTIL NOW, BUT I CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND 12 WHY THEY WOULD WANT TO USE THAT IN A VARIETY OF OUR STAFF 13 MEETINGS. 14 Q. OKAY. 15 AND WITH THE MEMBERSHIP. Α. 16 NOW, SHIFTING TOPICS, ANOTHER BRIEF TOPIC. 17 THE NCAA HAS ARCHIVES OF GAMES AND -- HAS ARCHIVES OF GAMES AND IT LICENSES THOSE GAMES OR PORTIONS OF THOSE GAMES; 18 19 IS THAT RIGHT? YES. IS THIS RELATED TO THE DOCUMENT --20 Α. 21 NO. I'M SHIFTING TOPICS. 0. I'M SORRY. SO COULD YOU REPEAT THE QUESTION? 22 Α. 23 SURE. ABSOLUTELY. Q.

24

THE NCAA HAS ARCHIVES OF GAMES AND IT LICENSES THOSE GAMES

OR PORTIONS OF THOSE GAMES. IS THAT FAIR?

1 **A.** YES.

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2 Q. IT -- AND IT LICENSES THOSE TO PEOPLE WHO WANT TO SHOW

 THOSE AND IT DOES THAT FOR MONEY?
 - A. YES.
 - Q. AND IF I CAN YOU TO LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 298.
- 6 MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE TO ADMIT THE PREVIOUS EXHIBIT 730.
 - MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.

9 **THE COURT:** RECEIVED.

(PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 730 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)

BY MR. ISAACSON:

Q. PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 298.

(EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)

THIS IS ANOTHER DOCUMENT GIVEN TO US BY THE NCAA. IT GOES BACK TO BEFORE YOU WERE -- BEFORE YOU WERE THERE, BUT I'M GOING TO ASK YOU IF THIS SORT OF DISCUSSION WAS GOING ON ONCE YOU BECAME PRESIDENT.

YOU WILL SEE IN THE MIDDLE OF -- THE EMAIL FROM MR. KNOPP, WHO'S THE SENIOR EXECUTIVE AT THE NCAA, TO MR. SHAHEEN, AND HE SAYS, "KEVIN SCHAFF" -- YOU KNOW HE'S WITH T3 MEDIA?

- A. YES.
- Q. THAT'S THE COMPANY YOU WORK WITH IN TERMS OF THESE

 ARCHIVES -- ALSO KNOWN AS THOUGHT EQUITY?
- 24 **A.** YES.
- Q. (READING)

1 "KEVIN SCHAFF HAS SET AS THEIR GOAL TO SHOW THAT THE 2 ARCHIVE RIGHTS ARE 10 PERCENT OF OUR TV RIGHTS, THE 3 \$6 BILLION DEAL." KNOPP GOES ON TO SAY: 4 5 "GOOD STUFF AND A BIT OF AHA FOR ME AND ONE THAT I THINK COULD WORK." 6 7 HAVE YOU DONE INTERNAL WORK ON VALUING THOSE ARCHIVES? 8 I HAVE NOT, NO, AND I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY INTERNAL WORK ON Α. 9 IT. 10 YOU HAVE HAD NO DISCUSSION ABOUT THE VALUATION OF THOSE 11 RIGHTS? 12 Α. NO. 13 MR. ISAACSON: MOVE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 298. 14 MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 15 THE COURT: RECEIVED. 16 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 298 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 17 BY MR. ISAACSON: THE -- NOW IN TERMS OF THE -- WE'VE TALKED A LOT ABOUT THE 18 19 INTEGRATION OF ACADEMICS AND ATHLETICS ON CAMPUS. LET'S TALK A BIT ABOUT THE COACHES. 20 EXHIBIT 3168, PLEASE. 21 22 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 23 THE -- THIS BEGINS AT 3168-27. THIS IS A MEMORANDUM TO 24 MYLES BRAND, AND THIS IS FROM THE -- FROM MEMBERS OF THE NCAA 25 BASKETBALL ENHANCEMENT GROUP, BAEG.

1 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT GROUP? 2 YES. A. 3 Q. THEY SAY ON THE SECOND PAGE: "COMMUNICATION OR LACK THEREOF IS A CRITICAL ISSUE IN 4 5 RESOLVING CONFLICT." IT GOES ON TO SAY: 6 7 "THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT LACK OF TRUST OF MEN'S 8 BASKETBALL COACHES BY PRESIDENTS, FACULTY 9 REPRESENTATIVES, COMPLIANCE COORDINATORS, AND OTHERS. 10 IN GENERAL, PRESIDENTS TRUST THEIR OWN COACH, BUT NOT 11 ANYONE ELSE'S COACH. THIS LACK OF TRUST OF THE 12 COACHES AND THEIR MOTIVES IMPACTS DECISIONS AT ALL 13 LEVELS." 14 IS THAT SOMETHING YOU AGREE WITH? 15 SOUNDS A BIT LIKE PEOPLE'S ATTITUDE TOWARD THEIR Α. 16 CONGRESSMAN. 17 IT DOES. Q. 18 Α. YEAH. 19 I DON'T KNOW THE GENESIS OF THEIR OPINION. I DO KNOW THAT 20 IN -- IN ALL COMPETITIVE ACTIVITIES THAT I HAVE EVER BEEN 21 INVOLVED WITH, TRUST IS ALWAYS A SIGNIFICANT CHALLENGE. 22 DO YOU HAVE ANY DISAGREEMENT WITH THE -- WHAT THIS 23 BASKETBALL ENHANCE -- TELL US WHAT THE BASKETBALL ENHANCEMENT GROUP IS? 24 25

IT WAS A GROUP THAT WAS WORKING TOGETHER TO MAKE SURE THAT

- THE BASKETBALL GAME WAS -- WAS CONTINUING TO EVOLVE AND
 DEVELOP IN A WAY THAT SUPPORTED THE SPORT.

 Q. NOW, ONE MORE TOPIC ABOUT THE KNIGHT COMMISSION. THE
 - MR. ISAACSON: MOVE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 3168.
 - MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, IT IS A VERY LENGTHY
 DOCUMENT.
 - MR. ISAACSON: I'M SORRY, 3168, 27, 28.
 - MR. POMERANTZ: WE WOULD LIKE TO LOOK AND SEE IF

 THERE'S ANY OTHER RELEVANT INFORMATION, BUT SUBJECT TO THAT,

 WE ARE OKAY WITH THOSE PAGES BEING ADMITTED.

THE COURT: OKAY.

(PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 3168 (PGS. 27 & 28) RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)

BY MR. ISAACSON:

KNIGHT --

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. THE PLAINTIFFS' -- THE KNIGHT COMMISSION AS ONE OF ITS

RECENT PROPOSALS HAS PROPOSED ALLOCATING BOWL AND NCAA REVENUE

BY HOW WELL SCHOOLS ARE DOING IN EDUCATING FOOTBALL OR

BASKETBALL PLAYERS.

YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THAT RECOMMENDATION?

- A. YES.
- Q. THAT'S NOT A RECOMMENDATION THAT'S BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE NCAA; IS THAT CORRECT?
- A. IT HAS, ACTUALLY, AND IT WAS, IN PART, ONE OF THE THINGS
- 25 THAT LED TO A VERY SIGNIFICANT CHANGE IN THE -- IN THE ABILITY

1 TO PARTICIPATE IN POST-SEASON PLAY.

SO, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT THE MEMBERSHIP DID IN -- AFTER
THE SUMMER OF '11 IS THEY PUT IN PLACE AN APR REQUIREMENT FOR
PARTICIPATION IN POST-SEASON PLAY. AND IT'S PARTICIPATION IN
POST-SEASON PLAY THAT DETERMINES YOUR ALLOCATIONS.

SO BY ADDING AN ACADEMIC THRESHOLD, AN ACADEMIC ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT, THEY DID, IN FACT, HAVE PRECISELY THAT IMPACT FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO OVER WHAT YOU JUST SAID.

YOU ADDED AN APR REQUIREMENT, AND IF YOU DIDN'T MEET THAT

REQUIREMENT --

THE COURT: APR?

THE WITNESS: ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE, MA'AM. IT'S

THE -- IT'S A MEASURE OF STUDENT ATHLETE'S ACADEMIC PROGRESS

THROUGH THEIR COURSE WORK.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

- Q. YOU ADDED AN ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE. AND IF YOU WEREN'T MEETING THAT CRITERIA, YOU COULD NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE NCAA TOURNAMENT?
- A. IN ANY POST-SEASON PLAY, WHETHER IT BE A BOWL GAME OR A CONFERENCE TOURNAMENT.
- Q. RIGHT.

BUT WHAT THE KNIGHT COMMISSION ACTUALLY RECOMMENDED IS AT THE END OF THE NCAA TOURNAMENT AND AT THE END OF THE BOWL GAMES, THAT INSTEAD OF YOUR PRESENT FORMULAS FOR DISTRIBUTING

MONEY, THAT YOU WOULD DISTRIBUTE THE MONEY BASED ON HOW WELL

SCHOOLS WERE DOING IN EDUCATING FOOTBALL OR BASKETBALL

PLAYERS, CORRECT?

A. YES.

- Q. OKAY. THAT HAS NOT BEEN DONE.
- A. ACTUALLY, WHAT'S HAPPENED IS, THERE WAS A VERY EXTENSIVE
 CONVERSATION ABOUT HOW ONE WOULD IMPLEMENT SUCH A RULE. AND
 THE OBVIOUS CHALLENGE IS THAT UNIVERSITIES HAVE VERY DIFFERENT
 RULES, SCOPE, AND MISSION.

SO THE QUESTION WAS, WOULD YOU BASE IT ON GRADE POINT

AVERAGE, IN WHICH CASE STANFORD MAY WELL GET MORE MONEY THAN A

HISTORICALLY BLACK INSTITUTION, EVEN THOUGH THE HISTORICALLY

BLACK INSTITUTION WAS DOING A BETTER JOB EDUCATING THEIR

STUDENTS.

AND THE CONCLUSION WAS IS THAT HOW WELL AN INSTITUTION IS EDUCATING THEIR STUDENTS REALLY IS A JUDGMENT THAT CAN ONLY BE MADE BY THE UNIVERSITY, SO, THEREFORE, WE HAD TO USE THE ONLY AVAILABLE MEASURE BY WHICH WE COULD MAKE THAT KIND OF ALLOCATION, AND THAT'S PRECISELY WHAT THE MEMBERSHIP DID.

SO I COULDN'T DISAGREE WITH YOU MORE.

Q. YOU USED THE APR TO DECIDE ELIGIBILITY FOR THE TOURNAMENT;
YOU DID NOT USE THE APR FOR DECIDING HOW REVENUES WOULD BE
ALLOCATED AFTER THE TOURNAMENTS.

IS THAT CORRECT?

A. SO PERHAPS I SHOULD EXPLAIN HOW THIS WORKS.

1 Q. I WOULD --

THE COURT: I THINK HE'S JUST WANTING TO KNOW WHETHER
YOU DID IT OR DIDN'T DO IT. AND YOU ARE EXPLAINING WHY YOU
DIDN'T DO IT OR WHATEVER. HE JUST WANTS TO KNOW WHETHER YOU
DID IT OR NOT.

THE WITNESS: YOU ARE RIGHT, THE DECISION --

THE COURT: HE WON'T GET PASSED THAT UNTIL YOU JUST SAY "YES" OR "NO".

THE WITNESS: SO THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION IS THAT
WE DECIDED TO MAKE THAT DISTRIBUTION DECISION AT THE FRONT END
INSTEAD OF AT THE BACK END.

YES, YOU'RE RIGHT.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

- Q. OKAY. NOW, IN TERMS OF THE POPULARITY OF COLLEGE

 BASKETBALL AND COLLEGE FOOTBALL, YOU DON'T ACTUALLY, AS PART

 OF YOUR WORK, LOOK AT DATA ON PUBLIC PERCEPTION OF THE NCAA

 RELATIVE TO PROFESSIONAL SPORTS; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. WE DO LOOK AT PUBLIC OPINION DATA ABOUT WHAT PEOPLE VALUE

 IN INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS. WE DON'T NECESSARILY MAKE

 DIRECT COMPARISONS WITH PROFESSIONAL SPORT.
- Q. YOU ANSWERED WITH A "WE". MY QUESTION WAS TO YOU.

 SO DO YOU LOOK AT THAT DATA?
- A. DO I LOOK AT THE DATA THAT THE NATIONAL OFFICE GATHERS

 ABOUT PUBLIC OPINION ISSUES ON COLLEGIATE SPORT? YES.

DO I MAKE COMPARISON -- I BELIEVE YOUR QUESTION WAS, DO I

- 1 MAKE COMPARISONS WITH PROFESSIONAL SPORT? THE ANSWER WOULD BE 2 NO.
 - Q. ALL RIGHT.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- THE -- YOU TALKED ABOUT COMPETITIVE BALANCE YESTERDAY, AND WHETHER TEAMS HAVE A CHANCE TO WIN. THAT'S NOT A CONCEPT THAT YOU FIND IN THE NCAA RULES OR THE NCAA CONSTITUTION, CORRECT?
- A. THE CONCEPT OF COMPETITIVE FAIRNESS?
- Q. COMPETITIVE BALANCE.

THE COURT: DEFINE THAT FOR HIM.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

- Q. AS HE DEFINED IT YESTERDAY, I BELIEVE IT WAS, PLAYING BY
 THE SAME RULES WITH THE OPPORTUNITY TO PLAY AT THE HIGHEST
 LEVELS, AND A CHANCE TO WIN.
- IS THAT -- THAT PRETTY MUCH GET YOUR DEFINITION OF COMPETITIVE BALANCE?
- 16 **A.** YES.
- 17 Q. IS THAT FOUND ANYWHERE IN THE NCAA CONSTITUTION BYLAWS,
- 18 RULES?
- 19 A. NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF.
- 20 Q. WHAT'S ACTUALLY IN THE RULES IS COMPETITIVE EQUITY,
- 21 CORRECT?
- 22 A. I BELIEVE THAT TERM IS IN THERE, YES.
- MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS'
- 24 EXHIBIT 2340-18, WHICH NUMBER 210, THE PRINCIPLE OF
- 25 COMPETITIVE EQUITY.

(EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 1 2 BY MR. ISAACSON: 3 THIS IS THE PRINCIPLE OF COMPETITIVE EQUITY. YOU ARE 4 FAMILIAR WITH THIS, RIGHT? 5 A. I AM. 6 IT DOESN'T REQUIRE ANY OUTCOME, IT JUST SAYS WHAT YOU ARE 7 GOING TO PROMOTE, CORRECT? 8 Α. YES. Q. ALL RIGHT. 9 10 AND THE DEFINITION IS THAT STUDENTS WILL NOT BE PREVENTED 11 UNFAIRLY FROM ACHIEVING THE BENEFITS INHERENT IN PARTICIPATION 12 IN INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS, CORRECT? 13 Α. YES. 14 **Q**. IS THIS -- I WAS NOT CLEAR ON THIS. IS THIS ONE OF THE 15 RULES YOU CONSIDERED OUT OF DATE AND AN ANNOYANCE? 16 A. IT IS NOT A RULE. IT IS A PRINCIPLE. 17 IS IT A PRINCIPLE THAT YOU CONSIDER TO BE OUT OF DATE? Q. 18 Α. NO. 19 ALL RIGHT. THE -- IF WE CAN LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' 20 EXHIBIT 2083. 21 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 22 PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2083. 23 MR. ISAACSON: CAN WE HAVE A COPY IN CASE HE NEEDS 24 IT? 25

```
BY MR. ISAACSON:
 1
 2
          LET'S JUST SHOW YOU WHERE IT'S FROM FIRST. MAYBE I'M
 3
       GOING TO MISPRONOUNCE HIS NAME. DAVE SCHNASE?
      A.
 4
         SCHNASE.
       Q. SCHNASE TO MR. LENNON AND MR. RENFRO.
 5
 6
           MR. SCHNASE IS THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF MEMBERSHIP
 7
       SERVICES, CORRECT?
 8
      Α.
         YES.
 9
         AND HE SAYS:
       Q.
10
           "KEVIN, WALLY AND I SUBMIT THE ATTACHED DISCUSSION
11
      DOCUMENT."
12
           IF YOU WOULD LIKE A COPY?
13
       A.
          IF I COULD.
14
                        (EXHIBIT HANDED TO WITNESS.)
          THANK YOU.
15
       Α.
16
       O. ALL RIGHT.
17
           AND I'M GOING TO BE ASKING YOU ABOUT 2083-3 -- WHICH MAYBE
       YOU CAN PUT ON THE SCREEN -- WHERE THERE IS A DISCUSSION OF
18
19
       ARTICLE 2.10 OF THE NCAA CONSTITUTION.
20
                       (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)
21
           DO YOU SEE THAT, SIR?
22
       Α.
          YES.
23
       Q. ALL RIGHT. AND... AND THE PIECE SAYS, AFTER QUOTING IT,
24
       IT SAYS:
25
                "THIS DEFINITION IS THE ONLY CONSTITUTIONALLY
```

ESTABLISHED ONE." 1 2 I THINK WE CAN AGREE THAT STATEMENT'S CORRECT, RIGHT? 3 Α. YES. Q. IT GOES ON TO SAY: 4 5 "IT DOES NOT ENSURE OR GUARANTEE EQUITY; RATHER THE NCAA AND ITS MEMBERS SHALL PROMOTE OPPORTUNITY FOR 6 7 EQUITY." 8 YOU AGREE WITH THAT, CORRECT? 9 YES. Α. 10 ALL RIGHT. GOING TO THE NEXT PAGE, -4, THE FIRST FULL 11 PARAGRAPH. "CONFUSING" -- IN THE MIDDLE -- IN THAT PARAGRAPH IT SAYS: 12 "CONFUSING THE DISCUSSION IS THE USE OF THE TERM 13 14 'LEVEL PLAYING FIELD'. IN MANY INSTANCES, THE PHRASE 15 IS COMPETITIVE EQUITY AND LEVEL PLAYING FIELD ARE 16 USED INTERCHANGEABLY, WHICH SEEMS TO SUGGEST A 17 GREATER EMPHASIS ON EQUALITY RATHER THAN EQUITY. GIVEN THE DISPARITIES IN RESOURCES AMONG ALL 18 DIVISIONAL INSTITUTIONS NOTED ABOVE, COMPETITIVE 19 20 EQUALITY, OR LEVEL PLAYING FIELD APPEARS TO BE AN 21 OVERREACH FOR BYLAWS." 22 DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT? 23 I AGREE THAT THE -- THAT -- FIRST OF ALL, THE LANGUAGE OF 24 COMPETITIVE EQUITY AND LEVEL PLAYING FIELD AND COMPETITIVE

BALANCE ALL GET USED INTERCHANGEABLY AND -- AND HAVE

COMPLICATED MEETINGS DEPENDING UPON WHO'S TALKING ABOUT IT. 1 2 THIS -- THIS PARAGRAPH IS A DISCUSSION ABOUT, AS IT SAYS, 3 THE WIDE RANGE OF DISPARITIES AMONG DIVISION I SCHOOLS AND --AND THE CHALLENGE OF WRITING RULES THAT WERE, AND DEALING WITH 4 5 RULES THAT WERE AIMED AT MINIMIZING THOSE DISPARITIES, AND --6 AND HOW TO DEAL WITH -- I KNOW YOU DON'T SEEM TO LIKE THIS, 7 BUT RULES THAT WERE AN ANNOYANCE AND WERE, IN FACT, NOT HAVING 8 ANY PARTICULAR IMPACT ON THE QUALITY OF THE ATHLETIC 9 EXPERIENCE. WHAT ABOUT WHEN IT SAYS AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE: 10 11 "DESPITE THIS ATTEMPT TO REGULATE COMPETITIVE EQUITY" AND 12 THEN IT TALKS ABOUT VARIOUS STATISTICS ABOUT WINNING, WHICH 13 YOU CAN REVIEW --14 YES, I'M AWARE OF THEM. Α. THEN IT SAYS AT THE TOP OF THE NEXT PAGE: 15 Q. 16 "AN IMPARTIAL OBSERVER WOULD LIKELY CONCLUDE THAT 17 EVEN WITH THE EMPHASIS GIVEN AND NUMBER OF ATTEMPTS TO LEGISLATE IT ACROSS A BROAD SPECTRUM OF 18 19 INSTITUTIONS, COMPETITIVE EQUITY HAS FAILED." IS THAT A CONCEPT THAT YOU'VE HEARD BEFORE? 20 I HAVE. AGAIN, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT THE WORDS 21 22 "COMPETITIVE EQUITY" ARE IN QUOTES BECAUSE IT REALLY POINTS 23 OUT THAT -- AND -- HAVING BEEN DEEPLY INVOLVED IN THESE 24 CONVERSATIONS WITH THE MEMBERS, I KNOW THAT THE FUNDAMENTAL

ISSUE WAS THAT THE VERY, VERY LONGSTANDING SET OF RULES THAT

HAVE BEEN PUT IN PLACE OVER DECADES OF TIME TRYING TO 1 2 IMPLEMENT COMPETITIVE EQUITY, THAT PRECISE TERM, HAD CREATED A 3 RULE BOOK THAT WAS CONVOLUTED AND OUTDATED, AND THAT THIS WAS ALL ABOUT AN ATTEMPT TO ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT IN ORDER TO 4 5 STRAIGHTEN THE RULE BOOK OUT, YOU NEEDED TO TALK ABOUT COMPETITIVE EQUITY IN A DIFFERENT WAY THAN IT HAD BEEN IN THE 6 7 PAST. 8 Q. THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT THE NCAA CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLE OF COMPETITIVE EQUITY HAD FAILED, RIGHT? 9 NO. I, AGAIN, THINK THAT THE BOTTOM LINE WAS THAT THE 10 11 RULES THAT WERE BEING PUT IN PLACE AROUND THIS NOTION OF 12 COMPETITIVE EQUITY WERE CREATING BASICALLY AN UNTENABLE 13 SITUATION FOR THE PROLIFERATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THOSE 14 RULES. 15 Q. ALL RIGHT. IN THE MIDDLE PARAGRAPH, THE GREATER -- IT 16 BEGINS "THE GREATER THE DISCREPANCIES." IN THE MIDDLE OF THE 17 PARAGRAPH IT SAYS: 18 "PERHAPS THE GOAL SHOULD BE A MORE HORIZONTAL APPROACH TO 19 COMPETITIVE EQUITY THAT WOULD FOCUS ON CONFERENCES OR GROUPS 20 OF CONFERENCES. THAT HAS ALREADY HAPPENED TO SOME DEGREE 21 WITHIN THE NCAA." 22 HAS THERE BEEN DISCUSSION DURING YOUR PRESIDENCY THAT THE 23 CONCEPT OF, NOW LET'S SAY COMPETITIVE BALANCE, SHOULD BE

AS OPPOSED TO ACROSS ALL OF DIVISION I?

LOOKED AT AT THE CONFERENCE LEVEL OR WITHIN MAJOR CONFERENCES

24

YES, IT HAS BEEN DISCUSSED AT LENGTH AND UNIFORMLY 1 Α. 2 REJECTED. 3 Q. ALL RIGHT. THE -- CAN WE LOOK -- LET'S LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' 4 5 EXHIBIT 2080. AND IF WE CAN HAVE A COPY, BUT LET'S SHOW YOU WHAT THIS IS AT THE TOP. 6 7 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 8 THIS IS THE NCAA PRESIDENTIAL RETREAT DIVISION I FINANCIAL 9 SUSTAINABILITY AUGUST 9 THROUGH 10, 2011. 10 THIS IS A DOCUMENT THAT WAS PRODUCED AS PART OF THE 11 PRESIDENTIAL RETREAT THAT YOU CALLED IN THE FIRST FULL YEAR OF 12 YOUR PRESIDENCY, CORRECT? 13 A. OKAY. 14 MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE 2083, THE LAST DOCUMENT, INTO 15 EVIDENCE. 16 MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: RECEIVED. (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2083 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 18 19 MR. ISAACSON: ALL RIGHT. I WILL HAND YOU 2080 IN CASE YOU WANT THE FULL DOCUMENT. 20 21 BUT I'M JUST -- I'M GOING TO ASK MATT TO MOVE --22 (EXHIBIT HANDED TO WITNESS.) 23 BY MR. ISAACSON: JUST -- THERE IS A LOT OF DATA IN HERE, ISN'T THERE, AT 24 25 PAGE 2 AND 3 ABOUT WHAT'S HAPPENED IN TERMS OF DISPARITIES IN

REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND OTHER CRITERIA? 1 2 YES. Α. 3 Q. THAT WAS ALL INFORMATION YOU COLLECTED FOR THE 4 PRESIDENTIAL RETREAT? 5 CORRECT. Α. AND THEN AT -4, COMPETITIVE SUCCESS. 6 Q. 7 Α. YES. 8 Q. IT SAYS: 9 "THE DISPARITY AND EXPENSE BUDGETS LEAD TO THE 10 OUESTION OF COMPETITIVE SUCCESS AND WHAT WILL HAPPEN 11 TO COMPETITIVE SUCCESS IN THE FUTURE. HOWEVER, THE DATA WOULD INDICATE THERE'S ALREADY SIGNIFICANT 12 13 DISPARITY IN COMPETITION WITH THE TEAM FROM THE SIX 14 CONFERENCES DOMINATING COMPETITION ON THE FIELD." 15 THAT WAS WHAT THE CONCLUSION OF THE DATA WAS AT YOUR 16 PRESIDENTIAL RETREAT, RIGHT? 17 YES, RELATIVE TO THE -- TO THE ULTIMATE WINNERS OF Α. 18 CHAMPIONSHIPS, NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS, YES. 19 MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE TO ADMIT PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2080. 20 21 MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: RECEIVED. 23 (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2080 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 24 BY MR. ISAACSON: 25 ALL RIGHT. IF WE CAN LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2049.

(EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 1 2 THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM MR. RENFRO TO DR. BRAND, 3 FEBRUARY 22ND, 2009, BEFORE YOU BECAME PRESIDENT, ON THE SUBJECT OF THE AMATEURISM CABINET. 4 5 MR. ISAACSON: IF YOU SHOW HIM THE WHOLE EMAIL ON THE SCREEN BECAUSE IT'S NOT THAT LONG. 6 7 BY MR. ISAACSON: 8 Q. YOU WILL SEE IT'S A DISCUSSION OF HOW TO HANDLE AMATEURISM 9 AND INTERNATIONAL PLAYERS. THAT'S THE GENERAL TOPIC HERE. 10 ALL RIGHT. 11 IN THE MIDDLE OF THE SECOND PARAGRAPH -- SO THEY ARE 12 TALKING ABOUT WHETHER INTERNATIONAL PLAYERS WHO MAY NOT BE 13 AMATEURS WILL SHIFT COMPETITIVE BALANCE. AND MR. RENFRO 14 WRITES: 15 "THAT COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE OR DISADVANTAGE DOESN'T 16 APPEAR TO HAVE ANY RATIONAL CONNECTION TO THE 17 PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM." YOU HAD DISCUSSIONS WITHIN THE NCAA, HAVEN'T YOU, THAT THE 18 19 COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE OR DISADVANTAGE IS NOT ACTUALLY LINKED TO THE PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM. 20 21 NO. I HAVE NEVER HAD SUCH A CONVERSATION. Α. MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE TO ADMIT EXHIBIT 2049. 22 23 MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD STATE FOR THE RECORD THAT HE MISSTATED THE DOCUMENT WHICH TALKS ABOUT THE 24 25 INTERNATIONAL ATHLETE, NOT HIMSELF BEING A PROFESSIONAL, BUT

```
1
      PLAYING ON A TEAM WHERE OTHERS WERE PROFESSIONAL.
 2
           WITH THAT OBJECTION TO THE QUESTION, I HAVE NO OBJECTION
 3
       TO THE ADMISSION OF THE DOCUMENT.
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. IT WILL BE RECEIVED.
 4
 5
           (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2049 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)
      BY MR. ISAACSON:
 6
 7
          THERE ARE NO EMPIRICAL STUDIES OF THE FACT OF DISTRIBUTING
 8
       LICENSING REVENUES AND WHAT THAT WOULD HAVE -- WHAT EFFECT
 9
       THAT WOULD HAVE ON COMPETITIVE BALANCE TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE,
10
      CORRECT?
11
      Α.
         NO.
12
       Q. OKAY.
13
           ARE YOU SAYING THAT THERE ARE STUDIES OF THE EFFECT OF
14
       DISTRIBUTING LICENSING REVENUES AND THE EFFECT THAT THAT WOULD
15
      HAVE ON COMPETITIVE BALANCE?
16
      Α.
         I JUST SAID NO.
17
         OKAY. I THINK I CAUSED THAT PROBLEM.
       Q.
                THE COURT: IT WAS UNCLEAR. THE QUESTION IS
18
19
       AMBIGUOUS.
                MR. ISAACSON: I CAUSED THAT PROBLEM.
20
21
                THE COURT: TRY AGAIN.
22
      BY MR. ISAACSON:
23
         WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT THERE ARE NO --
                THE COURT: DON'T SAY "NO". JUST SAY "ARE THERE
24
25
       ANY".
```

BY MR. ISAACSON:

- 2 Q. ARE THERE ANY STUDIES ON THE EFFECT OF DISTRIBUTING
- 3 LICENSING REVENUES ON COMPETITIVE BALANCE?
- 4 **A.** NO.

1

5

7

8

9

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. THANK YOU.
- 6 MR. ISAACSON: THANK YOU.

BY MR. ISAACSON:

YOU KNOW, BY KANSAS."

- Q. YOU MENTIONED AS ONE OF YOUR EXAMPLES OF COMPETITIVE BALANCE BUTLER'S RUN TO THE FINALS AND NEAR VICTORY.
- IN ONE OF THE PUBLIC STATEMENTS THAT YOU MADE AFTER THAT

 WAS, "I DON'T THINK ANY OF THE BUTLER KIDS WERE RECRUITED BY,
- 13 THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID IN AN INTERVIEW. MAYBE YOU RECALL
 14 THAT. WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY THAT?
- 15 A. I THINK THE STATEMENT IS SELF-EVIDENT.
- 16 Q. IT'S -- WELL, IT MAY NOT BE SELF-EVIDENT TO A NONSPORTS

 17 FAN. LET ME ASK YOU THIS.

WHAT THAT MEANS IS, AT THE LEVEL OF SCHOOL -- OF

BASKETBALL THAT BUTLER'S PLAYING, THAT THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO

COMPETE FOR THE SAME KIDS COMING OUT OF HIGH SCHOOL AS KANSAS,

WHICH IS A MUCH BIGGER, WELL-KNOWN PROGRAM, AT LEAST BEFORE

BUTLER MADE IT TO THE NCAA FINALS.

- A. YES.
- Q. THE -- NOW WE WERE TALKING ABOUT COMPETITIVE BALANCE AND
 WHETHER THAT'S ACROSS THE WHOLE DIVISION I OR WHETHER THAT'S

INTRACONFERENCE. 1 2 CAN WE LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2084? 3 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) AT PAGE 34 AND 35, AND THERE'S A DECK THAT GOES THROUGH 4 PAGE 45. 5 I UNDERSTAND THIS TO BE A DOCUMENT PREPARED BY MR. -- YOU 6 7 TOLD ME HOW TO SAY HIS NAME, MALLONEE? 8 A. MALLONEE. 9 THANK YOU. Q. 10 AND HE SAYS, 2084-35, WHAT NEEDS TO CHANGE, ITEM 2. 11 "THE ONE-SIZE FITS ALL APPROACH IS NOT WORKING. IT 12 IS NO LONGER POSSIBLE NOR PRACTICAL TO LEGISLATE ALL 13 ASPECTS OF COMPETITIVE EQUITY. ATTEMPTS TO LEGISLATE 14 TO THE LOWEST COMMON DENOMINATOR SHOULD BE 15 DISCOURAGED. GREATER EMPHASIS SHOULD BE PLACED ON 16 PERMISSIVE RULES THAT GOVERN INSTITUTIONS WITH 17 SIMILAR RESOURCES." YOU ARE MOVING TOWARDS A MODEL, AREN'T YOU, SIR, WHERE YOU 18 19 ARE GOING TO DECIDE COMPETITIVE BALANCE OR COMPETITIVE EQUITY 20 BY MEASURING HOW INSTITUTIONS WITH SIMILAR RESOURCES ARE 21 COMPETING. 22 A. NO. THAT'S NOT HOW I INTERPRET THAT. 23 MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE TO ADMIT 2083 -- 4, AT 34 AND 35. 24 25 MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, NO OBJECTION TO THOSE

1 PAGES. WE WOULD RESERVE THE RIGHT TO ADD SOME ADDITIONAL 2 PAGES FROM THIS DOCUMENT THAT ARE RELEVANT IN THIS CASE. 3 THE COURT: SURE. RECEIVED. (PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2084 (PGS. 34 & 35) RECEIVED IN 4 5 EVIDENCE) BY MR. ISAACSON: 6 7 YOU DISCUSSED THE CONCEPT OF A TRUST FUND. AND THAT'S 8 CURRENTLY AGAINST -- IN WHICH NIL MONIES COULD POTENTIALLY BE PUT INTO A TRUST FUNDS FOR FUTURE USE OF STUDENTS, AND THAT'S 9 SOMETHING THAT YOU CONSIDER TO BE AGAINST NCAA RULES. 10 11 ARE WE IN AGREEMENT ON THAT? 12 Α. YES. 13 NOW, THAT HAS BEEN PERIODICALLY SOMETHING THAT HAS BEEN 14 DISCUSSED AND PROPOSED THROUGHOUT THE HISTORY OF THE NCAA, 15 RIGHT? 16 I AM AWARE OF IT IN THE PAST HANDFUL OF YEARS. I CANNOT 17 SAY THROUGHOUT THE HISTORY OF THE ASSOCIATION. 18 IF WE CAN LOOK AT PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2006. 19 THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM DR. BRAND IN 2005 TO MR. SHAHEEN ON 20 THE SUBJECT OF EA. 21 MR. ISAACSON: PLEASE SHOW HIM THE ENTIRE EMAIL BECAUSE IT'S NOT THAT LONG. 22 23 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 24 BY MR. ISAACSON:

AND HE'S TALKING ABOUT GETTING TOGETHER A GROUP OF

PRESIDENTS AND PERHAPS SOME -- A COMMISSIONER OR AN A.D. OR
TWO AS A FOCUS GROUP TO EXPLORE WHETHER WE CAN GO FORWARD IN
SOME WAY TO PROVIDE NAMES AND LIKENESSES. WE CAN AMELIORATE
SOME CONCERNS BY DEDICATING PROCEEDS TO A STUDENT-ORIENTED
FUND, SUCH AS THE OPPORTUNITY FUND. WE CAN TAKE CARE OF THE
LEGAL ISSUES THROUGH AN EXPANDED WAIVER.

IS THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE TYPE OF DISCUSSIONS THAT HAVE GONE ON THROUGH YOUR PRESIDENCY?

- A. THE NOTION OF, FIRST OF ALL, PERHAPS IT WOULD BE USEFUL FOR ME TO EXPLAIN WHAT THE OPPORTUNITY FUND IS. SO THE --
- Q. I MIGHT BE ABLE TO DO IT SHORTER.

IS THAT A FUND THAT'S SET UP IN ORDER TO PROVIDE FUNDS TO STUDENTS WHO HAVE A SPECIFIC NEEDS, AND THEN THEY APPLY FOR THOSE FUNDS.

IS THAT GENERALLY WHAT THAT IS?

- A. YES. ASSUMING THE FUNDS AND THE PROVISION OF THOSE FUNDS NEVER EXCEED THE FULL COST OF ATTENDANCE.
- Q. RIGHT.

IS THIS -- HAVE YOU HAD THOSE DISCUSSIONS SINCE YOU'VE
BEEN PRESIDENT ABOUT WHETHER TO PUT POTENTIAL LICENSING
REVENUES IN A STUDENT-ORIENTED FUND, SUCH AS THE OPPORTUNITY
FUND?

- A. WE'VE TALKED ABOUT A VARIETY OF WAYS TO INCREASE FUNDING
 THAT GOES INTO THE OPPORTUNITY FUND TO SERVE STUDENTS.
- O. AND HAVE YOU TALKED ABOUT EXPANDED WAIVERS?

1	A. NO.
2	Q. ALL RIGHT. PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT
3	MR. ISAACSON: I MOVE 2006.
4	MR. POMERANTZ: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
5	THE COURT: RECEIVED.
6	(PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 2006 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)
7	BY MR. ISAACSON:
8	Q. PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 280.
9	AND IF YOU WILL SEE AT THE BOTTOM OF THE FIRST PAGE, I
10	WANT TO SHOW THIS BEGINS WITH AN EMAIL FROM MR. BERST,
11	SENIOR EXECUTIVE OF THE NCAA IN OCTOBER OF 2008.
12	AND THEN AT THE BOTTOM, IT'S EXPLAINING THESE ARE THOUGHTS
13	ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTOR SESSION ON VALUE-BASED
14	DECISION-MAKING, AND THERE'S COMMENTS FROM VARIOUS PEOPLE.
15	AND I WANT TO ASK ABOUT THE COMMENTS FROM MR. RAY, WHICH
16	ARE AT 3.
17	ALL RIGHT. MR. RAY WAS THE PRESIDENT OF OREGON STATE
18	BEGINNING IN AROUND 2003, RIGHT?
19	A. AND HE REMAINS THE PRESIDENT, YES.
20	Q. AND HE SAYS:
21	"ARE WE IN DANGER OF LOSING OUR SENSE OF BALANCE BY
22	ACTING CONTRARY TO OUR VALUES?"
23	AND THEN ASKS, HOWEVER, WITH REGARD TO THE USE OF STUDENT
24	ATHLETE LIKENESSES, HE SUGGESTED PUTTING SOME MONEY INTO A
25	TRUST FOR THE BENEFIT OF STUDENT ATHLETES IF THEY ARE INVOLVED

IN COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES. 1 2 YOU HAVE HAD UNIVERSITY PRESIDENTS MAKE SIMILAR PROPOSALS 3 TO YOU, HAVEN'T YOU? MR. POMERANTZ: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS 4 5 HEARSAY WITHIN HEARSAY, AND I THINK THE FORM OF THE QUESTION 6 IS IMPROPER. 7 MR. ISAACSON: I'M NOT ADMITTING IT FOR THE TRUTH. 8 IT'S INDEPENDENTLY --9 THE COURT: YOU DON'T NEED THE DOCUMENT. YOU CAN ASK THE OUESTION AND IT CAN BE ANSWERED. 10 11 MR. POMERANTZ: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. ISAACSON: HOWEVER I'M GOING TO ADMIT IT, BUT 12 13 THE -- OKAY. 14 BY MR. ISAACSON: 15 HAVE YOU HAD SIMILAR SUGGESTIONS MADE TO YOU BY OTHER 16 COLLEGE PRESIDENTS? 17 WE -- THERE HAVE BEEN, AS I SAID, SIGNIFICANT DISCUSSIONS OF THAT GENERAL NOTION THROUGHOUT THE MEMBERSHIP, AND I DON'T 18 19 RECALL PRESIDENTS SPECIFICALLY PROPOSING IT, BUT I WOULD NOT 20 BE SURPRISED HAD THEY DONE SO. 21 ALL RIGHT. NOW THAT I'VE MENTIONED OREGON STATE, I THINK 22 WE ARE TECHNICALLY READY FOR OREGON. 23 (DOCUMENT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 24 THIS IS -- NUMBER 8 IS THE JERSEY NUMBER OF THE STAR

OUARTERBACK MR. MARIOTA FROM OREGON.

MAYBE YOU DON'T KNOW HIS JERSEY NUMBER. 1 2 I'M A HUSKY, SO, NO, I PROBABLY DON'T. Α. 3 YOU ARE AWARE THAT THE TOP SELLING COLLEGE JERSEYS IN AMERICA ARE CONSISTENTLY THOSE THAT HAVE THE NUMBERS OF STAR 4 5 PLAYERS. I DON'T KNOW THAT FOR AN EMPIRICAL FACT, BUT I'M SURE IT'S 6 7 TRUE. 8 MR. ISAACSON: I WILL MOVE TO ADMIT 280, AND WE WILL 9 DISCUSS WITH COUNSEL LATER WHY OR WHY NOT IT'S HEARSAY. 10 BY MR. ISAACSON: 11 Q. PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 2046, WHICH HAS BEEN ADMITTED. 12 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 13 THIS IS AN EMAIL THAT THE COURT HAS SEEN BEFORE. UNLESS 14 YOU WENT THROUGH IT IN YOUR PREPARATION, YOU HAVEN'T SEEN IT. 15 AT THE TOP IT'S FROM THE PRESIDENT OF PENN STATE, 16 DECEMBER 2008. AND IT TALKS ABOUT -- AND IT HAS AN EMAIL FROM 17 ELIZABETH ALTMAIER OF IOWA. 18 DO YOU KNOW HER? 19 I BELIEVE I'VE MET HER, BUT I DON'T KNOW HER WELL. Α. SHE SAYS AT THE BOTTOM OF 2046, TALKING ABOUT THESE 20 21 WAIVERS: "I ALSO THINK THE LIKELIHOOD OF A STUDENT ATHLETE NOT 22 23 APPROVING HIS OR HER OWN IMAGE USE IS LOW. STUDENT 24 ATHLETES DON'T HAVE MUCH DISCRETION IT IS AND THEY 25 SIGN THESE RELEASE FORMS IN A SINGLE MEETING WITH

LITERALLY A STACK IN FRONT OF EACH ONE OF THEM." 1 2 IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT WHEN THE NCAA FORM IS SIGNED 3 AND WHEN ANY FORMS PROVIDED BY UNIVERSITIES ARE SIGNED, THAT IT'S GIVEN TO THE ATHLETES IN A BIG STACK OF FORMS THAT THEY 4 5 SIGN BEFORE THEY GO INTO THEIR FIRST PRACTICE? MR. POMERANTZ: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, HEARSAY TO THE 6 7 EXTENT IT'S QUOTING MS. ALTMAIER. I THINK HE CAN ASK THE LAST 8 PORTION OF THE QUESTION WITHOUT THAT STATEMENT. 9 THE WITNESS: I HAVE NEVER BEEN --THE COURT: YOU CAN ANSWER THE OUESTION. THE 10 11 DOCUMENT IS ALREADY IN EVIDENCE. THE WITNESS: I BEG YOUR PARDON. 12 13 I HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESENT DURING ONE OF THOSE -- ONE OF 14 THOSE SIGNING MOMENTS, SO I CAN'T SPEAK TO WHAT DOES OR DOES 15 NOT HAPPEN AT THAT MOMENT. 16 BY MR. ISAACSON: 17 YOU HAVE NO UNDERSTANDING OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH 18 THE ATHLETES SIGN THESE FORMS AND YOU HAVE NEVER ASKED ABOUT 19 THAT; IS THAT RIGHT? I HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESENT IN A LOCKER ROOM WHEN THESE 20 PAPERS ARE SIGNED. SO, NO, I CAN'T TELL YOU THE MANNER IN 21 22 WHICH THAT HAPPENS. 23 I'M NOT ASKING YOU WHETHER YOU'RE IN THE LOCKER ROOM. YOU ARE PRESIDENT OF THE NCAA. DID YOU EVER ASK ABOUT 24 25 THIS? DID YOU EVER ASK WHAT ARE THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH

1	THESE ATHLETES ARE SIGNING THESE FORM?
2	A. DID I EVER ASK HOW SOMEONE SITS AND SIGNS PAPERS?
3	NO, I DID NOT.
4	Q. AND MS. ALTMAIER THEN SAYS:
5	"I REMAIN COMMITTED" ON THE NEXT PAGE "TO THE
6	IDEA OF HAVING SOME RETURN FINANCIAL TO THE STUDENT
7	ATHLETES THEMSELVES."
8	HAVE YOU ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH PEOPLE IN THE NCAA
9	RECOMMENDING TO THE NCAA THAT THE STUDENT ATHLETES SHARE IN
10	COMMERCIAL REVENUES FROM LICENSING?
11	A. SURE. IT'S BEEN A DEBATE FOR SOME TIME.
12	Q. AND THE REASON THAT HASN'T HAPPENED IS BECAUSE THE NCAA
13	AND ALL OF ITS MEMBER INSTITUTIONS HAVE DECIDED THAT'S NOT
14	PERMITTED; IS THAT CORRECT?
15	A. THE MEMBER MEMBERS OF THE ASSOCIATION HAVE CONSISTENTLY
16	CONCLUDED THAT THAT'S A VIOLATION OF AMATEURISM.
17	MR. ISAACSON: I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS.
18	THE COURT: I AM SORRY.
19	THE WITNESS: I THOUGHT I WAS DONE.
20	MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, IN TERMS OF THE RULES ON
21	PROMOTIONAL ACTIVITIES IN THE NCAA RULES, I HAVE A PHOTOCOPY
22	OF THOSE SET OF RULES FOR YOUR HONOR. I HAVE A COPY FOR
23	OPPOSING COUNSEL AS WELL, IF YOU WANT TO HAVE A COPY OF THE
24	RULES.
25	THE COURT: SO, IF YOU DON'T MIND, I HAVE A

SOMETHING I WOULD LIKE TO ASK. 1 MR. POMERANTZ: SURE. 2 3 THE COURT: I KNOW YOU SAID I SHOULD ASK IT LATER. BUT THE CONFERENCES, THE MONEY THAT THEY RECEIVE AS 4 5 REVENUES FROM BROADCAST AND OTHER THINGS, THEY DIVIDE UP AMONGST THEIR MEMBER SCHOOLS GENERALLY EQUALLY; IS THAT RIGHT? 6 7 THE WITNESS: YES, GENERALLY. EACH CONFERENCE HAS 8 SLIGHTLY DIFFERENT RULES, BUT FOR THE MOST PART, THEY -- THEY 9 DIVIDE THEM IN SOMETHING APPROXIMATING EQUAL SHARES, YES. THE COURT: BUT AMONGST THE VARIOUS CONFERENCES, SOME 10 11 HAVE MORE MONEY TO DIVIDE WITH THEIR SCHOOLS THAN OTHERS. 12 THE WITNESS: YES. THE CONFERENCES RECEIVE THEIR --13 THEIR REVENUE FROM MEDIA RIGHTS DURING REGULAR-SEASON SPORTS, 14 WHICH THE NCAA, THE ASSOCIATION, DOES NOT HAVE AUTHORITY OVER 15 AND -- AND FOR ALL OF THEIR FOOTBALL POST-SEASON PLAY. AND 16 THEN THEY DIVIDE THAT IN VARIOUS WAYS AMONG THEMSELVES, YES. 17 THE COURT: SO THERE IS NO SHARING BETWEEN 18 CONFERENCES. 19 THE WITNESS: CORRECT, EXCEPT AN OCCASION WHEN THERE 20 ARE CROSS-CONFERENCE COMPETITIONS, AND INSTITUTIONS MAY SHARE 21 REVENUE DURING THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES. 22 THE COURT: HAS THERE EVER BEEN ANY TALK ABOUT 23 SHARING REVENUE BETWEEN CONFERENCES? THE WITNESS: THERE HAS BEEN WITH THE KNIGHT 24 25 COMMISSION. THE KNIGHT COMMISSION HAS PROPOSED SOME MODEL

```
LIKE THAT AROUND FOOTBALL REVENUE IN PARTICULAR.
 1
 2
                THE COURT: WHAT DID THEY PROPOSE SUCH SHARING WOULD
 3
      BE BASED ON? EQUALITY OR SOMETHING ELSE?
                THE WITNESS: YES. THERE HADN'T BEEN ANY DETAILED
 4
       DISCUSSIONS, SO THERE REALLY WASN'T A BASIS OF THAT
 5
 6
       CONVERSATION THAT I'M AWARE OF.
 7
                THE COURT: SO THERE WAS SOME TALK OF SHARING OR
 8
       DIVVYING UP BASED ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE STUDENTS.
 9
                THE WITNESS: YES, BUT NOT NECESSARILY SPECIFICALLY
10
       CONFERENCE MONEY, BUT NCAA REVENUE FROM THE TOURNAMENT, AS I
11
      UNDERSTAND THEIR DISCUSSIONS.
                THE COURT: IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION OF DIVIDING
12
13
       SHARING REVENUES BASED ON, FOR EXAMPLE, WIN/LOSS RECORDS,
14
      LOSING SCHOOLS GET MORE?
15
                THE WITNESS: NO.
16
                THE COURT: WHAT ABOUT SHARING TO EQUALIZE THE AMOUNT
17
      OF FINANCIAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO VARIOUS SCHOOLS?
                THE WITNESS: THERE HASN'T BEEN THAT CONVERSATION
18
19
       THAT I'M AWARE OF.
                THE COURT: AND I THINK YOU SAID YESTERDAY THAT THAT
20
21
       WOULD BE INIMITABLE TO THE NCAA OR WOULDN'T BE THE FUNCTION OF
       THE NCAA'S AS YOU SEE IT. I THINK YOU SAID SOMETHING LIKE
22
23
      THAT YESTERDAY, NO?
24
                THE WITNESS: I AM SORRY, I'M NOT SURE TO WHAT YOU
25
      ARE REFERRING, MA'AM.
```

1 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. 2 MR. ISAACSON: I SAID INCORRECTLY ON THE RECORD 3 PLAINTIFF EXHIBIT 2046 HAS BEEN ADMITTED. WE ARE STILL 4 SEEKING TO ADMIT IT. 5 THE COURT: OKAY. LET ME JUST LOOK FOR A MINUTE. 6 7 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) THE COURT: OKAY. 8 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. POMERANTZ: 11 DR. EMMERT, I JUST WANT TO GO BACK OVER A FEW DOCUMENTS 12 THAT MR. ISAACSON SHOWED TO YOU. 13 MR. POMERANTZ: IF WE CAN PUT BACK ON THE SCREEN 14 EXHIBIT 2006. 15 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 16 BY MR. POMERANTZ: 17 Q. IT'S A SINGLE-PAGE EMAIL THAT YOU WERE SHOWN RELATING TO THE EA VIDEO GAMES. 18 19 AND MR. ISAACSON ASKED YOU ABOUT THE SECOND PARAGRAPH. I 20 WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT THE THIRD PARAGRAPH. 21 MR. POMERANTZ: IF WE CAN PULL UP THAT ENTIRE THIRD 22 PARAGRAPH. 23 BY MR. POMERANTZ: 24 Q. AND IT STATES: 25 "HOWEVER, IT IS FAR FROM CERTAIN THAT THE PRESIDENTS

WILL AGREE TO PROVIDE NAMES AND LIKENESSES IN VIDEO 1 2 GAMES. THEY MAY DECIDE TO LEAVE THE MONEY ON THE 3 TABLE. THE REASON IS THAT IT MAY BE SEEN AS THE BEGINNING OF THE SLIDE TOWARD PROFESSIONALIZING 4 5 STUDENT ATHLETES." IS THAT WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED HERE? 6 7 YES, THAT'S PRECISELY WHAT HAPPENED. THE PRESIDENTS DID 8 NOT AGREE TO INCREASING THE NAMES AND LIKENESS -- PROVIDING 9 NAMES AND LIKENESS IN VIDEO GAMES. 10 SO EVEN THOUGH MR. BRAND AND MR. SHAHEEN WERE CONSIDERING 11 OTHER OPTIONS, THE MEMBERS DISAGREED, CORRECT? 12 A. CORRECT. 13 Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN TO EXHIBIT 826. 14 AND WE ARE GOING TO LOOK AT THE EMAIL FROM MR. MALLONEE 15 THAT MR. ISAACSON ASKED YOU ABOUT, WHICH STARTS ON THE BOTTOM 16 OF THE FIRST PAGE. BUT LET'S TURN TO THE SECOND PAGE. 17 MR. POMERANTZ: NOW, IF YOU COULD PULL UP THE PARAGRAPH THAT BEGINS "THAT THEN RAISES" AT THE TOP? 18 19 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) BY MR. POMERANTZ: 20 21 NOW, MR. ISAACSON ASKED YOU ABOUT THE LAST SENTENCE. "THE BIGGEST CONCERN I HAVE IS THAT SUCH A POSITION 22 23 REALLY DOES NOT ALLOW FOR THE MAXIMUM COMMERCIAL 24 EXPLOITATION OF THE STUDENT ATHLETE." 25 I WANT TO GO BACK TO THE FIRST TWO SENTENCES. THOSE

STATE: 1 2 "THAT THEN RAISES THE ISSUE OF WHETHER GETTING IN 3 LINE WITH TECHNOLOGY MEANS BEING MORE RESTRICTIVE OR LENIENT WITH OUR RULES. THE ARTICLE WOULD IMPLY THAT 4 5 WE MIGHT RELAX OUR RULES A BIT." DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 7 YES. Α. 8 Q. AND THEN THE SENTENCE THAT MR. ISAACSON QUOTED SAYS: 9 "THE BIGGEST CONCERN I HAVE IS THAT SUCH A POSITION 10 REALLY DOES NOT ALLOW..." 11 DO YOU SEE THAT? 12 Α. YES. 13 Q. AND SUCH A POSITION REFERS BACK TO THE RELAXATION OF THE 14 RULES, CORRECT? 15 YES. Α. 16 Ο. WERE THOSE RULES EVER RELAXED? 17 Α. NO. 18 Q. ALL RIGHT. 19 MR. POMERANTZ: LET'S PULL UP EXHIBIT 2017, AND 20 PAGE 4 OF THAT DOCUMENT, PLEASE. 21 (EXHIBIT DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.) 22 BY MR. POMERANTZ: 23 Q. MR. ISAACSON ASKED YOU, I THINK, A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS REPORT WHICH IS FROM A TASK FORCE THAT YOU WERE PART OF. 24 25 DO YOU RECALL THAT?

1 **A.** YES.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. AND YESTERDAY HE FOCUSED YOU ON PAGE 25 OF THIS DOCUMENT,

2017-25.

4 MR. POMERANTZ: IF WE CAN GO THERE.

(PAGE DISPLAYED ON SCREEN.)

BY MR. POMERANTZ:

Q. ON THE TOP, THERE IS -- HE FOCUSED YOU ON A SENTENCE --

MR. POMERANTZ: IF WE CAN PULL UP THE TOP PLEASE, THE CARRY-OVER PARAGRAPH.

BY MR. POMERANTZ:

Q. HE FOCUSED ON YOU A SENTENCE WHICH BASICALLY RAISED

QUESTIONS ABOUT THE INTEGRATION OF ATHLETICS AND ACADEMICS AND

CONCLUDED THAT THERE NEEDED TO BE BETTER INTEGRATION OF

ATHLETES AND ACADEMICS TO REINFORCE THE VALUES OF HIGHER OF

EDUCATION.

DO YOU RECALL THAT HE ASKED YOU ABOUT THAT?

- A. YES.
- Q. THEN HE BROUGHT YOU TO PAGE 59, 2017-59. AND IN THE FIRST FULL PARAGRAPH THERE, HE FOCUSED YOU ON THE FACT THAT THIS TASK FORCE SAID THERE NEEDED TO BE CHANGES MADE TO TRY TO BETTER IMPROVE THE INTEGRATION OF ACADEMICS AND ATHLETICS.
- 22 DO YOU RECALL THAT?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- Q. AND HE SUGGESTED THAT SINCE YOU'VE BEEN PRESIDENT, THOSE KINDS OF CHANGES HAVE NOT YET OCCURRED.

DO YOU RECALL THAT? 1 A. 2 YES. 3 Q. LET'S LOOK AT WHAT'S HAPPENED SINCE YOU'VE BEEN PRESIDENT. YOU MENTIONED THE ACADEMIC PROGRESS RATE, CORRECT? 4 5 YES. Α. THAT'S THE APR. 6 Ο. 7 AND YOU INDICATED THAT THERE HAD BEEN SOME CHANGE IN THE 8 RULES REGARDING APR SINCE YOU'VE BECOME PRESIDENT. 9 WHAT WERE THOSE CHANGES? 10 THE CHANGES WERE TO -- TO USE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AS ONE Α. 11 OF THE CRITERIA FOR POST-SEASON PARTICIPATION IN SPORT. THAT'S PROBABLY THE MOST IMPORTANT OPPORTUNITY THAT STUDENT 12 13 ATHLETES SEEK. AND SO BY RAISING THOSE STANDARDS, IT HAS HAD 14 A PROFOUND IMPACT ON FOCUSING INSTITUTIONS ON THEIR ACADEMIC 15 PERFORMANCE. 16 WE'VE ALSO ENFORCED THE APR RULES AS THEY -- THE -- THE 17 COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE HAS RAISED THE APR BARS THROUGH THAT SAME PERIOD, AND THEN WE HAVE ENFORCED THOSE 18 RULES DETERMINING MANY SCHOOLS WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO 19 20 PARTICIPATE IN POST-SEASON PLAY, AND IN SOME CASES, ON THE

Q. AND IN YOUR TENURE AS PRESIDENT, HAS THE NCAA CHANGED ITS RULES REGARDING THE MINIMUM HIGH SCHOOL GPA THAT INCOMING STUDENTS ATHLETES ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE?

VERGE OF NOT BEING ABLE TO PARTICIPATE AT ALL.

21

22

23

24

25

A. YES. WE'VE RAISED IN MINIMUM GPA IN THE 16 CORE COURSES,

- 1 COLLEGE PREP COURSES TO 2.3 GRADE POINT AVERAGE.
- 2 Q. WHY DID YOU MAKE THAT CHANGE?
- 3 A. TO MAKE SURE THAT STUDENT ATHLETES, WHEN THEY ARRIVED ON
- 4 CAMPUS, WERE ABLE TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN THE CLASSROOM AS WELL AS
- 5 ON THE COURT OR THE FIELD.
- 6 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THAT WILL IMPROVE THE INTEGRATION OF
- 7 ACADEMICS AND ATHLETICS?
- 8 A. ABSOLUTELY.
- 9 Q. IN YOUR TENURE AS PRESIDENT, HAS THE NCAA CHANGED ITS
- 10 RULES REGARDING THE CORE CLASSES THAT INCOMING STUDENT
- 11 ATHLETES ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE COMPLETED BEFORE THEIR SENIOR
- 12 YEAR OF HIGH SCHOOL?
- 13 A. YES. IN ORDER TO ASSURE THAT STUDENTS WEREN'T FOCUSING ON
- 14 THEIR ACADEMICS IN HIGH SCHOOL ONLY AT THE LAST MOMENT, WE
- 15 CHANGED THE RULES TO REQUIRE THAT THEY TAKE THEIR CORE COURSE
- 16 SEQUENCE IN A MORE TRADITIONAL FASHION OVER THE TIME THEY ARE
- 17 IN HIGH SCHOOL.
- 18 Q. AND DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THAT CHANGE IN THE RULE WILL
- 19 IMPROVE THE INTEGRATION OF ACADEMICS AND ATHLETICS?
- 20 **A.** YES.
- 21 O. WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THAT?
- 22 **A.** BECAUSE STUDENTS WILL SHOW UP BETTER PREPARED, BOTH FROM A
- 23 PEDAGOGICAL AND FROM AN ACADEMIC POINT OF VIEW, TO TAKE ON
- 24 COLLEGE COURSE WORK. THEY WILL SHOW UP READY TO COMPETE IN
- 25 THE CLASSROOM AS WELL AS THE COURT.

- 1 Q. AND IN YOUR TENURE AS PRESIDENT, HAS THE NCAA INSTITUTED A
- 2 | RULE NOT ALLOWING ADDITIONAL GAMES OR LONGER SEASONS FOR ANY
- 3 NCAA SUPPORT?
 - A. YES.

- 5 Q. WHY DID YOU MAKE THIS CHANGE?
- 6 **A.** IN ORDER TO -- TO LIMIT THE GROWTH OF THE REOUIREMENTS
- 7 ■ THAT WAS BEING PLACED ON STUDENT ATHLETES SO THAT THEY HAVE
- 8 MORE TIME FOR THEIR ACADEMIC WORK.
- 9 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THAT WILL IMPROVE THE INTEGRATION OF
- 10 ACADEMICS AND ATHLETICS?
- 11 **A.** I DO.
- 12 Q. AND IN YOUR TENURE AS PRESIDENT, HAS THE NCAA CHANGED ITS
- 13 RULES TO PERMIT MEMBER COLLEGES TO OFFER GUARANTEED MULTIYEAR
- 14 GRANTS-IN-AID TO STUDENT ATHLETES?
- 15 **A.** YES.
- 16 **O.** WHY DID THE NCAA MAKE THIS CHANGE?
- 17 **A.** BECAUSE IT SEEMED APPROPRIATE FOR UNIVERSITIES TO HAVE
- 18 THAT OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A MULTIYEAR COMMITMENT TO A YOUNG MAN
- OR YOUNG WOMAN SHOULD THEY DESIRE TO DO SO, TO PROVIDE THEM
- 20 WITH SOME REASSURANCE THAT THEY WERE GOING TO BE AT THE
- 21 INSTITUTION FOR THAT PERIOD OF TIME.
- 22 **Q.** AND DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THAT CHANGE IN THE RULE IMPROVED
- 23 THE INTEGRATION OF ACADEMICS AND ATHLETICS?
- 24 **A.** YES.
- 25 **Q.** WHY?

- 1 **A.** FOR THE SIMPLE REASON THAT THE STUDENT ATHLETE CAN FOCUS
- 2 MORE ON THE BALANCE BETWEEN THEIR ACADEMICS AND THEIR
- 3 \blacksquare ATHLETICS WITHOUT SOME OF THE PRESSURE, CONCERNS OF HAVING A
- 4 ONE-YEAR SCHOLARSHIP.
- 5 \blacksquare Q. NOW, YOU WERE A FACULTY MEMBER AND A UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT,
- 6 CORRECT?
- 7 **A.** I WAS.
- 8 Q. WHEN YOU WERE A PROFESSOR, I TAKE IT YOU PARTICIPATED IN
- 9 DISCUSSIONS ABOUT CURRICULUM REQUIREMENTS?
- 10 **A.** YES.
- 11 Q. AND CURRICULUM CHANGES?
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 **Q.** HOW ABOUT AS A UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT? DID YOU ALSO
- 14 PARTICIPATE IN DISCUSSIONS WITH FACULTY ABOUT CURRICULUM
- 15 REQUIREMENTS AND CHANGES?
- 16 **A.** YES.
- 17 Q. DID YOU EVER SEE ONE FACULTY MEMBER DISAGREE WITH ANOTHER
- 18 FACULTY MEMBER ABOUT CHANGES TO THE CURRICULUM?
- 19 **A.** ONLY WHEN THEY SPOKE.
- 20 Q. IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THEY SOMETIMES STRONGLY DISAGREED
- 21 WITH EACH OTHER?
- 22 A. YES, VERY MUCH SO.
- 23 Q. HAVE YOU STRONGLY DISAGREED WITH ANOTHER FACULTY MEMBER
- 24 ABOUT CURRICULUM AND CURRICULUM CHANGES?
- **A.** CONSTANTLY.

Q. IN YOUR DOZENS OF YEARS IN ACADEMIA, HAVE YOU EVER SEEN

MEMOS OR EMAILS BETWEEN PROFESSORS WHERE THEY ARE STRONGLY

DISAGREEING ABOUT CURRICULUM AND CURRICULUM CHANGES?

- A. FREQUENTLY.
- Q. AND IS IT FAIR TO SAY THAT THIS DEBATE AND FREE EXCHANGE

OF IDEAS IS SOMETHING THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT FOR ACADEMIA?

- 7 **A.** YES. IT'S THE NORMAL MODE OF COMMUNICATION.
- 8 Q. AND DOES THE FACT THAT ONE SIDE EXPRESSES ITS VIEW REALLY,
- 9 REALLY STRONGLY OR PASSIONATELY MEAN THAT IT'S ABSOLUTELY
- 10 RIGHT?

3

4

- 11 A. NO. IT'S, AGAIN, THE NORMAL MODE OF COMMUNICATION.
- 12 Q. ARE SUCH DEBATES PART OF THE MAKING SURE THAT THE
- 13 UNIVERSITY FINDS THE RIGHT WAY TO IMPLEMENT ITS CORE VALUE OF
- 14 PROVIDING THE STUDENTS WITH A QUALITY EDUCATION?
- 15 **A.** YES.
- 16 O. DID THESE DEBATES INDICATE TO YOU THAT THE FACULTY DIDN'T
- 17 CARE ABOUT WHETHER IT WAS PROVIDING A QUALITY EDUCATION TO ITS
- 18 STUDENTS?
- 19 **A.** QUITE THE CONTRARY.
- 20 **Q.** DO YOU THINK THAT THE FACULTY ALL WANTED TO PROVIDE THAT
- 21 UUALITY EDUCATION BUT THEY WERE JUST DISAGREEING ABOUT THE
- 22 RIGHT WAY TO DO IT?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- 24 **Q.** HOW ABOUT THE NCAA; DO ITS MEMBERS DEBATE HOW TO IMPLEMENT
- 25 THE CORE VALUES OF THE NCAA?

- 1 **A.** YES.
- 2 \blacksquare Q. DO THE CENTRAL STAFF OFFICE ALSO DEBATE THOSE ISSUES?
- 3 **A.** CONSTANTLY.
- 4 **Q.** IF SOME MEMBERS OR SOME STAFF DRAW THE LINE DIFFERENTLY
- 5 ABOUT HOW CLOSE A STUDENT ATHLETE CAN STAND OR SIT NEXT TO A
- 6 CORPORATE LOGO, DO YOU THINK THAT MEANS THAT THE -- THAT THE
- 8 OF AMATEURISM?
 - A. ABSOLUTELY NOT.
- 10 Q. DO YOU THINK THAT THEY'RE JUST DISAGREEING ON HOW TO
- 11 IMPLEMENT THE CORE VALUE?
- 12 **A.** YES.

- 13 Q. BEFORE A PROVISION IS ADDED TO THE NCAA CONSTITUTION, DOES
- 14 IT HAVE TO BE APPROVED BY A VOTE OF THE ENTIRE MEMBERSHIP?
- 15 **A.** IT HAS TO BE APPROVED BY THE BOARD REPRESENTING THE
- 16 MEMBERSHIP. AND THE MEMBERSHIP HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO VOTE TO
- 17 OVERTURN THAT RULE SHOULD THEY WANT TO.
- 18 Q. AND THAT'S WITH RESPECT TO RULES.
- 19 WITH RESPECT TO THE CONSTITUTION ITSELF, DO -- DOES THE
- 20 ENTIRE MEMBERSHIP VOTE ON WHETHER, FOR EXAMPLE, A CERTAIN
- 21 PRINCIPLE SHOULD BE ADDED TO THE CONSTITUTION?
- **A.** YES. ABSOLUTELY.
- 23 **Q.** AND WITH RESPECT TO THE PRINCIPLE THAT IS SET FORTH IN
- 24 SECTION 2.9, THE PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM, WAS THAT VOTED ON BY
- 25 THE ENTIRE MEMBERSHIP OF THE NCAA?

1 A. YES. 2 AND THE MEMBERSHIP OF THE NCAA HAS AGREED TO THAT 3 PRINCIPLE, CORRECT? YES, IT HAS. 4 Α. 5 AND THAT'S WHY IT'S IN THE CONSTITUTION, CORRECT? Q. 6 Α. YES. 7 AND FROM TIME TO TIME, HAVE THE MEMBERS OF THE NCAA OR THE Q. 8 STAFF OF THE NCAA DISAGREED ABOUT HOW TO INTERPRET OR TO APPLY 9 THAT PRINCIPLE? 10 Α. FREQUENTLY. 11 BUT DO THEY STILL AGREE TO THE CORE PRINCIPLE ITSELF? Q. 12 Α. YES. 13 Q. AND THAT'S WHY IT'S IN THE CONSTITUTION, CORRECT? 14 Α. CORRECT. 15 MR. POMERANTZ: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR 16 HONOR. 17 THE COURT: I FOUND WHAT I WAS ASKING YOU ABOUT. 18 IT'S SOMETHING YOU SAID YESTERDAY OR MAYBE THE DAY BEFORE. 19 DON'T KNOW. "IT'S NOT THE MISSION OF THE ASSOCIATION OF THE 20 21 MEMBERS, AT LEAST THEY HAVE NOT DESCRIBED AS SUCH TO 22 TRY AND TAKE AWAY THE ADVANTAGES OF THE UNIVERSITY, 23 IT'S MADE A SIGNIFICANT COMMITMENT TO FACILITIES AND TRADITION AND ALL OF THE THINGS THAT GO ALONG WITH 24

BUILDING A PROGRAM."

AND THAT WAS IN THE CONTEXT OF DISCUSSIONS OF WHAT WE MIGHT CALL COMPETITIVE BALANCE OR COMPETITIVE EQUITY.

THE WITNESS: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: CAN YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEANT BY THAT?

THE WITNESS: CERTAINLY. WHAT I WAS REALLY REFERRING TO IS THE -- IS THE REALITY THAT ONE UNIVERSITY HAS BEEN PLAYING FOOTBALL FOR A VERY LONG TIME, LET'S SAY, AND OVER THE COURSE OF A HUNDRED YEARS THEY HAVE ESTABLISHED A VERY STRONG TRADITION, THEY HAVE ESTABLISHED A REPUTATION, THEY'VE BUILT FACILITIES, THEY'VE MADE A LONGSTANDING COMMITMENT, AND ANOTHER SCHOOL MAYBE JUST RECENTLY MOVED INTO DIVISION I FOOTBALL, AND THERE'S -- THE MEMBERSHIP HAS DETERMINED THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO TRY AND CREATE A REBALANCE BETWEEN THE POTENTIAL ADVANTAGE THAT A UNIVERSITY HAS BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN A FOOTBALL TEAM FOR A VERY LONG TIME AND THE OTHER ONE IS RELATIVELY NEW AND IS JUST BEGINNING TO MAKE THOSE KINDS OF INVESTMENTS IN THEIR ATHLETIC PROGRAM.

THE COURT: SO THE MEMBERS DON'T WANT TO MAKE A FINANCIAL REBALANCE.

THE WITNESS: THEY -- THEY WANT TO -- NOW I'M

INTERPRETING THEIR VIEWS, YOUR HONOR. BUT MY SENSE IS THAT

THEY RECOGNIZE THAT ONE UNIVERSITY HAS MADE THOSE INVESTMENTS

FROM THEIR OWN INSTITUTIONAL RESOURCES OVER AN EXTENDED PERIOD

OF TIME AND SHOULD ANOTHER UNIVERSITY DECIDE TO DO THAT,

THEY -- THEY ARE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SHARE THE RESOURCES OF

1	CHAMPIONSHIPS AND DO SO IN THE NCAA CHAMPIONSHIPS AND THROUGH
2	THEIR CONFERENCE EVENTS, BUT THAT THEY DON'T WANT TO SUPPLANT
3	THE INSTITUTIONAL DOLLARS SPENT BY ONE SCHOOL.
4	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT RAISED
5	ANY FURTHER QUESTIONS.
6	MR. POMERANTZ: NOT FROM ME, YOUR HONOR.
7	THE WITNESS: DID I ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?
8	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
9	MR. ISAACSON: I JUST WANTED TO FOLLOW UP ON THE APR
10	POINT JUST BRIEFLY.
11	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. ISAACSON:
13	Q. THE APR NUMBER HAS BEEN INCREASED FROM 900 TO 930. I
14	DON'T PRETEND TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THOSE TWO NUMBERS MEAN.
15	LET ME GET TO THE BOTTOM LINE OF THAT METRIC.
16	MR. LENNON SAID IN AN INTERVIEW ON NCAA.ORG HE WAS
17	ASKED THE QUESTION:
18	"WHY DID THE NCAA RAISE THE APR REQUIREMENT TO 930?
19	I'M JUST ASKING YOU THIS TO SIMPLIFY.
20	AND HE SAID:
21	"OUR MEMBERSHIP WANTED TO ANCHOR POST-SEASON
22	ELIGIBILITY AGAINST A RATE THAT PREDICTED A
23	50 PERCENT GRADUATION RATE. THEY FELT THAT WAS A
24	MINIMUM STANDARD A TEAM MUST ACHIEVE."
25	THAT'S A SUMMARY OF WHAT THE BOTTOM LINE OF THE APR IS, IS

1	IN ORDER TO PARTICIPATE IN POST-SEASON TOURNAMENTS, 50 PERCENT
2	OF YOUR PLAYERS HAVE TO BE ON TRACK TO GRADUATE.
3	A. YES, THAT'S CORRECT. THE GOAL WITH THE APR HAS ALWAYS
4	BEEN THAT WE HAD AN 80 PERCENT GRADUATION RATE. THEY SET THAT
5	FOR NOW AS THE MINIMUM THRESHOLD FOR POST-SEASON PLAY.
6	Q. THE MINIMUM THRESHOLD IS 50 PERCENT?
7	A. YES. WHICH IS SLIGHTLY UNDER THE NATIONAL AVERAGE FOR
8	UNIVERSITIES ACROSS THE COUNTRY.
9	MR. ISAACSON: THAT'S IT.
10	MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, IF I CAN FOLLOW UP ON
11	THAT LAST ANSWER.
12	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. POMERANTZ:
14	Q. WHEN YOU SAY IT'S SLIGHTLY UNDER THE GRADUATION RATE, ARE
15	YOU TALKING ABOUT THE GRADUATION RATE FOR STUDENT ATHLETES OR
16	FOR THE GENERAL STUDENT BODY?
17	A. ENTIRE STUDENT BODY.
18	MR. POMERANTZ: THANK YOU. NO FURTHER QUESTIONS.
19	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW YOU CAN STEP DOWN.
20	THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
21	THE COURT: WE WILL TAKE OUR BREAK. IT'S 10:20. WE
22	WILL BREAK UNTIL 10:35. WHO IS THE NEXT WITNESS?
23	MR. POMERANTZ: MR. DELANY, YOUR HONOR, THE BIG TEN
24	COMMISSIONER.
25	THE COURT. OKAY

(RECESS TAKEN AT 10:20; RESUMED AT 10:35 A.M.)

THE CLERK: REMAIN SEATED.

THE COURT: SO I HAVE A COUPLE OF THINGS I WANTED TO RAISE WITH YOU BEFORE WE START WITH THE NEXT WITNESS.

I MENTIONED THE POSSIBILITY OF INTERIM CLOSING ARGUMENTS

AND MET WITH A RESOUNDING LACK OF ENTHUSIASM, BUT I HAVE

QUESTIONS THAT I'LL WANT TO ASK OR DISCUSS WITH THE LAWYERS,

NOT JUST QUESTIONS I NIGHT HAVE OF WITNESSES, BUT WITH THE

LAWYERS. AND WE HAD TALKED ABOUT DOING A WRITTEN CLOSING

ARGUMENT, WHICH DOESN'T GIVE ME ANY CHANCE TO ASK MY

QUESTIONS. AND SOME OF MY QUESTIONS MIGHT BE THINGS THAT I -
THAT MAYBE THERE ISN'T EVIDENCE ON AND THAT PERHAPS YOU WOULD

WANT TO PUT SOME EVIDENCE IN ON IT.

SO I WAS THINKING, AND I'M ASKING YOUR OPINION ON WHETHER WE SHOULD HAVE, I DON'T KNOW, A HALF AN HOUR OF LET'S SAY EARLY NEXT WEEK WHERE YOU WOULD SORT OF -- I DON'T WANT TO PUT YOU ON THE SPOT AND JUST START ASKING MY QUESTIONS NOW -- WHERE YOU WOULD HAVE A CHANCE TO PLAN OUT WHAT YOU THINK HAS BEEN PROVED THUS FAR. AND I WOULD -- AND MAINLY, I WOULD HAVE A CHANCE TO ASK MY QUESTIONS.

I CAN SEE SOME DOWNSIDES FOR YOU, I SUPPOSE, THAT IT MIGHT GIVE YOU MORE OF A CHANCE TO TAILOR THE TESTIMONY OR MIGHT MISLEAD AS TO WHERE THINGS ARE GOING OR TELEGRAPH EACH OTHER'S VIEWS, OR WHATEVER, AND MAYBE YOU DON'T WANT TO DO IT EVEN THOUGH IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL TO ME, OR MAYBE YOU DO WANT TO DO

IT. IT ALSO WOULD MEAN GIVING UP SOME TIME ON TESTIMONY, 1 2 ALTHOUGH I SUPPOSE I CAN REPAY YOU SOME AFTERNOON WITH THE 3 HALF HOUR THAT I WOULD TAKE FROM YOU. AND/OR AT THE END, WE COULD HAVE, ONCE THE TESTIMONY IS 4 5 IN, WE CAN HAVE SOME SORT OF CLOSING ARGUMENT DONE VERBALLY LIKE FRIDAY AFTERNOON, NEXT FRIDAY, OR EVEN COME BACK THE 6 7 FOLLOWING MONDAY AND THEN DO WRITTEN CLOSING ARGUMENTS AFTER 8 THAT. 9 SO, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THIS AT ALL OR IF 10 YOU WANT TO TALK ABOUT IT AMONGST YOURSELVES AND GIVE ME A 11 PROPOSAL AT THE NEXT BREAK. 12 MR. HAUSFELD: I THINK IT WOULD BE BEST IF WE WERE ABLE TO DISCUSS IT AMONG OURSELVES FIRST AND GET BACK TO YOU 13 14 THE FIRST THING. 15 THE COURT: GET BACK TO ME AFTER THE NEXT BREAK. 16 MR. HAUSFELD: YES. 17 THE COURT: OR AT THE END OF THE DAY, I SUPPOSE. MR. HAUSFELD: THE END OF THE DAY, IF THAT WOULD BE 18 19 POSSIBLE, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. 20 AND THEN I THINK THERE'S A FEW OTHER THINGS. I GUESS 21 22 WE'VE TALK ABOUT THE DEPOSITIONS. I'M LOOKING FOR THE 23 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT IN THAT OTHER CASE, BUT THEY TOLD ME THEY 24 WOULD FILE IT TODAY AT A CERTAIN TIME. 25 DO WE HAVE -- DO WE HAVE OTHER THINGS THAT I HAVE BEEN

```
ASKING YOU FOR THAT I HAVEN'T GOTTEN YET?
 1
 2
                MR. POMERANTZ: I DON'T THINK SO.
 3
                MR. HAUSFELD: NO, YOUR HONOR.
                MR. POMERANTZ: WE WILL TALK DURING THE BREAK AND GET
 4
 5
      BACK TO YOU BY 1:30 TODAY.
                THE COURT: IF YOU WOULD STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT
 6
 7
       HAND, PLEASE.
 8
           (JAMES DELANY, CALLED AS A WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS,
 9
      HAVING BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:)
10
                THE WITNESS: I DO.
11
                THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED, AND ONCE SEATED, I'M
12
       GOING TO ASK THAT YOU PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND
13
       LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE.
14
                THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS JAMES DELANY, J-A-M-E-S
15
      CAPITAL D-E-L-A-N-Y.
16
                THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
17
                             DIRECT EXAMINATION
      BY MR. LI:
18
19
         GOOD MORNING, MR. DELANY.
      Q.
20
      A. GOOD MORNING.
21
          WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT JOB?
      Q.
22
       Α.
          I'M COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE.
23
          NOW, WE'LL TALK ABOUT THIS A LITTLE MORE LATER, BUT IS THE
      Q.
24
       BIG TEN CONFERENCE AN ORGANIZATION OF COLLEGES AND
25
       UNIVERSITIES?
```

- 1 **A.** IT IS.
- 2 \blacksquare Q. ONE OF THE BIGGEST AND THE OLDEST CONFERENCES IN THE
- 3 NATION?

- A. IT IS.
- 5 Q. NOW, SIR, HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN THE COMMISSIONER OF THE
- 6 BIG TEN CONFERENCE?
- 7 A. I'M CONCLUDING MY 25TH YEAR.
- 8 Q. AND IN ADDITION TO THOSE 25 YEARS, HOW LONG TOTAL HAVE YOU
- 9 BEEN INVOLVED IN COLLEGIATE ATHLETICS?
- 10 A. LITTLE SHORT OF 40 YEARS.
- 11 Q. NOW BEFORE YOU WERE THE COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN, WHAT
- 12 WAS YOUR JOB?
- 13 **A.** I WAS COMMISSIONER OF THE OHIO VALLEY CONFERENCE IN
- 14 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE.
- 15 Q. IS THAT A SMALLER COLLECTION OF UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES?
- 16 **A.** IT IS.
- 17 Q. AT A VERY HIGH LEVEL, WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS
- 18 THE COMMISSIONER?
- 19 A. I WORK FOR THE UNIVERSITY PRESIDENTS AND CHANCELLORS AND
- 20 WITH THE ATHLETIC DIRECTORS, FACULTY, AND COACHES.
- 21 WE IMPLEMENT, WE TRY TO BRING PEOPLE TOGETHER, AND WE
- 22 REPRESENT THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE IN A VARIETY OF FORUMS WITH
- 23 THE NCAA, THE AREA OF TELEVISION, AND IN THE AREA OF BOWLS AND
- 24 OTHER RELATED ASSOCIATIONS.
- 25 Q. NOW, HOW -- LET ME PUT IT THIS WAY. WHO DO YOU REPORT TO

- 1 AT THE -- AT THE -- AS COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN?
- 2 **A.** I REPORT TO THE BIG TEN COUNCIL OF PRESIDENTS AND
- 3 CHANCELLORS THAT OPERATE AS OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS.

MAIN CAMPUSES OF THE 14-MEMBER INSTITUTIONS.

Q. WHO ARE THOSE FOLKS?

4

- 5 **A.** THOSE ARE THE PRESIDENTS AND CHANCELLORS OF EACH OF THE
- 7 Q. WHY, AS THE COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE, DO YOU
- 8 REPORT TO THE PRESIDENTS AND CHANCELLORS OF UNIVERSITIES?
- 9 **A.** BECAUSE THE PRESIDENTS BELIEVE THAT THE BEST WAY TO
- 10 ACHIEVE INSTITUTIONAL CONTROL OF INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS IS
- 11 THROUGH PRESIDENTIAL LEADERSHIP, IN OUR CASE PRESIDENTIAL
- 12 LEADERSHIP, IS EXERCISED BY THEM IN A COLLECTIVE SENSE WHEN
- 13 THEY'RE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.
- Q. THOSE PRESIDENTS, DO THEY HAVE BROADER RESPONSIBILITY THAN
- 15 JUST ATHLETICS?
- 16 A. YES. YES, THEY DO.
- 17 Q. GENERALLY WHAT ARE THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES?
- 18 A. THEY OVERSEE GREAT PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES IN THE MIDWEST AND
- 19 THE EAST. THEY OVERSEE HOSPITALS, UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAMS,
- 20 RESEARCH COMPONENTS, AND PUBLIC SERVICE. SO THEY HAVE A VERY
- 21 BROAD WIDE-RANGING SET OF RESPONSIBILITIES.
- 22 **Q.** NOW, DURING YOUR TENURE, I AM NOT GOING TO ASK YOU TO
- 23 SPEAK ABOUT THE 1800'S AND WHAT HAVE YOU, BUT DURING YOUR
- 24 TENURE, HAS -- HAVE YOU ALWAYS REPORTED TO THIS BODY OF
- 25 PRESIDENTS AND CHANCELLORS?

- 1 **A.** I HAVE.
- 2 Q. LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOURSELF. LET'S TALK ABOUT
- 3 YOUR EDUCATION.
- 4 WHERE DID YOU GO TO SCHOOL?
- 5 A. I WENT TO THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA IN CHAPEL HILL,
- 6 NORTH CAROLINA.
- 7 **Q.** AND APPROXIMATELY WHEN?
 - A. FROM 1966 TO 1970 AS AN UNDERGRADUATE.
 - Q. WERE YOU A STUDENT ATHLETE?
- 10 **A.** I WAS.

- 11 Q. WHAT SPORT DID YOU PLAY?
- 12 **A.** MEN'S BASKETBALL.
- 13 Q. WERE YOU A SCHOLARSHIP STUDENT?
- 14 **A.** I WAS.
- 15 Q. AND AT THE TIME, WHAT DID THE SCHOLARSHIP COVER?
- 16 A. ROOM, BOARD, FEES, TUITION, BOOKS AND \$15 A MONTH LAUNDRY.
- 17 Q. NOW THE FACT THAT YOU GOT TUITION, ROOM, BOARD, BOOKS AND
- 18 | \$15 A MONTH ON LAUNDRY, DID THAT -- WAS THAT CONSISTENT WITH
- 19 THE VALUES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA?
- 20 **A.** I BELIEVE IT WAS.
- 21 **O.** DID YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF AN AMATEUR?
- 22 **A.** I DID.
- 23 Q. NOW LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH
- 24 CAROLINA AND YOUR BASKETBALL -- PLAYING BASKETBALL AT THE
- 25 UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA.

- 1 WHO WAS YOUR COACH?
- 2 **A.** A MAN BY THE NAME OF DEAN SMITH.
 - Q. PRETTY FAMOUS COACH?
 - A. FAMOUS COACH.
- 5 Q. CAN YOU THINK OF OTHER PLAYERS THAT HE MIGHT HAVE COACHED
- 6 IN HIS TIME?

- 7 A. MICHAEL JORDAN WOULD BE ONE. SAM PERKINS. NORTH CAROLINA
- 8 HAS HAD A PROUD AND SUCCESSFUL TRADITION OF MEN'S BASKETBALL
- 9 OVER MANY DECADES.
- 10 Q. NOW, HAVING BEEN COACHED BY DEAN SMITH, DID YOU LEARN
- 11 ANYTHING FROM HIM?
- 12 A. HE WAS A VERY INNOVATIVE COACH. HIS DAD WAS A COACH. HE
- WAS A COACH. HE BELIEVED IN, YOU KNOW, COMMITMENT,
- 14 PREPARATION, ACADEMIC SUCCESS, AND ATHLETIC SUCCESS.
- 15 SO, MANY, MANY OF THE THINGS THAT WE DID AS -- AS A TEAM,
- 16 THE PREPARATION, THE CHANGE OF TACTICS, ET CETERA, ARE LIFE
- 17 LESSONS. TIME MANAGEMENT, WINNING TOGETHER, LOSING TOGETHER.
- 18 WERE PART AND PARCEL OF THE EDUCATION THAT I RECEIVED IN
- 19 CHAPEL HILL.
- 20 Q. FROM COACH DEAN SMITH YOU LEARNED ABOUT THINGS ON THE
- 21 COURT?
- 22 **A.** I DID.
- 23 **Q.** AND YOU LEARNED ABOUT THINGS OFF THE COURT?
- 24 **A.** I DID.
- 25 Q. WHILE YOU WERE PLAYING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH

- 1 CAROLINA, DID -- DID THEY SELL TICKETS TO YOUR GAMES?
- 2 A. THEY DID.

- 3 **Q.** A LOT OF TICKETS?
 - A. GAMES WERE SOLD OUT.
- 5 Q. EVERY GAME PRETTY MUCH?
 - A. EVERY GAME PRETTY MUCH.
- 7 Q. WERE YOUR GAMES TELEVISED ALSO?
- 8 A. THEY WERE.
- 9 Q. AND DID NORTH CAROLINA EARN MONEY FOR THE TICKETS AND THE
- 10 TELEVISION ON YOUR GAMES?
- 11 **A.** I'M SURE THEY DID.
- 12 Q. DID YOU CONSIDER YOURSELF AN AMATEUR NEVERTHELESS?
- 13 **A.** I DID.
- 14 Q. NOW, DOES UNC HAVE A RIVAL?
- 15 **A.** THEY DO.
- 16 **I** O. WHO IS THEIR RIVAL?
- 17 **A.** DUKE UNIVERSITY.
- 18 Q. AND TO THIS DAY, 40 YEARS LATER, DO YOU STILL FOLLOW
- 19 WHETHER UNC BEATS DUKE?
- 20 A. EVERY -- YOU KNOW, WE PAY VERY CLOSE ATTENTION TO THAT AS
- 21 MANY NORTH CAROLINA AND DUKE GRADS AND ALUMS AND FANS DO.
- 22 **Q.** IN FACT, DO YOU PAY ATTENTION TO WHEN ANYBODY BEATS DUKE?
- 23 A. I DO. DUKE HAS HAD A LOT OF SUCCESS, BUT THEY ARE
- 24 | FALLIBLE. THEY LOST TO LEE HIGH. THEY LOST TO VCU AND THEY
- 25 LOST TO EASTERN MICHIGAN AND MERCER. SO I WATCH ALL THEIR

1 GAMES.

- 2 Q. AND YOU CAN TELL US EXACTLY WHICH TEAMS BEAT DUKE,
- 3 CORRECT?
 - A. I CAN.
- Q. NOW LET'S TALK FOR A SECOND ABOUT THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE.
- 6 OKAY?

- 7 WE'RE SORT OF SWITCHING TOPICS HERE.
- 8 IN BROAD TERMS, WHAT IS THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE?
- 9 **A.** THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE IS AN ASSOCIATION OF 14
- 10 UNIVERSITIES, 13 OF THEM ARE PUBLIC. NORTHWESTERN IS A
- 11 PRIVATE INSTITUTION. THEY ARE ALL MAJOR RESEARCH
- 12 INSTITUTIONS. THEY ALL, FOR THE MOST PART, HAVE VERY LARGE
- 13 UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT BODIES. THEY HAVE ALL BEEN AROUND FOR
- 14 WELL OVER A HUNDRED YEARS. I THINK UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
- 15 DATES TO 1817. MOST OF THEM WERE FOUNDED UNDER THE MORROW ACT
- 16 IN THE MIDDLE 1800'S.
- 17 AND THEY HAVE BEEN ASSOCIATING WITH EACH OTHER IN
- 18 INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS SINCE 1896 WHEN PRESIDENTS AND
- 19 FACULTY CAME TOGETHER TO ESTABLISH SOME BASIC STANDARDS FOR
- 20 PARTICIPATION IN INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS.
- 21 Q. LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THE FOUNDING IN 1896. WAS IT CALLED
- 22 THE BIG TEN THEN?
- 23 A. IT WASN'T. IT WAS CALLED THE INTERCOLLEGIATE CONFERENCE
- 24 OF FACULTY REPRESENTATIVES.
- 25 O. THOSE FACULTY REPRESENTATIVES WERE PRESIDENTS AND

- 1 CHANCELLORS, RIGHT? 2 NO, THEY WERE FACULTY REPRESENTATIVES. Α. 3 Q. OH, OKAY. 4 AND TODAY THEY ARE PRESIDENTS AND CHANCELLORS, RIGHT? 5 THAT'S CORRECT. Α. NOW, FROM EAST TO WEST --6 Q. 7 A. YES. 8 Q. -- LET ME, HOWEVER YOU WANT TO DO IT, WEST TO EAST, EAST 9 TO WEST, CAN YOU TELL US WHICH UNIVERSITIES --10 Α. YES. 11 -- ARE MEMBERS OF THE BIG EAST (SIC)? Q. 12 A. UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, 13 UNIVERSITY OF IOWA, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, NORTHWESTERN 14 UNIVERSITY, ILLINOIS, PERDUE, INDIANA, MICHIGAN, MICHIGAN STATE, OHIO STATE, PENN STATE, MARYLAND, AND RUTGERS. 15 16 THE COURT: YOU ASKED HIM WHICH WERE MEMBERS OF THE 17 BIG EAST? MR. LI: BIG TEN. I'M SORRY, DID I MISSPEAK? I 18 19 APOLOGIZE, YOUR HONOR. BIG TEN. THAT'S WHAT I MEANT, YOUR 20 HONOR. I APOLOGIZE. 21 THE COURT: BIG TEN?
- 22 **BY MR. LI:**
 - Q. NOW RECENTLY THE BIG TEN HAS ADDED A FEW SCHOOLS, CORRECT?
- 24 **A.** YES.

25

Q. TELL US ABOUT THAT.

WELL, WE WERE TEN INSTITUTIONS FOR MANY DECADES. PENN 1 Α. 2 STATE JOINED THE BIG TEN IN 1991. NEBRASKA JOINED THE BIG TEN 3 IN 2010. AND MOST RECENTLY MARYLAND AND RUTGERS HAVE INDICATED OR HAVE JOINED THE CONFERENCE AS OF JULY 1, 2014. 4 5 NOW, SOME SAY THAT THE -- THAT MARYLAND AND RUTGERS 0. JOINING THE BIG TEN IS A BIG MONEY GRAB? 6 7 Α. RIGHT. 8 Q. WHAT DO YOU HAVE TO SAY TO THAT? 9 WELL, WHAT I HAVE TO SAY ABOUT THAT IS I THINK CONFERENCES Α. 10 HAVE CHANGED. WE WERE HISTORICALLY A MIDWESTERN CONFERENCE. THE PAC-12 WAS A WEST COAST CONFERENCE. THE ACC WAS A MIDDLE 11 12 ATLANTIC CONFERENCE, AND THE SEC WAS A SOUTHEASTERN 13 CONFERENCE. 14 WHAT HAS HAPPENED OVER THE LAST 20 YEARS IS CONFERENCES 15 AND INSTITUTIONS, SOME INSTITUTIONS ASPIRING TO JOIN NEW 16 CONFERENCES HAVE EXPANDED INTO MULTIPLE SECOND REGIONS. 17 FOR US, WE HAVE BEEN FORTUNATE THAT ALL OF THESE 18 UNIVERSITIES ARE AAU, DISTINGUISHED RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS, 19 THEY ARE ALL LOCATED IN CONTIGUOUS STATES. THEY ALL SPONSOR 20 BROAD-BASED PROGRAMS, AND THEY ALL ASCRIBE TO THE VALUES OF --21 OF THE BIG TEN TO TRY TO PROVIDE AS MANY QUALITY OPPORTUNITIES 22 FOR AS MANY STUDENT ATHLETES. 23 THE BIG TEN IS, IF NOTHING ELSE, VERY LARGE, VERY LARGE 24 STUDENT POPULATIONS. WE HAVE OVER 560,000 STUDENTS ON CAMPUS

OVER CLOSE TO 6 MILLION LIVING ALUMS. SO THAT CORRIDOR FROM

WASHINGTON, D.C. TO NEW YORK CITY IS REPLETE WITH BIG TEN 1 2 THIS GIVES THEM A CHANCE TO SEE THEIR TEAMS VISIT AND ALUMS. 3 ALSO FOR US TO BRING IN TWO OUTSTANDING UNIVERSITIES IN THE 4 CORRIDOR. 5 I THINK IT WILL BE POSITIVE FOR US IN MANY WAYS, INCLUDING RAISING RESOURCES FOR OUR SCHOOLS. BUT, FIRST AND FOREMOST, 6 7 THE DNA THAT THESE UNIVERSITIES SHARE WITH US IN TERMS OF 8 MAJOR RESEARCH, MAJOR ACADEMICS, COMMITMENT TO BROAD-BASED 9 PROGRAM FITS IN REALLY WELL WITH WHAT WE HAVE. THE COURT: SO IN ORDER TO GET IN YOUR CONFERENCE, 10 11 FIRST THE SCHOOL HAS TO GET INTO DIVISION I. 12 THE WITNESS: THAT'S RIGHT. 13 THE COURT: WHAT ARE THE CRITERIA FOR GETTING INTO 14 DIVISION I? 15 THE WITNESS: THEY'RE QUITE MODEST. I THINK IT'S A 16 SPONSORSHIP OF SEVEN MEN'S TEAMS AND SEVEN WOMEN'S TEAMS. 17 THEY HAVE TO SUBSCRIBE TO THE MINIMUM ACADEMIC STANDARDS THAT 18 THE NCAA REQUIRES. AND THEY RUN THE GAMUT FROM --19 THE COURT: THOSE ARE THE ONLY TWO REQUIREMENTS? 20 THE WITNESS: I THINK THOSE ARE THE TWO MAJOR 21 REOUIREMENTS. THERE IS A WAITING PERIOD AND THERE'S A 22 CERTIFICATION PROCESS, BUT I THINK THAT IF YOU SPONSOR A 23 MINIMUM NUMBER OF SPORTS AND YOU SUBSCRIBE TO THE ACADEMIC

QUALIFY TO BE IN DIVISION I.

24

25

STANDARDS AND GO THROUGH A MODEST WAITING PERIOD, YOU CAN

THE COURT: AND ANYONE WHO OUALIFIES GETS IN --1 THE WITNESS: YES. 2 3 THE COURT: -- OR IS THERE A SELECTION PROCESS? THE WITNESS: NO. ANYONE WHO QUALIFIES GETS IN. 4 5 THE COURT: HOW LONG IS THE WAITING PERIOD? THE WITNESS: UM, I'M NOT SURE. I THINK IT'S 6 7 PROBABLY THREE YEARS. I THINK YOU HAVE TO PUT A SCHEDULE 8 TOGETHER THAT SHOWS YOU CAN PLAY DIVISION I SCHOOLS, AND THEY 9 HAVE ADEQUATE NUMBER OF TEAMS, AND THAT YOU ARE SUBSCRIBING TO 10 THE RULES OF DIVISION I. 11 THE COURT: SO YOU NEED TO FULFILL THOSE REQUIREMENTS 12 AS WELL BEFORE YOU GET IN? 13 THE WITNESS: YES. 14 THE COURT: ANY MORE? 15 THE WITNESS: OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, I HAVEN'T 16 REALLY LOOKED AT THE CRITERIA FOR DIVISION I MEMBERSHIP, BUT 17 WHAT I CAN TELL YOU IS IT MUST NOT BE TOO HARD TO GET IN 18 BECAUSE WHEN I WAS A STUDENT 40 YEARS AGO, THERE WERE 181 19 DIVISION I TEAMS AND TODAY THERE ARE 352. 20 THE COURT: SO THEN HOW DOES ONE GET INTO A GIVEN 21 CONFERENCE WITHIN DIVISION I SUCH AS YOURS, I SUPPOSE? WHAT 22 ARE THE REQUIREMENTS AND WHO MAKES THE DECISION? 23 THE WITNESS: THOSE DECISIONS ARE MADE GENERALLY BY THE PRESIDENTS AND CHANCELLORS OF EACH CONFERENCE. THERE HAS 24 25 TO BE TWO WILLING PARTNERS. THERE HAS TO BE THE INDIVIDUAL

INSTITUTION THAT DESIRES TO JOIN, AND RECEPTIVITY BY THE 1 2 CONFERENCE THAT WOULD LIKE FOR THEM TO JOIN. 3 SO, IN SOME CASES, SOME CONFERENCES ARE IN SEARCH OF MEMBERS. THEY ARE SMALLER --4 5 THE COURT: TALK ABOUT YOUR CONFERENCE. IF YOU COULD 6 JUST TELL ME AS AN EXAMPLE WHAT THE REQUIREMENTS ARE TO GET 7 INTO YOUR CONFERENCE. 8 THE WITNESS: WELL, I THINK THE FIRST REQUIREMENT 9 WOULD BE THAT YOU WOULD, AT THE TIME OF MEMBERSHIP, YOU WOULD 10 BE A MEMBER OF THE AAU. ALL OF OUR SCHOOLS AT THE TIME OF 11 MEMBERSHIP ARE MEMBERS OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF 12 UNIVERSITIES, ONE. TWO, THAT YOU WOULD SPONSOR BROAD-BASED 13 PROGRAMS. THREE, THAT YOU WOULD SUBSCRIBE TO THE COMMITMENT 14 OF BROAD BASED --15 THE COURT: BY "BROAD BASED", YOU MEAN HAVING 16 16 TEAMS? 17 THE WITNESS: MORE THAN THAT. WE AVERAGE ABOUT 25. THE MINIMUM IS 14, I BELIEVE. SEVEN MEN, SEVEN WOMEN. THE 18 19 BIG TEN AND THE IVY'S ARE KNOWNS AS THE MOST BROAD-BASED 20 BECAUSE THEY SPONSOR THE MOST OPPORTUNITIES FOR MEN AND WOMEN 21 ATHLETES. 22 THE COURT: SO TO GET INTO YOUR CONFERENCE, DOES A 23 SCHOOL HAVE TO HAVE MORE TEAMS OR MORE SPORTS THAN THEY HAD TO 24 TO GET INTO DIVISION I IN THE FIRST PLACE? 25 THE WITNESS: YES.

THE COURT: MORE? 1 2 THE WITNESS: MORE. 3 THE COURT: SO DIVISION I IS 16, I THINK --THE WITNESS: I THOUGHT IT WAS 14. I THINK IT'S 4 5 SEVEN AND SEVEN, SEVEN MEN, SEVEN WOMEN. THE COURT: AND FOR YOU IT'S HOW MANY? 6 7 THE WITNESS: FOR US, WE HAVE SOME THAT HAVE AS FEW 8 AS 18, BUT WE ALSO HAVE SOME THAT HAVE AS MANY AS 32 OR 33. 9 THE COURT: WHAT'S THE REQUIREMENT THOUGH? THE WITNESS: THE REQUIREMENT WOULD BE THAT YOU A 10 11 MEMBER OF DIVISION I --THE COURT: HOW MANY TEAMS DO YOU HAVE TO HAVE TO GET 12 13 INTO YOUR CONFERENCE? 14 THE WITNESS: YOU WOULD HAVE TO SPONSOR, I THINK, 15 EIGHT AS A MINIMUM. 16 THE COURT: EIGHT TEAMS? 17 THE WITNESS: EIGHT MEN'S AND EIGHT WOMEN'S. THE COURT: OH, I SEE, 16 ALTOGETHER. 18 19 THE WITNESS: YES. 20 THE COURT: SO YOU HAVE TO BE A MEMBER OF THE AAU, 16 21 SPORTS, AND WHAT ELSE DO YOU HAVE TO HAVE? THE WITNESS: I THINK YOU HAVE TO -- YOU HAVE TO WANT 22 23 TO BELONG AND YOU HAVE TO BE WELCOMED. THE COURT: THAT'S THE NEXT QUESTION. THE FIRST 24 25 QUESTION IS WHAT ARE THE REQUIREMENTS. AND I GUESS IT'S BEING

```
AN AAU MEMBER AND HAVING 16 SPORTS, WHICH MIGHT BE THE SAME
 1
 2
      NUMBER AS DIVISION I OR IT MIGHT BE TWO MORE THAN DIVISION I.
 3
                THE WITNESS: IT MIGHT.
                THE COURT: IF A SCHOOL HAS THOSE QUALIFICATIONS AND
 4
 5
       WANTS TO BE IN, IS IT DISCRETIONARY OR IS IT REQUIRED THAT
       THEY CAN COME IN IF THEY WANT --
 6
 7
                THE WITNESS: IT'S TOTALLY --
 8
                THE COURT: -- AND THEY QUALIFY?
 9
                THE WITNESS: IT IS TOTALLY DISCRETIONARY.
                THE COURT: IT'S DISCRETIONARY. AND WHO MAKES THAT
10
11
       DECISION?
                THE WITNESS: THAT IS MADE BY OUR PRESIDENTS AND
12
13
       CHANCELLORS. THEY HAVE --
14
                THE COURT: SO THE SCHOOL APPLIES, AND THEY GO TO A
15
      MEETING OR SOMETHING AND THE PRESIDENTS VOTE THEM IN OR OUT?
16
                THE WITNESS: CORRECT. WELL, THEY DON'T VOTE THEM
17
      OUT, THEY JUST DON'T VOTE THEM IN.
                THE COURT: OKAY. ARE THERE CRITERIA THAT THEY USE
18
       BESIDES BEING AN AAU MEMBER AND HAVING 16 SPORTS?
19
20
                THE WITNESS: I THINK THAT THEY WOULD WANT THEM TO
21
       SUBSCRIBE TO THE VALUES OF THE BIG TEN. PRIMACY OF ACADEMIC
22
       EXPERIENCE.
23
          OUR CONFERENCE HAS, I THINK, PERFORMED NOT ONLY WELL ON
24
       THE FIELDS, BUT WE LEAD THE COUNTRY IN OUR PEER GROUP AND
25
       GRADUATION RATES FOR MEN'S BASKETBALL, MEN'S FOOTBALL, WOMEN'S
```

- 2048 DELANY - DIRECT / LI 1 BASKETBALL AND OVERALL. SO THEY WOULD HAVE TO SHOW THAT THEY 2 HAVE THOSE KINDS OF COMMITMENTS AND THAT KIND OF PERFORMANCE 3 OBTAINS. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. 5 MR. LI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. BY MR. LI: 6 7 NOW JUST SO WE ARE CLEAR, YOU ARE NOT AN NCAA OFFICIAL, 8 ARE YOU? 9 Α. I AM NOT. 10 SO YOU'RE FAMILIARITY WITH NCAA RULES ARE, YOU KNOW, YOU 11 ARE GIVING THE COURT OFF THE TOP OF YOUR HEAD? 12 A. EXACTLY. 13 THE COURT: I'M SURE IT'S NOT DISPUTED. HOW MANY
 - - TEAMS DO YOU HAVE TO BE IN TO BE IN DIVISION I?
- 15 DO YOU KNOW? DOESN'T MATTER. GO AHEAD.
- 16 MR. LI: I'M NOT DISPUTING IT, YOUR HONOR.
- 17 BY MR. LI:

- SO, THERE ARE TITLE IX REQUIREMENTS TO BE IN DIVISION I? 18
- 19 I DON'T THINK THAT THERE ARE TITLE IX REQUIREMENTS TO BE Α.
- 20 IN DIVISION I.
- 21 YOU NEED TO HAVE A BALANCE OF SPORTS, THOUGH? Q.
- 22 Α. YOU NEED TO HAVE A BALANCE OF SPORTS.
- 23 AND THERE ARE SCHOLARSHIPS REQUIREMENTS TO BE IN DIVISION Q.
- 24 I?
- 25 I THINK THERE ARE A MINIMUM NUMBER OF SCHOLARSHIPS THAT Α.

- 1 NEED TO BE GRANTED.
- 2 Q. YOU NEED TO FOLLOW THE NCAA RULES REGARDING AMATEURISM,
- 3 RIGHT?
- 4 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 5 \blacksquare Q. NOW, MR. DELANY, ARE THE BIG TEN -- IS THE -- ARE THE BIG
- 6 TEN AND ITS COLLEGE MEMBERS MEMBERS OF THE NCAA?
- 7 **A.** THEY ARE.
- 8 **Q.** Why are big ten schools members of the ncaa?
- 9 **A.** I THINK THE BIG TEN MEMBERS ARE SCHOOLS OF THE NCAA
- 10 BECAUSE THEY DESIRE TO COMPETE IN NATIONAL COMPETITION. WE
- 11 HAVE ALWAYS HAD SOME REQUIREMENT, SOME STANDARDS THAT ARE
- 12 APPLICABLE INSIDE THE BIG TEN, ACADEMIC, ATHLETIC, OTHERWISE
- 13 | THAT ARE MORE STRINGENT, BUT TO THE EXTENT YOU ARE
- 14 PARTICIPATING BETWEEN CONFERENCES ON A NATIONAL BASIS, WHETHER
- 15 IT'S IN THE ROSE BOWL, IN THE NCA TOURNAMENT, OR BIG TEN ACC
- 16 CHALLENGE, YOU WANT THE BASE STANDARDS TO BE SIMILAR SO THAT
- 17 THE COMPETITION WOULD BE CONSIDERED FAIR.
- 18 Q. SO EVERYONE IS PLAYING BY THE SAME RULES?
- 19 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 20 **THE COURT:** DON'T YOU -- DOESN'T THE SCHOOL HAVE TO
- 21 BE IN THE NCAA TO BE IN THE BIG TEN?
- 22 **THE WITNESS:** THEY DO.
- 23 **BY MR. LI:**
- 24 **Q.** AND THE REASON FOR THAT IS YOU WANT EVERYBODY PLAYING BY
- 25 THE SAME RULES?

- A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 2 Q. NOW, DOES THE ABILITY TO PLAY NATIONWIDE BENEFIT STUDENT
- 3 ATHLETES?

4

- A. WELL, I THINK IT DOES.
- 5 **Q.** WHY?
- A. I THINK THAT THERE ARE THREE PARTS TO ANY COLLEGE
- 7 STUDENT'S EXPERIENCE, AT LEAST THAT'S BEEN THE CASE FOR MANY,
- 8 MANY DECADES.
- 9 YOU HAVE A NONCONFERENCE SCHEDULE. USUALLY THAT HAPPENS
- 10 EARLY IN THE YEAR WHERE YOU PLAY AGAINST TEAMS FROM OUTSIDE
- 11 YOUR CONFERENCE. AND THEN YOU MOVE TO CONFERENCE COMPETITION
- 12 FOR THE MAJORITY OF YOUR GAMES. AND THEN YOU MOVE INTO NCAA
- 13 NATIONAL PLAY.
- 14 AND SO THERE ARE REALLY THREE PARTS TO IT, AND OUR SCHOOLS
- 15 VIEW THEMSELVES AS NATIONAL. THEY VIEW THEMSELVES -- THEY ARE
- 16 ALUMNI BASIS SPREAD THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY, AND I THINK THEY
- 17 VIEW INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS AS A NATIONAL PURSUIT. IT'S
- 18 LOCATED LOCALLY, IT'S PLAYED REGIONALLY AND NATIONALLY.
- 19 Q. IS THIS TRUE ONLY FOR MEN'S BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL?
 - A. NO. THIS -- THIS IS TRUE FOR ALL SPORTS.
- 21 O. FIELD HOCKEY?
- 22 A. FIELD HOCKEY.
- 23 **Q.** CREW?

- 24 **A.** CREW.
- 25 Q. NOW, THE COURT ASKED A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT THE

2051 DELANY - DIRECT / LI BIG TEN LOOKS FOR IN PARTNERS IN CASE IT'S GOING TO BRING IN 1 2 SOME MORE FOLKS INTO THE CONFERENCE. 3 YOU RECALL THAT, RIGHT? YES. 4 Α. 5 NOW YOU HAD TALKED ABOUT VALUES. 0. 6 Α. YES. 7 LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT A FEW OF THOSE VALUES. Q. 8 DOES THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE VALUE PREMIERE ACADEMIC 9 INSTITUTIONS? IT DOES. 10 Α. 11 TELL US ABOUT THAT. Q. 12 Α. WELL, THE COMMITMENT THAT WE HAVE IN UNDERGRADUATE 13 EDUCATION IS MATCHED OR PROBABLY EXCEEDED BY THE RESEARCH

A. WELL, THE COMMITMENT THAT WE HAVE IN UNDERGRADUATE

EDUCATION IS MATCHED OR PROBABLY EXCEEDED BY THE RESEARCH

THAT'S GOING ON ON OUR CAMPUSES. COLLECTIVELY OUR SCHOOLS ARE

RECIPIENTS OF OVER \$10 BILLION OF FEDERAL RESEARCH. SO THE

COMMITMENT TO RESEARCH IS LONGSTANDING. THE COMMITMENT TO

QUALITY UNDERGRADUATE EDUCATION IS GREAT.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I THINK IT'S -- MANY PEOPLE REFER AS AN UNCOMMON EDUCATION

FOR THE COMMON PERSON. I THINK IT CAME OUT OF THE GROWTH OF

THESE UNIVERSITIES IN THE MORROW ACT AND IT'S CONTINUED FOR

GENERATIONS AND GENERATIONS.

PART OF THAT TRADITION HAS BEEN INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS.

WE ARE THE OLDEST CONFERENCE AND WE ARE THE CONFERENCE, I

THINK, THAT IN MANY WAYS SET THE STANDARDS WHICH EVENTUALLY

INFORMED OTHER CONFERENCES AS WELL AS THE NCAA, BUT TODAY THE

- 1 NCAA IS THE STANDARD SETTER AND IT EVOLVED OVER TIME.
- 2 **Q.** OKAY.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- NOW, DO THE MEMBER SCHOOLS COOPERATE ACADEMICALLY?
 - A. THEY -- THEY DO VERY MUCH SO.
- Q. YOU WERE TELLING ME ABOUT A RESEARCH CONSORTIUM. IF YOU

 CAN TELL US ALL --
 - A. OUR CONFERENCE OPERATES ON AN -- ON AN ACADEMIC PLANE, BUT IT ALSO OPERATES ON AN ACADEMIC PLANE. THE COMMITTEE ON INSTITUTIONAL COOPERATION IS LED BY THE PROVOST FROM EACH ONE OF OUR 14 INSTITUTIONS.

THEY COLLABORATE ON GRANTS. THEY COLLABORATE ON

INTERNATIONAL TRAVEL. THEY COLLABORATE IN TERMS OF

BENCHMARKING. SO I THINK THE NEW MEMBERS, WHETHER IT'S PENN

STATE OR RUTGERS HAS FOUND A COMMITTEE ON INSTITUTIONAL

COOPERATION TO BE A TREMENDOUS ASSET TO THEM.

AND I KNOW FOR MARYLAND AND RUTGERS, THEIR FACULTY, THEIR RESEARCHERS ARE AS EXCITED AS THEIR ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AND THEIR COACHES SIMPLY BECAUSE OF THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH THESE WORLD-CLASS UNIVERSITIES THAT ARE -- THAT WORK TOGETHER.

- Q. YESTERDAY YOU WERE TELLING ME ABOUT A CONCUSSION RESEARCH CENTER.
- A. YEAH.
 - Q. IS THAT SOMETHING THAT THE BIG TEN IS INVOLVED IN?
- A. THE BIG TEN ANNOUNCED IN, I THINK, IN 2010 A CONCUSSION
- 25 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR OUR ATHLETES. IN 2011, I THINK, WE

- 1 ANNOUNCED A COLLABORATION WITH THE IVY LEAGUE INSTITUTIONS AND
- 2 THEIR RESEARCHERS UNDER THE UMBRELLA OF CIC TO STUDY THE
- 3 EFFECTS OF BRAIN INJURY ON STUDENT ATHLETES.
- 4 THEY HAVE MET IN PERSON ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS, AND THE
- 5 COLLABORATION IS GROWING OUT OF THAT ARE VERY EXCITING. AND
- 6 SO THAT'S, I THINK, A WAY THAT CIC, OUR DOCTORS AND
- 7 RESEARCHERS AND NEUROLOGISTS HAVE BEEN ABLE TO WORK WITH
- 8 SIMILAR RESEARCHERS IN THE IVY'S.
- 9 **Q.** IS THIS ANOTHER BENEFIT OF BEING A MEMBER OF THE BIG TEN?
- 10 A. I THINK IT IS CLEARLY.
- 11 Q. YOU HAD MENTIONED EARLIER A HISTORY OF ATHLETICS ALSO?
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 **Q.** WHEN DID THE BIG TEN START PLAYING FOOTBALL?
- 14 **A.** 1896.
- 15 Q. SO THAT'S OVER A HUNDRED YEARS AGO?
- 16 **A.** IT IS.
- 17 Q. THAT'S BEFORE THE NFL CAME INTO EXISTENCE?
- 18 A. PROBABLY 50 OR 60 YEARS BEFORE THE NFL CAME INTO
- 19 EXISTENCE.
- 20 **Q.** NOW TODAY THE BIG TEN STADIUMS ARE SOME OF THE BIGGEST IN
- 21 THE COUNTRY?
- **A.** THEY ARE.
- 23 Q. TAKE MICHIGAN STADIUM, OHIO STADIUM, HOW BIG ARE THOSE?
- 24 **A.** WE HAVE FOUR STADIUMS, THREE STADIUMS THAT ARE BETWEEN 105
- 25 AND 115,000.

- 1 Q. WHEN WERE THEY BUILT?
- 2 A. MOST OF THEM WERE BUILT IN THE '20S. AND, YOU KNOW, I
- 3 ■ THINK -- I THINK THE BIG HOUSE AND THE HORSESHOE ALL DATE TO
- 4 THE 1920'S. PENN STATE, I THINK, WAS MORE RECENT. PROBABLY
- 6 \blacksquare Q. AND, OBVIOUSLY, THEY SELL TICKETS TO THOSE GAMES?
- 7 **A.** THEY DO.
 - Q. THEY MAKE MONEY OFF THOSE GAMES?
- 9 **A.** THEY DO.
- 10 Q. THE BIG TEN BROADCASTS ITS FOOTBALL GAMES ON TELEVISION,
- 11 CORRECT?

- 12 **A.** WE DO.
- 13 **Q.** HOW LONG HAS THE BIG TEN BEEN BROADCASTING FOOTBALL GAMES
- 14 ON TELEVISION?
- 15 **A.** IT GOES BACK TO AFTER THE SECOND WORLD WAR. I WOULD SAY
- 16 48, '49, '50 WOULD BE THE EARLY YEARS OF BIG TEN TELEVISION.
- 17 Q. AND BASKETBALL, THERE'S A TRADITION OF BASKETBALL IN THE
- 18 BIG TEN?
- 19 A. BASKETBALL'S INTERESTING. IT GOES BACK THAT FAR. AND THE
- 20 BIG TEN SPONSORED A DOUBLEHEADER ON SATURDAY AFTERNOON OF
- 21 BASKETBALL THAT RAN FROM 1950 TO 2007 WHICH WAS THE LONGEST
- 22 RUNNING SYNDICATED PROGRAM IN AMERICAN TELEVISION. SO IT HAS
- 23 GOT DEEP HISTORICAL ROOTS AS WELL.
- 24 **O.** THE TELEVISION BROADCASTS OF BOTH FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL
- OF BIG TEN GAMES GENERATED REVENUE, CORRECT?

- 1 A. THEY DID.
- Q. NOW, WE'VE TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT BROAD-BASED

 PROGRAMMING. I JUST WANT TO FLUSH OUT A LITTLE BIT OF WHAT

4 THAT MEANS.

5

7

8

9

10

11

HOW MANY SPORTS ARE SPONSORED IN BIG TEN SCHOOLS?

6 A. THERE ARE 43 DIFFERENT SPORTS THAT ARE SPONSORED. THERE

ARE ABOUT 350 TEAMS. AND WITH THE ADDITION OF MARYLAND AND

RUTGERS, IT WILL BE ABOUT 9300 STUDENT ATHLETES. ABOUT 50/50

MALE, FEMALE AND WE WILL ALSO SPONSOR CHAMPIONSHIPS IN 28

DIFFERENT SPORTS, 14 FOR THE MEN AND 14 FOR THE WOMEN.

- Q. AND HOW MANY STUDENT ATHLETES ARE THERE IN THE BIG TEN
- 12 CONFERENCE?
- 13 **A.** ABOUT 9200.
- 14 Q. AND HOW MUCH MONEY IS PROVIDED IN SCHOLARSHIPS IN THE BIG
- 15 TEN CONFERENCE?
- 16 A. ABOUT A HUNDRED AND 60 MILLION, BUT THAT'S WITHOUT RUTGERS
- 17 AND MARYLAND. SO I THINK THAT WILL BE PUSHED TO PROBABLY 180
- 18 OR 190 NEXT YEAR.
- 19 Q. NOW HAS THAT AMOUNT -- BY THAT I MEAN THE SCHOLARSHIP
- 20 AMOUNT, HAS THAT GROWN OVER IN TIME?
- 21 **A.** IT HAS. WHEN I ARRIVED IN 1989, WE HAD ABOUT \$34 MILLION
- 22 IN SCHOLARSHIP. SO IT HAS GROWN BOTH IN TERMS OF THE NUMBER
- 23 OF STUDENTS RECEIVING AID AS WELL AS THE AMOUNT OF AID.
- 24 | O. LET'S TAKE A BIG TEN SPORT LIKE CREW OR FIELD HOCKEY.
- 25 **A.** YES.

- Q. DO THOSE SPORTS GENERATE POSITIVE REVENUE?
- **A.** NO.

Q. SO WHY DO YOU SPONSOR THOSE SPORTS?

AS MANY OPPORTUNITIES AS WE CAN.

- A. WE SPONSOR THEM BECAUSE WE BELIEVE IN BROAD-BASED

 OPPORTUNITIES. THE OLDEST CONFERENCE, OTHER THAN OUR OWN, IS

 THE IVY'S, AND THEY HAVE A BROAD-BASED PROGRAM HISTORY, AND WE

 HAVE A BROAD-BASED PROGRAM HISTORY, AND SO WE TRY TO SPONSOR
 - Q. WHY?
 - A. BECAUSE IT'S PART OF THE EXPERIENCE. IT'S A TERRIFIC

 EDUCATIONAL, ATHLETIC EXPERIENCE. YOUNG WOMEN WANT IT AS MUCH
 AS YOUNG MEN. AND ROWERS AND GYMNASTS WANT IT AS MUCH AS

 FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL PLAYERS.

SO THERE'S A DESIRE. PEOPLE COMING OUT OF THE HIGH SCHOOL WANT TO PLAY. AND THERE'S JUST A BELIEF OUR SCHOOLS ARE COMPREHENSIVE, UNDERGRADUATE, GRADUATE. AND OUR ATHLETIC DEPARTMENTS ARE COMPREHENSIVE, REVENUE SPORTS, NONREVENUE SPORTS, OLYMPIC SPORTS, EMERGING SPORTS. AND SO WE HAVE ALWAYS HAD A COMMITMENT TO BREADTH OF OPPORTUNITY.

Q. OKAY. AND THERE'S A TERM YOU ARE USING "OLYMPIC SPORTS".

I DON'T KNOW IF I MISSED SOME OF DR. EMMERT'S TESTIMONY --

THE COURT: HE DID JUMP OVER THAT.

MR. LI: OKAY. THANK YOU.

BY MR. LI:

Q. LET ME SWITCH TOPICS THEN.

THERE'S A -- THERE ARE A LOT OF FANS FOR BIG TEN SPORTS? 1 2 WE HAVE PROBABLY THE LARGEST FAN BASE IN THE Α. 3 COUNTRY. TEN, WE PROBABLY HAVE 10 MILLION PEOPLE WHO ATTEND OUR EVENTS DURING THE COURSE OF A YEAR. AND WE PROBABLY HAVE 4 5 HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF PEOPLE WHO VIEW THEM DURING THE COURSE OF THE YEAR. 6 7 YOU'VE HAD 25 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE, ABOUT, OF INTERACTING 8 WITH THE FANS OF BIG TEN SPORTS? 9 Α. I HEAR FROM THEM ON MONDAY MORNING OFTEN. SO, WHAT IS IT THAT THE FANS LIKE ABOUT BIG TEN SPORTS? 10 Q. 11 I THINK THEY -- IT'S AN INTERGENERATIONAL EXPERIENCE. Α. 12 Q. WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT? 13 Α. BY THAT I MEAN FATHERS AND SONS AND GRANDFATHERS AND 14 SISTERS AND BROTHERS ARE TIED TO THESE INSTITUTIONS. 15 AS I MENTIONED THERE ARE HUGE PARTS OF THE MIDWESTERN 16 ETHOS, ECONOMIC, ACADEMIC SPORTS CULTURE. SO I THINK THE 17 COLORS OF THE TEAMS, THE TRADITIONS OF THE TEAMS, THE HISTORY 18 OF THE TEAMS, THE LARGE STADIA, ALL ARE IMPACTFUL. THEY TEND 19 TO BRING THESE VERY LARGE UNIVERSITIES TOGETHER IN UNIQUE 20 WAYS. 21 WE HAVE BEEN PLAYING AGAINST THE PAC-12 IN THE ROSE BOWL FOR -- SINCE 1946 OR '47. WE PLAYED IN THE FIRST ROSE BOWL 22 23 GAME IN 1902 AGAINST STANFORD. AND SO THAT KIND OF HISTORY,

THAT KIND OF CULTURE GETS TRANSMITTED FROM GENERATION TO

GENERATION. AND AS A RESULT, IT -- IT -- IT LEADS TO FAN

24

- FOLLOWING, WHETHER IT'S WOMEN'S VOLLEYBALL WE'RE NUMBER ONE IN
 THE COUNTRY IN ATTENDANCE; HOCKEY, MEN'S HOCKEY NUMBER ONE,

 WOMEN'S BASKETBALL NUMBER THREE, FOOTBALL NUMBER TWO AND
 BASKETBALL NUMBER ONE. SO WE'RE FORTUNATE TO HAVE A GREAT SET
 OF FAMILIES FOLLOWING US AND IT HAS BEEN THAT WAY FOR A VERY
 - Q. NOW, WE HAD TALKED EARLIER ABOUT THE RIVALRY BETWEEN DUKE

 AND UNC. ARE THERE RIVALRIES IN THE BIG TEN?
 - A. THERE ARE WONDERFUL RIVALRIES THAT GO BACK A VERY LONG

 TIME. I THINK WE HAVE A DOZEN TROPHY GAMES IN FOOTBALL THAT

 GET PEOPLE PASSIONATE AND FOLLOW IT. BUT WE'VE GOT THE

 INDIANA, PERDUE RIVALRY. WE HAVE THE MINNESOTA, WISCONSIN

 RIVALRY. WE HAVE THE MICHIGAN, MICHIGAN STATE RIVALRY. AND

 NOW WITH PENN STATE BEING IN OUR CONFERENCE FOR 20 YEARS, THEY

 HAVE VERY INTENSE RELATIONSHIPS WITH A NUMBER OF PROGRAMS.

SO THOSE ARE BUILT -- RIVALRIES ARE BUILT OVER DECADES AND GENERATIONS, AND WE ARE FORTUNATE TO HAVE QUITE A FEW OF THEM.

- Q. THIS IS PART OF THE TRADITION?
- A. IT IS.

LONG TIME.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. NOW, ARE THERE TROPHIES SUCH AS THE AXE --
- 21 **A.** YES.
- 22 Q. -- BETWEEN STANFORD AND BERKELEY? ARE THERE TROPHIES LIKE
- 23 THAT?
- A. THERE ARE. THERE'S THE LITTLE BROWN JUG, WHICH I THINK IS

 THE OLDEST TROPHY. I THINK IT'S THE OLDEST TROPHY. IF IT'S

NOT, IT'S THE SECOND OLDEST TROPHY. 1 2 SO WE HAVE TROPHIES THAT DATE TO THE LATE PART OF THE 19TH 3 CENTURY. AND THE PLAYERS AND THE FANS AND THE COACHES, THEY 4 REPRESENT COMPETITION AND PROBABLY COLLABORATION, TOO. 5 THERE'S A LOT OF RESPECT HERE, BUT IT'S INTENSE COMPETITION. ARE THERE ANY PROFESSIONAL SPORTS THAT PLAY OVER THINGS 6 7 LIKE A LITTLE BROWN JUG? 8 Α. I DON'T KNOW THAT THERE ARE. Q. ALL RIGHT. 9 10 LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT -- THE COURT HAS ASKED SOME 11 OUESTIONS ABOUT FINANCES AND -- AND HOW REVENUE IS GENERATED AND WHERE IT GOES. ALL RIGHT? SO I'M GOING TO FOCUS ON THAT 12 FOR A SECOND. 13 14 UM, LET'S TALK ABOUT HOW THE BIG TEN EARNS REVENUE. WHAT 15 ARE THE THREE MAIN SOURCES OF REVENUE IN THE LAST YEAR? 16 Α. THE FIRST SOURCE WOULD PROBABLY BE REVENUES DERIVED FROM 17 THE NCAA AND MARCH MADNESS. ANOTHER SET OF REVENUES COME FROM 18 BOWL GAMES WHERE THE CONFERENCE CONTRACTS WITH BOWLS, ROSE 19 BOWLS AND OTHER BOWLS AROUND THE COUNTRY, AND THEN OUR OWN 20 TELEVISION AGREEMENTS. 21 (CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE; NOTHING OMITTED.) 22 23 24 25

1 BY MR. LI:

- Q. OKAY. NOW, WE'LL TALK ABOUT A LITTLE -- IN GREATER DETAIL

 IN A SECOND, BUT IF WE COULD JUST BREAK DOWN, FROM THE NCAA

 DISTRIBUTION, IS THAT FROM MARCH MADNESS?
 - A. IT -- IT IS.
 - Q. AND APPROXIMATELY -- I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO GIVE ME AN ACCOUNTING EXACTLY, BUT APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH OF THE REVENUE THAT COMES INTO THE BIG TEN COMES FROM THE NCAA?
 - A. I WOULD SAY 10 -- 10 TO 15 PERCENT.
 - Q. AND HOW IS THAT MONEY DISTRIBUTED? HOW DOES IT -- HOW DOES THE NCAA DECIDE WHO'S GOING TO GET WHAT?
 - A. I THINK THEY MEASURE THREE THINGS, THE NUMBER OF TEAMS YOU PUT INTO THE TOURNAMENT, THE SUCCESS THAT THOSE TEAMS HAVE IS ONE FACTOR. ANOTHER FACTOR IS HOW MANY TEAMS YOU SPONSOR ABOVE THE MINIMUM, SO AS WE WERE TALKING -- I'M NOT SURE IT'S SEVEN -- 14 OR 16. BUT ANY TEAMS YOU SPONSOR ABOVE THE MINIMUM, THE NCAA TRIGGERS A DISTRIBUTION AND A REWARD TO ENCOURAGE THAT.

AND THEN ALSO THE NUMBER OF SCHOLARSHIPS YOU GIVE ABOVE THE MINIMUM, THE NCAA REWARDS YOU BECAUSE THEY'RE TRYING TO ENCOURAGE BROAD-BASED PARTICIPATION. SO THOSE ARE THE THREE SOURCES. HOW MUCH -- HOW MANY GAMES YOU PLAY AND WHEN, HOW MANY TEAMS YOU SPONSOR ABOVE THE MINIMUM, AND HOW MANY SCHOLARSHIPS YOU PROVIDE ABOVE THE MINIMUM.

THE COURT: BUT STILL BELOW THE MAXIMUM. BUT STILL

1 BELOW THE MAXIMUM.

2 **THE WITNESS:** RIGHT. CORRECT. YEAH.

- 3 **BY MR. LI:**
- 4 **Q.** SO TEAMS ARE REWARDED IF THEY SUPPORT BROAD-BASED
- 5 ATHLETICS?
- 6 A. THEY ARE.
- 7 Q. AND THEY ARE REWARDED IF THEY PROVIDE GREATER NUMBERS OF
- 8 SCHOLARSHIPS TO STUDENTS?
- 9 **A.** THEY ARE.
- 10 O. LET'S TALK FOR A SECOND ABOUT THE BOWL GAMES. VERY
- BROADLY SPEAKING, ABOUT HOW MUCH OF THE INCOME THAT COMES INTO
- 12 THE BIG TEN COMES FROM BOWL GAMES?
- 13 **A.** I WOULD SAY ANOTHER ROUGHLY 10 TO 15 PERCENT.
- 14 Q. AND THESE BOWL GAMES INCLUDE GAMES LIKE THE ROSE BOWL?
- 15 A. THE ROSE BOWL.
- 16 Q. AND THEN WE TALKED ABOUT TELEVISION REVENUE.
- 17 APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH OF THE REVENUE THAT THE BIG TEN
- 18 GENERATES COMES FROM TEL- -- TELEVISION?
- 19 A. I WOULD SAY --
- 20 THE COURT: I'M SORRY. BACK TO THE BOWL GAMES, HOW
- 21 IS THAT DIVIDED AMONGST THE TEAMS WITHIN THE CONFERENCES?
- 22 **THE WITNESS:** YES. IT'S DIVIDED EQUALLY. YEAH, AS
- 23 IS THE NCAA TOURNAMENT MONEY. ALL -- ALL THE REVENUES THAT
- 24 COME IN TO THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE ARE DIVIDED EQUALLY.
- 25 MR. LI: SO IT'S AN -- JUST -- I CAN SEE THE COURT --

THE COURT: I THOUGHT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT IT WAS 1 2 DIVIDED BASED ON HOW MANY TEAMS IN THE TOURNAMENT, HOW MANY 3 TEAMS OVERALL, HOW MANY SCHOLARSHIPS. WHAT AM I MISSING? MR. LI: GO AHEAD. 4 5 THE WITNESS: SO THE QUESTION WAS, I THINK FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE BIG TEN OFFICE, THE OFFICE THAT I RUN, 6 7 WHAT -- WHAT ARE THE REVENUES THAT COME INTO OUR OFFICE. 8 AND I DESCRIBED THE REVENUES COMING IN FROM THE NCAA 9 DIRECTLY TO OUR OFFICE, WHICH REFLECTS THE NUMBER OF TEAMS AND 10 GAMES THAT WE -- HOW WELL WE DO IN THE TOURNAMENT, AND THEN 11 THE NUMBER OF SCHOLARSHIPS OUR SCHOOLS GIVE, AND THE NUMBER OF 12 TEAMS OUR SCHOOLS SPONSOR SO --13 BY MR. LI: 14 I'M SORRY. IT'S AN AGGREGATE NUMBER. Q. 15 IT'S AN AGGREGATE NUMBER. Α. 16 THE COURT: BUT THEN DIDN'T YOU SAY AFTER THAT, IT'S 17 ALL DIVIDED EQUALLY? THE WITNESS: I DID. THERE ARE 14 TEAMS. 18 THERE ARE 19 14 TEAMS, AND WHEN THAT MONEY COMES IN, EVEN THOUGH DIFFERENT 20 PEOPLE, DIFFERENT SCHOOLS HAVE DIFFERENT-SIZED PROGRAMS AND 21 DIFFERENT SCHOOLS HAVE DIFFERENT AMOUNTS OF SUCCESS, WHATEVER 22 THAT SUCCESS PRODUCES, IT COMES INTO THE BIG TEN. 23 THE BIG TEN HAS MADE A POLICY DECISION THAT THAT MONEY, 24 THEN, IS SHARED EQUALLY AMONG ALL 14. 25 THE COURT: YOU GIVE IT OUT EQUALLY.

1 THE WITNESS: YES. 2 THE COURT: YOU DIDN'T GET IT EQUALLY, BUT YOU GIVE 3 IT OUT EQUALLY. THE WITNESS: YES. 4 5 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. LI: MAY I PROCEED, YOUR HONOR? 6 7 THE COURT: YES. MR. LI: THANK YOU. 8 9 NOW, THE REVENUE THAT THE BIG TEN RECEIVES, IT DOESN'T GO Q. 10 TO PRIVATE OWNERS, DOES IT? 11 Α. NO. 12 Q. WHO DOES IT GO TO? 13 Α. IT GOES BACK TO THE INSTITUTIONS TO BUILD OPPORTUNITIES 14 SCHOLARSHIPS, STADIA, ET CETERA. NOW, IN THE FUTURE, GOING FORWARD, MEANING FROM THIS YEAR 15 16 FORWARD, THERE ARE GOING TO BE COLLEGE PLAYOFFS, FOOTBALL 17 PLAYOFFS? 18 Α. THERE WILL BE. 19 AND WILL THAT IMPACT THE REVENUE STREAM INTO THE BIG TEN 20 CONFERENCE? 21 IT WILL. Α. 22 Q. AND WHERE WILL THAT REVENUE GO? 23 IT WILL GO BACK TO THE INSTITUTIONS ON A EQUAL BASIS TO Α. 24 RUN THEIR PROGRAMS.

NOW, THERE -- LET'S TALK FOR A SECOND ABOUT A -- SOMETHING

25

Q.

- 1 I THINK YOU WERE INVOLVED IN, WHICH IS CALLED THE BIG TEN
 2 NETWORK.
- 3 **A.** YES.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

- O. WHAT IS THE BIG TEN NETWORK?
- 5 **A.** THE BIG TEN NETWORK IS A JOINT VENTURE FOR THE TELECASTING
 6 OF BIG TEN MEN'S AND WOMEN'S SPORTS ON A 24/7 BASIS.
- Q. AND WHEN YOU SAY "MEN'S AND WOMEN'S SPORTS," ARE WE FOCUSING ONLY ON FOOTBALL AND MEN'S BASKETBALL?
 - A. NO. THERE ARE ABOUT A THOUSAND GAMES THAT ARE TELECAST,

 ABOUT 500 OF THEM ARE ACROSS PLATFORMS, DIGITAL AND ANALOG

 PLATFORMS. ABOUT HALF OF THEM ARE MEN AND ABOUT HALF OF THEM

 ARE WOMEN.
 - Q. NOW LET ME ASK YOU THIS, DO YOU THINK YOU WOULD MAKE MORE
 MONEY FOR THE BIG TEN NETWORK IF YOU SCHEDULED ONLY FOOTBALL
 AND ONLY MEN'S BASKETBALL?
 - A. I THINK WE PROBABLY WOULD.
- 17 Q. AND SO WHY DO YOU LEAVE MONEY ON THE TABLE?
- A. BECAUSE THE -- WHEN THE NETWORK WAS CONCEIVED, IT WAS

 CONCEIVED TO BE IN AS MUCH ALIGNMENT WITH OUR UNIVERSITIES AS

 WE COULD. SO, THEREFORE, WE ASKED THAT -- OR WE DEMANDED THAT

 THE NUMBER OF EVENTS FOR MEN AND WOMEN BE COMPARABLE.

THAT WAS AGREED TO. WE ASKED FOR 600 HOURS OF

INSTITUTIONAL ACADEMIC PROGRAMMING. THAT WAS AGREED TO. AND

WE AGREED NOT TO TELECAST -- WE ASKED THAT WE NOT TELECAST

BEER AND -- BECAUSE OF THE -- SOME OF THE -- SOME OF THE

ISSUES AROUND ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION ON OUR CAMPUSES. AND THAT 1 2 WAS AGREED TO.

SO WE'RE TRYING TO RUN THE NETWORK IN A WAY THAT IS IN AS MUCH ALIGNMENT WITH THE BROAD-BASED ASPECTS OF -- OF THE CONFERENCE.

- YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU AGREED TO INSTITUTIONAL ACADEMIC PROGRAMMING. WHAT IS THAT?
- Α. THAT'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR EACH INSTITUTION, SOMETIMES BY THEMSELVES, SOMETIMES IN COLLABORATION WITH OTHER MEMBERS, TO TELL THE STORY ABOUT HOW THEIR PROGRAMS IMPACT THE WORLD.

IT ALSO IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THEM TO SHOW THEIR COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT. AND IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE WITH THE PUBLIC SORT OF THE BROAD MISSION OF THESE UNIVERSITIES. AND SINCE IT OPERATES BOTH ON A DIGITAL BASIS AND AN ANALOG BASIS, IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE STORIES NOT ONLY IN THIS COUNTRY BUT GLOBALLY.

- YOU WERE TELLING ME ABOUT SEEING SOME -- SOME OF THIS IN I, I THINK, TANZANIA OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
- WELL -- YEAH, TANZANIA HAD A LITTLE CABLE OUTLET. WE DID STOP BY TO SEE IF THEY WOULD CARRY IT, BUT THEY DIDN'T HAVE ANY LOCAL INTEREST.
- Q. OKAY.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

23 NOW, LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT ALCOHOL ADVERTISING. COULD YOU GENERATE SIGNIFICANT AMOUNTS OF REVENUE FROM ALCOHOL ADVERTISING?

- A. YEAH, IT'S -- IT'S BEEN ESTIMATED THAT PROBABLY THERE'S 3
 TO \$5 MILLION OF -- OF ALCOHOL ADVERTISING OUT THERE.
 - Q. AND HAVE YOU LEFT THAT ON THE TABLE?
- 4 A. WE HAVE.

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. NOW, YOU HAD ANSWERED SOME QUESTIONS TO THE COURT ABOUT

 THE DISTRIBUTION OF REVENUE. AND JUST TO PUT A CAP ON IT, IT
 - A. IT IS.
 - Q. AND APPROXIMATELY HOW MUCH REVENUE IS DISTRIBUTED PER INSTITUTION LAST YEAR?

IS ALL DISTRIBUTED EQUALLY BETWEEN INSTITUTIONS?

- 11 A. TWENTY-FIVE MILLION.
- Q. AND LET'S TALK FOR A SECOND ABOUT THE POLICY OF

 DISTRIBUTING REVENUE EQUALLY AMONG THE INSTITUTIONS. HOW LONG

 HAVE -- HAS THE BIG TEN HAD THAT POLICY?
- 15 **A.** SINCE 1955.
- 16 Q. AND WHY DO YOU HAVE THAT POLICY?
 - A. I THINK THE IDEA IS WE'RE IN IT TOGETHER. WE BUILD

 COMPETITION, ACADEMIC ATHLETIC RELATIONSHIPS, THE FACT THAT

 OUR FACULTY, OUR ATHLETIC DIRECTORS, OUR PRESIDENTS LOOK AT IT

 IN SORT OF A UNIFIED WAY AND THAT THE SHARING OF THE REVENUE

 IN GOOD YEARS AND BAD YEARS -- NOT EVERYBODY'S GOOD EVERY

 YEAR, NOT EVERYBODY'S BAD EVERY YEAR. BUT IT ALSO ALLOWS FOR

 US TO SPONSOR AS MANY OPPORTUNITIES AS -- AS POSSIBLE BY THAT

 METHODOLOGY.
 - WITHOUT THAT METHODOLOGY, SOME WOULD BE FAR BETTER OFF

- 1 THAN OTHERS AND THEREFORE MORE COMPETITIVE.
- 2 Q. NOW, LET ME ASK YOU A SECOND -- A QUESTION ABOUT THE BIG
- 3 ■ TEN NETWORK. WHAT DO YOU SAY TO FOLKS WHO SAY, WELL, THAT'S
- 4 JUST COMMERCIALIZING COLLEGE SPORTS?
- 5 **A.** I WOULD -- I'VE ALSO FELT THAT THE BIG TEN NETWORK
- 6 CONTROLLED BY A CONFERENCE IS -- IS A POSITIVE. I FEEL LIKE
- 7 IT'S ABILITY TO GENERATE REVENUE, AND IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 8 US TO -- TO GROW THE EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.
- 9 AND THE MORE SUCCESSFUL WE ARE, WHETHER IT'S IN A -- IN A
- 10 BOWL GAME, AN NCAA TOURNAMENT, PROVIDES RESOURCES WHICH WE
- 11 THEN SHARE AND WE'RE ABLE TO USE TO BUILD BROAD-BASED
- 12 PROGRAMS, SO I -- I SEE IT AS I MAY BE ENGAGED IN COMMERCE,
- 13 BUT THAT THE RESOURCES THAT ARE DEVELOPED GO BACK TO THE
- 14 INSTITUTIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF -- OF EDUCATIONAL AND
- 15 ATHLETIC OPPORTUNITIES.
- 16 Q. NOW, BEFORE THE CREATION OF THE BIG TEN NETWORK, WHERE DID
- 17 THOSE FEES GO?
- 18 A. WELL, I THINK THEY -- THEY PROBABLY WENT TO THE COMPANIES
- 19 THAT OWN THE TELEVISION OR THE INDIVIDUALS WHO OWN THE
- 20 TELEVISION COMPANIES AND THEIR SHAREHOLDERS.
- 21 **Q.** LIKE ESPN?
- 22 **A.** LIKE ESPN OR LIKE CBS OR LIKE FOX. BUT THIS GAVE US AN
- OPPORTUNITY TO, I THINK, MAINTAIN MORE CONTROL OVER THE
- 24 CONTENT, MORE CONTROL OVER THE BRAND, MORE CONTROL OVER THE
- 25 OPPORTUNITIES FOR DIFFERENT TEAMS TO -- TO APPEAR ON

- 1 TELEVISION.
- 2 Q. SO INSTEAD OF GIVING THE MONEY TO THE PRIVATE COMPANIES,
- 3 YOU'RE BRINGING IT BACK TO THE SCHOOLS?
- 4 **I A.** I THINK IT'S BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE IN THAT WAY.
- 5 \blacksquare Q. ARE YOU INVOLVED IN THE NEGOTIATIONS OF LICENSES TO
- 6 BROADCAST BIG TEN SPORTS?
- 7 **A.** I AM.
- 8 **Q.** NOW, ARE YOU RESPONSIBLE FOR EVERY DETAIL IN EVERY
- 9 AGREEMENT?
- 10 **A.** I'M NOT. NO.
- 11 Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DOES THE BIG TEN LICENSE STUDENT
- 12 ATHLETES NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESS FOR COMMERCIAL
- 13 ADVERTISEMENTS?
- 14 **A.** NO.
- 15 Q. DO THE NCAA RULES ALLOW THAT?
- 16 **A.** NO.
- 17 Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DOES THE BIG TEN USE STUDENTS' NAME,
- 18 IMAGE, AND LIKENESS FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE?
- 19 A. FOR THE PURPOSE OF PROMOTING THE GAMES, THE ACTUAL GAMES.
- 20 **Q.** AND IS THAT LIMITED TO MEN'S FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL?
- 21 A. NO. IT'S -- IT'S BROADLY USED AS A WAY OF
- 22 CREATING AWARENESS FOR UPCOMING GAMES, WHETHER IT'S VOLLEYBALL
- OR CREW OR BASEBALL.
- 24 **Q.** SO IF MICHIGAN STATE IS GOING TO ROW AGAINST MICHIGAN, YOU
- 25 MIGHT SHOW A CLIP OF THE ROWERS --

- 1 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 2 **Q.** -- ROWING.
- 3 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 4 Q. NOW, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAS THE BIG TEN EVER TRIED TO STOP
- 5 A STUDENT ATHLETE FROM USING HIS OR HER NAME, IMAGE, AND
- 6 LIKENESS RIGHTS AFTER HE FINISHED HE OR HER -- HE OR SHE
- 7 FINISHED HIS OR HER ELIGIBILITY?
 - A. NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE.
- 9 Q. HAVE YOU EVER SENT A CEASE-AND-DESIST LETTER TO A STUDENT
- 10 SAYING THAT THE BIG TEN OWNS HIS OR HER NAME, IMAGE, AND
- 11 LIKENESS RIGHTS?

- 12 | A. I HAVE -- I HAVE NOT.
- 13 Q. LET'S TALK FOR A SECOND ABOUT AMATEURISM.
- 14 IN THE CONTEXT OF STUDENT ATHLETES, WHAT DOES AMATEURISM
- 15 MEAN TO YOU AS THE COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN?
- 16 A. WHAT IT MEANS TO ME NOW IS WHAT IT'S MEANT TO ME FOR --
- 17 FOR MANY DECADES. AND THAT IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO
- 18 COLLEGE, TO RECEIVE AN EDUCATION, TO HAVE THAT EDUCATION
- 19 SUPPORTED THROUGH SCHOLARSHIP AND SUPPORT.
- 20 MY DAD WAS THE FIRST GENERATION IN HIS FAMILY. HE'S IN
- 21 THE SETON HALL HALL OF FAME. BACK IN THOSE DAYS, IT WAS
- 22 TUITION WAIVERS AND WORK STUDY AND NEED BASED.
- 23 MY BROTHER PLAYED IN COLLEGE. MY SON PLAYED IN COLLEGE.
- 24 AND SO FOR ME, THE SCHOLARSHIP OPPORTUNITY, THE OPPORTUNITY TO
- 25 PURSUE A DEGREE, TO GET A DEGREE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN WOVEN INTO

1 THAT EXPERIENCE.

AND SO WHAT IT MEANS TO ME TO BE AN AMATEUR, WHETHER YOU'RE ON SCHOLARSHIP OR NOT ON SCHOLARSHIP, IS THE OPPORTUNITY TO PURSUE A DEGREE WHILE ALSO PLAYING INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS.

Q. NOW, WE'VE HEARD SOME DISCUSSION IN THE COURTROOM THAT
PROVIDING SCHOLARSHIPS AND PAYING FOR THE COST OF ATTENDANCE
IS BASICALLY PAYING STUDENTS.

DO YOU AGREE WITH THAT?

- A. I DON'T.
- Q. WHY NOT?
- A. BECAUSE IT'S ABOUT EDUCATION. AND IT'S -- AS -- AS LONG
 AS A PERSON IS A FULL-TIME STUDENT, THAT GOES BACK TO 1896,
 THE FIRST PRINCIPAL THAT WAS ARTICULATED BY THE FACULTY IN
 1896 WAS THE STUDENT BE A FULL-TIME STUDENT AND BE IN
 RESIDENCE AND BE PURSUING A DEGREE AND BE MAKING PROGRESS.
- SO FOR ME, THE TWO HAVE ALWAYS BEEN INTEGRATED. MY DAD
 WAS AS -- WAS A HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER. HE HAD FIVE KIDS.

 NUMBER OF US PLAYED COLLEGE SPORTS, SOME DIDN'T. BUT IT WAS
 ALWAYS ABOUT THE EDUCATIONAL PART.

AND I THINK OUR FAMILY VIEWED ATHLETICS AND OPPORTUNITY TO PURSUE HIGHER EDUCATION AS -- AS GREAT THING. AND I STILL BELIEVE IT IS.

Q. DO NCAA RULES ALLOW COLLEGES TO PAY -- TO PAY TO RECRUIT STUDENT ATHLETES TO PLAY IN SPORTS?

A.

- 2 Q. TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAVE NCAA RULES EVER ALLOWED COLLEGES
- 3 TO DO THAT?

NO.

- A. NO.
- **Q.** SHOULD IT BE ALLOWED?
- 6 A. I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
 - Q. WHY NOT?

POINT.

A. I THINK THAT IT -- IT -- YOU KNOW, THE -- I THINK THAT IN PURSUING A COLLEGE DEGREE AND PLAYING COLLEGE SPORTS, WE TRY TO FOCUS ON A BALANCE BETWEEN THE TWO. AND I THINK WHEN YOU START DEALING WITH 18-, 19-YEAR-OLDS AND TALKING ABOUT INDUCING THEM TO GO TO A COLLEGE FOR 50,000, 30,000, 20,000, THE DECISION ABOUT WHERE YOU GO TO COLLEGE IS NOT THE CRITICAL

THE DECISION, THEN, IS ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT YOU'RE GOING TO MAKE 30,000 VERSUS 40,000. AND SO MY VIEW IS THAT -- THAT THE INDIVIDUAL SHOULD HAVE A CHOICE, THE CHOICE SHOULD BE ATHLETICALLY AND EDUCATIONALLY BASED. AND THE IDEA THAT THE HIGHEST BIDDER WOULD WIN FOR THE NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESS IS ANATHEMA TO THE IDEA OF A STUDENT CHOOSING WHERE TO GO TO COLLEGE.

- Q. NOW YOU'VE JUMPED AHEAD A LITTLE BIT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT ANSWER. I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESS.
- **A.** YEAH.

- Q. DO THE NCAA RULES ALLOW COLLEGES TO PAY STUDENT ATHLETES

 FOR THE USE OF THEIR NAME, IMAGE, OR LIKENESSES?
 - A. NO.

5

7

18

- 4 Q. AND TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, HAVE THE NCAA RULES EVER ALLOWED

COLLEGES TO DO THAT?

- 6 **A.** NO.
 - Q. SHOULD IT -- SHOULD THEY BE ALLOWED?

THE EDUCATIONAL ASPECT LESS IMPORTANT.

- 8 **A.** NO.
- 9 **Q.** NOW, DOES IT MATTER TO YOU, WHETHER THOSE PAYMENTS ARE

 10 MADE TODAY OR AFTER THE STUDENT GRADUATES?
- 11 **A.** NO.
- 12 **Q.** WHY NOT?
- A. BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WHETHER THEY'RE MADE BEFORE

 ENROLLMENT, DURING ENROLLMENT OR AFTER ENROLLMENT CHANGES THE

 FACT THAT YOU'RE PROVIDING SUPPORT ABOVE THE COST OF GOING TO

 COLLEGE, THE COST OF THE EDUCATION. AND I THINK TO THE EXTENT

 YOU DO THAT, YOU MAKE THE BALANCE MORE DIFFICULT AND YOU MAKE
- Q. NOW, MR. DELANY, HAVE YOU BEEN INVOLVED IN DEBATES OVER
 THE INTERPRETATION OF NCAA RULES REGARDING THE USE OF STUDENT
 ATHLETE NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESSES?
- 22 **A.** I HAVE.
- Q. NOW, WERE THERE SOME PROPOSALS MADE IN 2007 AT THAT TIME
 FRAME TO LIBERALIZE THOSE RULES, ALLOW MORE USE OF NAME,
- 25 IMAGE, AND LIKENESS?

- A. I THINK THERE WERE.
- 2 \blacksquare Q. AND DID YOU AND/OR THE BIG TEN SUPPORT THOSE PROPOSALS?
- 3 A. WE DID NOT. WE -- WE PARTICIPATED IN THE DISCUSSION, BUT
- 4 WE ULTIMATELY DID NOT SUPPORT THE LIBERALIZATION OF THOSE
- 5 RULES.

- 6 MR. LI: I'M GOING TO PUT UP, IF I MAY, EXHIBIT
- 7 3193-1-1.
- 8 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 9 MR. LI: THANK YOU.
- 10 THE CLERK: THANK YOU.
- 11 Q. IS THIS A LETTER YOU WROTE TO THE DIVISION I CONFERENCE
- 12 COMMISSIONERS?
- 13 **A.** YES. YES.
- 14 Q. AND IN IT, YOU WRITE, I AM CONVEYING TO -- SORRY. "I AM
- 15 WRITING TO CONVEY THE BIG TEN'S STRONG OPPOSITION TO PROPOSAL
- 16 ■ 2010-26, WHICH, IF ADOPTED, WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY EXPAND
- 17 COMMERCIAL ENTITIES -- A COMMERCIAL ENTITY'S ABILITY TO USE
- 18 THE NAME, IMAGE -- NAME OR LIKENESS OF A STUDENT ATHLETE TO
- 19 PROMOTE ITS COMMERCIAL ENDEAVORS."
- 20 DID YOU WRITE THAT?
- 21 **A.** I DID.
- 22 Q. AND DID YOU OPPOSE THAT PROPOSAL?
- 23 **A.** WE DID.

- Q. WHY DID YOU OPPOSE THAT PROPOSAL?
- 25 A. WE JUST FELT THAT THERE WAS A GOOD LINE, A GOOD BRIGHT

LINE. THERE WAS DISCUSSION ABOUT MOVING THAT LINE MORE 1 2 TOWARDS THE AREA OF DIRECT ENDORSEMENT OR EVEN IMPLIED 3 ENDORSEMENT. WE THOUGHT THAT WHILE, YOU KNOW, PEOPLE WERE DISAGREEING, 4 5 I THINK IN GOOD FAITH, THAT WHERE THE LINE WAS WAS THE RIGHT PLACE, AND WE SHOULD WORK WITH IT AND -- AND SO AS A RESULT, 6 7 WE -- WE OPPOSED THESE -- THESE CHANGES. 8 Q. OKAY. AND LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT 3193-1-2. THIS IS THE 9 SECOND PARAGRAPH OF THAT LETTER. 10 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 11 BY MR. LI: 12 Q. IN IT, YOU WRITE "ANCHORED IN THE PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM 13 NCAA PRINCIPLE 2.9, CURRENT LEGISLATION TAKES A BRIGHT-LINE 14 APPROACH TO REGULATING THE USE OF CURRENT STUDENT ATHLETES 15 INCLUDING IN-PERSON APPEARANCES OR USING THEIR NAME, LIKENESS, 16 OR IMAGES IN A COMMERCIAL CONTEXT. SPECIFICALLY, THE 17 LEGISLATION CREATES A GENERAL PROHIBITION ON COMMERCIAL USE OF STUDENT ATHLETES AND THEN CARVES OUT A FEW NARROW EXCEPTIONS. 18 19 IF USAGE BY A COMMERCIAL ENTITY OR IN A COMMERCIAL CONTEXT 20 DOES NOT SATISFY ONE OF THOSE EXCEPTIONS, SUCH USE IS 21 IMPERMISSIBLE." DID YOU AGREE -- DID YOU WRITE THAT? 22 23 Α. YES. SORRY. IS THAT IN YOUR LETTER? I KNOW YOU DIDN'T 24 Q.

25

PERSONALLY TYPE THAT.

- A. YES. YES.
- **Q.** OKAY.

3 WAS PART OF YOUR OPPOSITION BASED ON THE PRINCIPLES OF 4 AMATEURISM?

- A. IT WAS.
- Q. IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK AT 3193-2-1, THIS IS THE FOURTH PARAGRAPH ON THE SECOND PAGE OF THE LETTER.

(EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)

BY MR. LI:

Q. IT SAYS, "2010-12 (SIC) WOULD DO SOME OF THE THINGS THAT ARGUABLY SHOULD BE DONE TO THE LEGISLATION IN THIS AREA. BUT AS MENTIONED ABOVE, IT WOULD DO SEVERAL OTHER THINGS THAT ARE CONTRARY TO OUR CURRENT PRINCIPLE OF AMATEURISM. ELEVEN YEARS AGO, THE ASSOCIATION AS A DEFENDANT IN A LAWSUIT FILED BY ADIDAS FOUGHT FOR ITS RIGHT TO IMPOSE LOGO RESTRICTIONS ON UNIFORMS WORN BY CURRENT STUDENT ATHLETES. WHEN THE ASSOCIATION WON, I.E., WHEN THE SUIT WAS DISMISSED, NCAA PRESIDENT CEDRIC DEMPSEY STATED, THE JUDGE AGREED WITH US. ADIDAS HAS MANY OTHER OPPORTUNITIES TO GET ITS MESSAGE ACROSS TO THE CONSUME- -- TO CONSUMERS OTHER THAN ON THE BACKS OF COLLEGE PLAYERS. THIS DECISION MEANS THAT THE REGULATION OF COLLEGE SPORTS REMAINS WITH THE INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS AND NOT WITH THE DESIRES OF A COMMERCIAL ENTITY."

A. YES.

- 1 Q. DID YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
- 2 **A.** YES.

- Q. TELL US WHY.
- 4 A. WELL, IT -- IT SEEMED TO US THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE SOME
- 5 STRUCTURE, SOME LINES. WHAT WE HAVE ALWAYS UNDERSTOOD TO BE
- 6 ■ THE BEST APPROACH IS THAT THE GAMES CAN BE PROMOTED BUT THAT
- 7 THE ATHLETE AS A PARTICIPANT IN THOSE GAMES OUGHT NOT TO BE A
- 8 DIRECT OR -- OR IMPLIED ENDORSER OF A COMMERCIAL PRODUCT.
 - Q. AND IS THAT WHAT YOU MEAN BY THE "NARROW EXCEPTIONS"?
- 10 **A.** YES.
- 11 Q. NOW, SO SOME FOLKS PROPOSE THIS LEGISLATIVE CHANGE. YOU
- 12 WRITE THIS LETTER TO OPPOSE THIS CHANGE.
- 13 WHAT HAPPENS?
- A. THINK THEY WERE DEFEATED. I THINK THESE PROPOSALS WERE
- 15 DEFEATED.
- 16 Q. NOW, DURING THE DEBATE OVER THESE PROPOSALS, DID ANYONE
- 17 ADVOCATE GIVING UP AN AMATEURISM?
- 18 **A.** NO.
- 19 Q. DURING THE DEBATE OVER THESE PROPOSALS, DID ANYONE
- 20 ADVOCATE PAYING STUDENT ATHLETES FOR APPEARING ON TELEVISION
- 21 GAMES?
- 22 **A.** NO.
- 23 Q. TELEVISED GAMES.
- 24 WHY NOT?
- 25 A. I THINK IT WAS -- I THINK BECAUSE THESE GAMES ARE OWNED BY

- THE INSTITUTION AND THE NOTION OF PAYING ATHLETES FOR

 PARTICIPATING IN THOSE GAMES IS FOREIGN INTO THE NOTION OF

 AMATEURISM.
 - Q. "FOREIGN." FOREIGN TO THE NOTION OF AMATEURISM?
 - A. YES.

- Q. BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE, WOULD PAYING STUDENT ATHLETES

 FOR PLAYING IN TELEVISED GAMES AFFECT FAN INTEREST IN THE BIG

 TEN?
 - A. IN MY OPINION, IT WOULD.
- 10 Q. IN WHICH WAY?
 - A. WELL, I THINK THAT THE FOLLOWING THAT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED OVER DECADES HAS COME TO SERVE A COMMON UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS REPRESENT THEIR INSTITUTION. THEY'RE GOING TO SCHOOL AT THEIR INSTITUTION. THEY'RE NOT PROFESSIONAL BUT THEY'RE AMATEUR. I THINK IT SEPARATES US FROM THE NBA, THE NFL, OTHER VERSIONS OF PLAY THAT YOU MIGHT SEE.

AND SO WHAT WE'VE TRIED TO DO IS, YOU KNOW, CONTINUE TO REINFORCE AND STRUCTURE A SYSTEM THAT REWARDS EDUCATION, THAT ENCOURAGES EDUCATION, THAT ENCOURAGES OPPORTUNITY AND -- AND TO AVOID INSOFAR AS POSSIBLE COMMERCIAL ELEMENTS THAT MIGHT CONFUSE US WITH THE NBA OR THE NFL.

- Q. NOW, YOU TALKED ABOUT BIG TEN SCHOOLS PLAYING SCHOOLS IN OTHER CONFERENCE (SIC).
- CAN YOU TELL US A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE HISTORY OF THE BIG
 TEN'S INVOLVEMENT WITH THE ROSE BOWL?

- Α. I CAN. THE ROSE BOWL WAS THE FIRST BOWL GAME AT THE TURN 2 OF THE CENTURY IN 1902 AND AS NOTED EARLIER -- NOTRE DAME --3 OR MICHIGAN AND STANFORD PLAYED. THE GAME WAS DISCONTINUED FOR ABOUT 14 YEARS. I THINK IN 1916 IT STARTED UP AGAIN. AND 5 IT CONTINUED AS THE BEST TEAM FROM THE WEST COAST PLAYING THE
 - SO IT WAS A TRULY FIRST NATIONAL EVENT, FIRST ON RADIO, FIRST ON T.V., FIRST ON COLOR T.V., FIRST ON DISTRIBUTED --DISTRIBUTED IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES, ET CETERA.
 - IN 1947, THE PAC TEN APPROACHED THE BIG TEN, AND A CONTRACT WAS ENTERED INTO THAT ALLOWED FOR THE CHAMPIONS OF THE TWO CONFERENCES TO PLAY EACH OTHER ON NEW YEAR'S DAY IN PASADENA, CALIFORNIA. IT'S KNOWN PEJORATIVELY AS THE GRAND-DADDY OF THEM ALL.
 - AND IT'S BEEN, YOU KNOW, THE MOST IMPORTANT EXTERNAL RELATIONSHIP WE HAVE DATING BACK TO THE -- THE LATE '40'S, AND IT IS A -- AN AMERICAN TRADITION, BUT IT'S ALSO A BIG TEN TRADITION.
 - AND IT'S A PAC 12 TRADITION ALSO? Q.

BEST OF THE REST AROUND THE COUNTRY.

- Α. AND A PAC 12 TRADITION, YES.
- 21 NOW, DO YOU MEET REGULARLY WITH THE PRESIDENTS AND 22 CHANCELLORS OF THE BIG TEN INSTITUTIONS?
 - I -- I DO. Α.
 - **Q**. OVER THE LAST 25 YEARS?
- 25 Α. I HAVE.

1

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

- Q. WOULD BIG TEN INSTITUTIONS PAY STUDENT ATHLETES FOR THEIR
 NAME, IMAGE, OR LIKENESS?
 - A. I DON'T BELIEVE THEY WOULD.
 - Q. WHY?

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

A. I -- I THINK THAT IT -- IT INVADES AN AREA OF -- OF AN UNDERSTANDING OF HOW INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS IS

INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS, HOW IT'S DIFFERENT FROM

PROPORTIONAL ATHLETICS, AND HOW IT -- HOW, YOU KNOW, THE

9 STUDENT -- THE STUDENT ATHLETE, THE PARTICIPANT, WHETHER IT'S

10 MALE, FEMALE, FOOTBALL, BASKETBALL, OUGHT TO BE ABOUT

11 EDUCATION AND OUGHT TO BE SUPPORTED FOR THEIR PURPOSE. AND

12 THE PAYING OF A PLAYER FOR NAME, IMAGE, OR LIKENESS IS

INCONSISTENT AND NOT PART OF THAT -- CONSENSUS AMONG NOT ONLY

OUR PRESIDENTS BUT OUR FACULTY, OUR FOLLOWERS, OUR COACHES.

AND SO THIS IS JUST A COMMONLY HELD SET OF VALUES THAT COVERS A LONG PERIOD OF TIME.

Q. NOW, YOU AS THE COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN, IF YOUR TEAMS

WERE NOT PAYING STUDENT ATHLETES FOR THEIR NAME, IMAGE, AND

LIKENESS, YOU KNOW, IN TELEVISED GAMES, WOULD YOU WANT YOUR

TEAMS PLAYING AGAINST THE PAC 12 IN THE BIG -- IN THE ROSE

- BOWL IF THEY WERE PAYING THEIR PLAYERS?
- 22 **A.** NO.
 - Q. WHY NOT?
 - A. I THINK IT WOULD BE REAL -- I THINK SORT OF THE ESSENCE OF
- 25 GAMES THAT THEY -- PEOPLE COME AT THOSE GAMES WITH SIMILAR

VIEWPOINTS IN TERMS OF ACADEMICS, IN TERMS OF COMMITMENT TO

CERTAIN APPROACHES. AND THE VERY BASIS OF GAMES IS A SENSE OF

SPORTSMANSHIP AND FAIRNESS.

AND IF ONE TEAM IS BUYING OR PAYING PLAYERS AND ONE TEAM
IS NOT, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET THE SAME LEVEL OF COMPETITIVE
BALANCE OR COMPETITIVE TENSION.

Q. WHAT HAPPENS TO THE ROSE BOWL THEN?

- A. WELL, THERE -- THERE WOULDN'T BE A ROSE BOWL IF EITHER WE OR THEY WERE OPERATING ON VERY DIFFERENT WAVELENGTHS WITH REGARD TO PAYMENT OF -- OF PLAYERS.
- Q. NOW, LET'S TALK ABOUT THE BIG TEN ITSELF. WHAT IF ONE SCHOOL IN THE BIG TEN OR TWO SCHOOLS IN THE BIG TEN DECIDED THAT THEY WERE GOING TO PAY THEIR STUDENT ATHLETES FOR THEIR NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESS FOR TELEVISED GAMES.

HOW WOULD THAT AFFECT THE BIG TEN?

A. I DON'T THINK THAT THEY WOULD BE MEMBERS OF THE BIG TEN.

THEY WOULD PROBABLY BE MEMBERS OF A -- OF AN INDEPENDENT OR A

DIFFERENT ASSOCIATION. I DON'T THINK THE BIG TEN COULD BE THE

BIG TEN WITH CERTAIN MEMBERS PAYING INDIVIDUALS FOR THAT AND

CERTAIN MEMBERS NOT.

I MEAN, IT'S SO -- SORT OF FUNDAMENTAL TO THE IDEA OF WHO
WE ARE AND WHAT WE DO AND THE EFFORTS TO KEEP THE COMPETITION
WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF -- OF EDUCATION IN THE UNIVERSITY.

Q. NOW, WOULD YOU -- AS THE COMMISSIONER OF THE BIG TEN,
WOULD YOU SUPPORT EQUAL REVENUE-SHARING BETWEEN SCHOOLS IF ONE

- SCHOOL WAS PAYING ITS ATHLETES FOR NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESS

 AND THE OTHER SCHOOLS WEREN'T?
- 3 A. I DON'T THINK THAT -- I DON'T THINK THEY WOULD, FOR SURE.
- 5 REVENUE-SHARING, THE COLLEGIALITY THAT EXISTS IF PEOPLE WERE
- 6 OPERATING ON DIFFERENT WAVELENGTHS WITH REGARD TO THE PAYMENT
- 7 OF IMAGE AND LIKENESS OF PLAYERS.
- 8 Q. OKAY. I'M GOING TO SWITCH TOPICS JUST FOR A SECOND ABOUT
- 9 THE COMPETITION WITHIN THE BIG TEN, JUST -- JUST HOW THE TEAMS
- 10 DO.
- 11 **A.** YEAH.
- 12 **Q.** HOW MANY TEAMS IN THE BIG TEN HAVE BEEN TO THE ROSE BOWL
- 13 DURING YOUR TENURE AS THE COMMISSIONER?
- 14 A. NINE DIFFERENT TEAMS.
- 15 Q. ALL BUT HOW MANY?
- 16 A. ALL BUT INDIANA AND MINNESOTA DURING MY TENURE. NOW, YOU
- 17 KNOW, I'D HAVE TO ADD THAT NEBRASKA HAS ONLY BEEN HERE FOR 3
- 18 YEARS AND THEY HAVE NOT BEEN TO THE ROSE BOWL, SO THAT WOULD
- 19 MAKE -- THAT WOULD MAKE THREE. BUT 9 OF THE 11, 9 OF 12 HAVE
- 20 BEEN TO THE ROSE BOWL.
- 21 AND, OF COURSE, MARYLAND AND RUTGERS HAVE NOT BEEN MEMBERS
- 22 DURING THE 25 YEARS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.
- 23 Q. OKAY. AND DURING YOUR TENURE AS THE BIG TEN COMMISSIONER,
- 24 HOW MANY BIG TEN SCHOOLS HAVE BEEN TO THE FINAL FOUR?
- 25 A. I THINK EIGHT DIFFERENT TEAMS HAVE BEEN TO THE FINAL FOUR.

- 2 THINK WISCONSIN AND MICHIGAN AND MICHIGAN STATE AND OHIO STATE
- 3 **I** AND INDIANA AND ILLINOIS. I THINK THOSE ARE -- SEVEN OR
- 4 EIGHT.
- 5 \blacksquare Q. OKAY. OF -- IF ONE SET OF TEAMS IN THE BIG TEN COULD PAY
- 6 THE STUDENT ATHLETES FOR THE USE OF THE NAME, IMAGE, AND
- 7 LIKENESS AND OTHER TEAMS COULDN'T OR DIDN'T PAY, HOW WOULD
- 8 THAT AFFECT THE COMPETITION IN THE BIG TEN?
- 9 A. I THINK IT WOULD MAKE IT FAR LESS COMPETITIVE. I THINK
- 10 | THERE WOULD BE FAR LESS BALANCE, AND I THINK THERE'D BE SORT
- 11 OF AN UNLIKELY INSTITUTIONAL SET OF ALLIANCES I DON'T THINK IT
- 12 COULD BE SUSTAINED.
- 13 Q. NOW, I'M GOING TO SWITCH TOPICS AND TALK A LITTLE BIT
- 14 ABOUT RECRUITING. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH BIG TEN RECRUITING?
- 15 **A.** I AM.
- 16 Q. AND MANY, MANY YEARS AGO, DID YOU HAVE EXPERIENCE AS A
- 17 NCAA ENFORCEMENT OFFICIAL?
- 18 **A.** I DID.
- 19 Q. DID YOU HAVE A CHANCE TO OBSERVE RECRUITING THEN?
- 20 **A.** I DID.
- 21 **O.** AND DID YOU INVESTIGATE ANY INSTANCES OF IMPROPER
- 22 PAYMENTS?
- 23 **A.** I DID.
- 24 **I** O. DID THOSE PAYMENTS MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN WHERE STUDENT
- 25 ATHLETES DECIDED TO ATTEND COLLEGE?

- 1 A. THEY DID.
- 2 Q. DO YOU THINK THAT STILL HAPPENS TODAY?
- 3 A. I'M NOT AS CLOSE TO IT AS I WAS 35 YEARS AGO. BUT I THINK
- 4 THAT THAT KIND OF ACTIVITY EXISTS TO SOME EXTENT. I'M NOT
- 5 \blacksquare SURE HOW MUCH IT EXISTS, BUT I THINK IT EXISTS TO SOME EXTENT.
- 6 **O.** HOW DO YOU VIEW THAT ACTIVITY?
- 7 **A.** I VIEW IT NEGATIVELY.
 - Q. WHY?

- 9 A. I THINK THAT IT UPSETS THE COMPETITIVE BALANCE. I THINK
- 10 IT PUTS AN EMPHASIS ON PAYMENTS TO -- AND I THINK THOSE
- 11 PAYMENTS TEND TO UNDERCUT CHOICE. I THINK STUDENTS SHOULD BE
- 12 CHOOSING WHERE THEY WANT TO GO TO COLLEGE, WHERE THEY WANT TO
- 13 PLAY, WHO THEIR TEAMMATES ARE, WHO THEIR CLASSMATES ARE, WHERE
- 14 | THEY WANT TO LIVE, AND WHERE THEY WANT TO GO WITH THEIR
- 15 | FUTURE, NOT ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THAT CHOICE SHOULD BE
- 16 INFLUENCED BY A SMALL AMOUNT OF MONEY OR A LARGE AMOUNT OF
- 17 MONEY.
- 18 Q. WHAT WOULD YOU SAY TO FOLKS WHO MIGHT ACCUSE THAT VIEW OF
- 19 BEING PATERNALISTIC.
- 20 **A.** I WOULD SAY THE KIDS -- THEY'RE 18 YEARS OLD, AND I THINK
- 21 WHETHER YOU'RE IN A FAMILY OR WHETHER YOU'RE IN A COLLEGIATE
- 22 INSTITUTION, PART OF THE EDUCATION IS -- IS LEADING AND NOT
- 23 ALWAYS FOLLOWING.
- 24 AND I THINK THAT WHETHER IT'S MY SON OR SOMEONE ELSE'S
- 25 SON, I THINK IT'S -- IT'S THE RIGHT LIFELONG DECISION, AND I

- 1 DON'T THINK THAT IT'S PATERNALISTIC.
- 2 I THINK IT'S ACTUALLY, YOU KNOW, SUPPORTIVE OF -- OF THEIR
- 3 FUTURE BY -- BY -- BY MAINTAINING THE EDUCATIONAL SITUATION
- 4 AT -- AT AT LEAST AN EQUAL BASIS IF NOT A STRONGER LONG-TERM
- 5 BASIS.
- 6 Q. NOW, THIS IS THE LAST TOPIC, AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR
- 7 PATIENCE WITH ME.
- 8 WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THE VALUES OF THE BIG TEN. DOES THE
- 9 BIG TEN SHARE VALUES WITH THE -- WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF THE
- 10 NCAA?
- 11 **A.** YES.
- 12 **Q.** DOES THE BIG TEN SHARE IDEALS WITH OTHER MEMBERS OF NCAA?
- 13 **A.** YES.
- 14 Q. NOW, DOES EVERY MEMBER OF NCAA ALWAYS AGREE ON EVERY IDEA?
- 15 **A.** NO.
- 16 **I** O. DO YOU AGREE WITH EVERY POSITION THAT EVERY OTHER MEMBER
- 17 TAKES?
- 18 **A.** NO.
- 19 Q. DO YOU AGREE WITH EVERYTHING THE NCAA HAS EVER SAID?
- 20 **A.** NO.
- 21 **Q.** DO YOU SHARE YOUR VIEWS SOMETIMES?
- 22 **A.** YES.
- 23 Q. DO YOU ADVOCATE FOR YOUR POSITION?
- 24 **A.** YES.
- 25 **Q.** AND THEN EVENTUALLY, IT GOES TO A VOTE?

1 **A.** YES.

4

- 2 Q. AND THE MEMBERS, THEY LIVE BY THAT VOTE.
- 3 **A.** TRY TO.
 - Q. IT'S A DELIBERATIVE PROCESS?
- 5 **A.** IT IS A DELIBERATIVE PROCESS.
- 6 Q. IS IT MESSY SOMETIMES?
 - A. AS IS DEMOCRACY, IT'S MESSY SOMETIMES.
- 8 Q. NOW, SIR, ARE YOU INVOLVED IN A PROPOSAL TO GRANT GREATER
- 9 AUTONOMY TO CERTAIN CONFERENCES?
- 10 **A.** I AM.
- 11 Q. AND I WANT TO FOCUS ON THE -- NOT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION --
- 12 | IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION BUT ACTUALLY THE DIFFERENCES THAT --
- 13 THAT YOU SUPPORT.
- 14 **A.** YES.
- 15 **Q.** OKAY?
- 16 CAN YOU TELL US WHAT THOSE AREAS ARE -- WELL, WHY DON'T I
- 17 DO THIS SO WE JUST GET THROUGH: DO YOU SUPPORT A CHANGE ON
- 18 THE DEFINITION OF THE "FULL COST OF ATTENDANCE"?
- 19 **A.** I DO.
- 20 Q. TELL US ABOUT THAT.
- 21 **A.** WELL, I WOULD -- THE PRESIDENT'S SCHOLARSHIP IS DEFINED AS
- 22 ROOM, BOARD, FEES, TUITION, AND BOOKS. THERE'S A -- THERE'S
- 23 ANOTHER DEFINITION OF THE COST OF EDUCATION, THE ELEMENTS OF
- 24 | WHICH ARE IDENTIFIED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND EACH
- 25 INSTITUTION PUTS A DOLLAR FIGURE ON THOSE ELEMENTS.

- I BELIEVE THAT THE FULL COST OF EDUCATION IS THE -- THE 2 APPROPRIATE WAY FOR US TO GO FORWARD IN THE FUTURE. AND THAT SHOULD PROVIDE THE FULL COST OF ACTUALLY GOING TO ANY PARTICULAR INSTITUTION IN THIS COUNTRY AS ARRIVED AT BY THAT INSTITUTION.
 - OKAY. LET -- YOU MENTIONED DERIVED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.
 - Α. YEAH.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. OKAY? SO THIS FULL COST OF THE EDUCATION NUMBER, IS THAT A NUMBER THAT'S PULLED OUT OF THIN AIR?
- 11 IT IS NOT. Α.
 - Q. WHERE DOES IT COME FROM?
 - Α. WELL, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ABOVE THE ELEMENTS OF THE SCHOLARSHIP WHICH I DESCRIBED HAS IDENTIFIED MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES, LOCAL TRAVEL, AND SO EACH CAMPUS DETERMINES WHAT THE APPROPRIATE DOLLAR FIGURES ARE FOR THESE ELEMENTS.
 - AND SO WHAT WE'RE ARGUING FOR, IF WE'RE ABLE TO GET AUTONOMY, IS THAT THE FULL SCHOLARSHIP BE REDEFINED AS THE COST OF EDUCATION.
 - NOW, DO YOU SUPPORT A LIMIT ON TIME SPENT ON ATHLETICS? Q.
 - WELL, I THINK WE NEED TO REVISIT IT. WE ADOPTED PROBABLY Α. 20 YEARS AGO OR MAYBE 15 YEARS AGO, A 20-HOUR RULE. AS I HAVE SEEN THAT IMPLEMENTED, WHETHER IT'S CAPTAINS, PRACTICES, VOLUNTARY WORKOUTS, I THINK THERE'S MORE TIME BEING SPENT 20 YEARS AGO WHEN WE DIDN'T HAVE THE RULE, SO I WOULD LIKE TO

RELOOK AT THAT RULE AND FIND OUT EXACTLY -- AND THIS IS NOT

ONLY A PROBLEM IN DIVISION I. IT'S A PROBLEM IN DIVISION III

DIVISION II, AND DIVISION I.

AND SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A BROAD REVIEW OF THAT TO
ENSURE THAT THERE'S ADEQUATE TIME, NOT ONLY FOR ATHLETIC
PREPARATION, BUT ALSO PERSONAL TIME, AS WELL AS ATHLETIC TIME.

IN PARTICULAR, I'M VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE AT-RISK

STUDENTS. OUR SCHOOLS, I THINK, ARE REALLY GOOD AT PROVIDING

ACCESS AND OPPORTUNITY, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE

ACCESS AND OPPORTUNITY DOESN'T MOVE INTO A NEGATIVE AREA

BECAUSE THE AT-RISK STUDENT DOESN'T HAVE THE TIME TO DO WHAT

HE OR SHE NEEDS TO DO?

- Q. IS THIS ONLY TRUE FOR MEN'S BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL FROM YOU POINT OF VIEW?
- A. NO, THINGS HAVE CHANGED IN SPORTS IN THIS COUNTRY. PEOPLE
 ARE SPECIALIZING EARLIER IN SPORTS. THEY HAVE YOUTH TEAMS
 THAT SOMETIMES PLAY A HUNDRED GAMES IN THE COURSE OF A SUMMER,
 WHETHER IT'S BASKETBALL OR BASEBALL. AND SO THIS
 SPECIALIZATION GETS CARRIED INTO HIGH SCHOOL, INTO COLLEGE.

AND I THINK, TO SOME EXTENT, OUR EFFORTS AT CONTROLLING
TIME DEMANDS HAS NOT BEEN A GOOD OUTCOME. AND I THINK ONE OF
THE THINGS WE WOULD LIKE TO DO IS INSPECT IT AND CREATE A
BETTER BALANCE IN THAT RESPECT.

Q. ARE YOU CONSIDERING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, AN ATHLETIC, I
GUESS WE CALL IT DEAD PERIOD?

- A. YES.
- Q. AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THAT?
- A. WELL, BY THAT I MEAN, THERE ARE DAILY LIMITS, WEEKLY LIMITS, NON-WORKOUTS DURING THE SEASON.
- BUT I THINK THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN THE BASKETBALL SEASON IS OVER, WE PROBABLY OUGHT TO JUST PUT A LOCK ON THE GYM.
- IF THE PERSON WANTS TO GO PLAY IN THE PLAYGROUND, PLAY.

 BUT THEY DON'T NEED TO BE WITH OUR COACHES. AND WHETHER

 THAT'S A MONTH OR THREE WEEKS IN THE SUMMERTIME, I THINK THE

 OPPORTUNITIES TO GO A JUNIOR YEAR ABROAD OR TO DO INTERNSHIPS

 HAS GOT TO BE CREATED.
- I THINK FOR A YOUNG PERSON IN COLLEGE WITHOUT AN

 OPPORTUNITY TO DO INTERNSHIPS, WITHOUT THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO

 JUNIOR YEAR ABROAD, HE OR SHE CAN'T TAKE FULL ADVANTAGE OF

 WHAT I THINK IS, YOU KNOW, A VERY COMPETITIVE AND GLOBAL PLACE

 WITHOUT THOSE EXPERIENCES.
- SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE FOR US CARVE-OUT AREAS, BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THAT THE TRAINING THAT WE HAVE ALLOWS FOR ENOUGH OF THOSE EXPERIENCES.
- Q. HAVE YOU CONSIDERED A CONCEPT OF A LIFETIME SCHOLARSHIP TO COMPLETE A DEGREE?
- A. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE. TO SOME EXTENT, THOSE APPROACHES ARE PERMISSIBLE, AND THEY'RE DONE ON INSTITUTION—TO—INSTITUTION BASIS, DEPENDING UPON THE RESOURCE THAT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE LOCALLY.

WHAT WE'RE THINKING ABOUT IS ESTABLISHING IT ON A

CONFERENCE-WIDE BASIS AND TO FUND IT AND TO ALLOW STUDENTS WHO

INTERRUPT THEIR EDUCATION, EITHER BECAUSE THEY'RE GOING TO

PURSUE A PROFESSIONAL OPPORTUNITY OR BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT READY

TO TAKE SCHOOL QUITE SERIOUSLY, TO COME BACK AND -- AND FINISH

THEIR DEGREE AT THE COST OF THE INSTITUTION.

SO THAT'S SORT OF A LIFETIME TRUST TO -- AN INSURANCE BY

EACH INSTITUTION THAT IF YOU COME HERE TO PLAY A SPORT AND

THINGS DON'T GO WELL FOR YOU OR YOU CHOOSE TO LEAVE, THAT YOU

HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO COME BACK AND FINISH YOUR DEGREE WORK.

Q. NOW, I THINK EARLIER, THOUGH, YOU SAID THAT HAVING NAME,
IMAGE, AND LIKENESS DOLLARS BEING PAID TO A STUDENT IN A TRUST
FUND LATER, YOU DIDN'T SUPPORT THAT.

HOW CAN YOU SUPPORT A LIFETIME SCHOLARSHIP?

A. THIS IS -- THIS IS FOR ALL STUDENTS. IT'S ABOUT

EDUCATION, AND IT'S FOR ALL STUDENTS. IT'S NOT FOR THOSE JUST

WITH A SPECIAL IMAGE AND LIKENESS ISSUE.

SO THIS OPPORTUNITY WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR ALL OF OUR STUDENTS, NOT ONLY THOSE IN PARTICULAR SPORTS.

- Q. AND IS IT ALSO TIED TO JUST SIMPLY THE COST OF EDUCATION?
- A. IT WOULD BE. IT WOULD BE.
- **Q.** COST -- SORRY?

- A. YEAH. IT'S ABOUT EDUCATION, AND IT'S ABOUT THE COST OF GOING TO COLLEGE AND FINISHING YOUR DEGREE.
 - Q. NOW, THE LAST POINT I WANT TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT YOU'VE

1 SORT OF REFERENCED A LITTLE WAS THE AT-RISK STUDENT.

HAVE YOU CONSIDERED POLICIES THAT YOU WOULD ADVOCATE FOR
THAT WOULD HELP THE AT-RISK STUDENT?

A. WE HAVE, BUT I HAVE NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL. WHEN I WENT TO COLLEGE, FRESHMAN WERE NOT ELIGIBLE TO PLAY ON THE VARSITY.

SO THERE WAS A REAL YEAR IN RESIDENCE BEFORE YOU WERE INTRODUCED TO THE HIGHEST LEVEL OF COMPETITION.

THEY HAVE MADE FRESHMAN ELIGIBLE A FEW YEARS AFTER I GRADUATED, AND I THINK THAT, AS I NOTED EARLIER, OPPORTUNITY AND ACCESS ARE REALLY IMPORTANT. BUT WE HAVE TO BE REALLY CAREFUL THAT WHEN WE PROVIDE ACCESS AND OPPORTUNITY AND ALSO INTRODUCE THE STUDENT TO HIGH LEVELS OF TRAINING AND COMPETITION, THAT THE AT-RISK STUDENT DOESN'T LOSE HIS OR HER WAY.

AND SO WHAT I WANT TO DO IS EITHER INVESTIGATE SORT OF A TARGETED FRESHMAN INELIGIBILITY OR A BLANKET FRESHMAN INELIGIBILITY. I HAVE NOT BEEN SUCCESSFUL OVER THE YEARS IN RETURNING TO THAT STATE OF AFFAIRS. BUT I THINK IT IS MAYBE A SILVER BULLET, IF NOT THE SILVER BULLET, TO ASSURE THAT BEING IN SCHOOL, MAKING YOUR PROGRESS, PRECEDES ATHLETIC COMPETITION ESPECIALLY FOR THE AT-RISK STUDENT.

- Q. AND THAT'S A VIEW YOU SUPPORT.
- A. THAT'S A PERSONAL VIEW, AND I THINK IT'S A VIEW THAT'S

 SHARED WIDELY INSIDE OF OUR CONFERENCE, BUT IT'S NOT

 NECESSARILY SHARED WIDELY IN THE COMMUNITY OF DIVISION I.

```
1
      Q.
          NOW, ALL OF THESE PROPOSALS, ARE THEY CONSISTENT OR
 2
       INCONSISTENT WITH THE PRINCIPLES OF AMATEURISM?
 3
           I THINK THEY'RE FULLY CONSISTENT WITH THE -- WITH THE
 4
      EDUCATIONAL BASE AMATEUR MODEL THAT EXISTS IN COLLEGE.
 5
          IS THERE A BRIGHT LINE FOR YOU BETWEEN AMATEURISM AND
 6
       PROFESSIONALISM?
 7
      A. WELL, THERE IS IN THE COLLEGIATE SYSTEM, AND I THINK THE
 8
       OUTER LIMIT IS THE BENEFITS THAT ARE NECESSARY TO ALLOW A
 9
      PERSON TO TRAIN AND -- AND TO GET TO AN EVENT AND THE ACTUAL
10
       COST OF GOING TO THAT COLLEGE TO PURSUE A -- TO PURSUE A
11
      DEGREE.
12
       Q.
          IS IT ALL ABOUT EDUCATION?
13
      Α.
          IT IS ABOUT EDUCATION.
14
       Q.
          IS THAT WHERE THE BRIGHT LINE IS?
          I THINK THAT'S WHERE THE BRIGHT LINE IS.
15
      Α.
16
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, NO FURTHER --
17
                        (OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.)
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, I'D MOVE THE ADMISSION OF 3193.
18
19
                THE COURT: ANY OBJECTION?
20
               MR. HAUSFELD: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
21
                THE COURT: RECEIVED.
22
           (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 3193 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)
23
               MR. LI: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME.
24
```

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. HAUSFELD: MORNING, MR. DELANY. 3 Q. Α. GOOD MORNING. 4 5 DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING OR SPEAKING AT A -- AT A TASK **Q**. FORCE FOR COMMERCIAL INTERESTS AT THE NCAA? 6 7 I DO. Α. 8 Q. AND DO YOU RECALL WHEN THAT WAS? 9 MAYBE 2007 OR 2008. Α. 10 AND DO YOU RECALL TAKING NOTES DURING THAT CONVERSATION? Q. 11 I -- I DON'T RECALL TAKING NOTES. Α. DO YOU RECALL THAT YOU SAID AT THAT TIME THAT OUR ATHLETES 12 Q. 13 ARE CALLED AMATEURS, BUT WE HAVE BIG STADIUMS, LOTS OF 14 SCHOLARSHIP MONEY, THERE IS MONETIZATION OF ATHLETES, AND IT'S 15 BEEN THERE FOR FIVE TO SIX DECADES? 16 A. I DON'T RECALL SAYING THAT, BUT I MAY HAVE. 17 MR. HAUSFELD: AND COULD WE SEE EXHIBIT 3193-2, 18 PLEASE? 19 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HAUSFELD: 20 21 AND COULD WE HIGHLIGHT THE SECTION DEALING WITH -- RIGHT 22 UNDERNEATH "THE JUDGE AGREED." 23 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) BY MR. HAUSFELD: 24 25 IT SAYS, "ADIDAS HAS MANY OTHER OPPORTUNITIES TO GET ITS

- 1 MESSAGE ACROSS TO CONSUMERS OTHER THAN ON THE BACKS OF COLLEGE
 2 PLAYERS."
 - A. UM-HMM.

4

5

9

10

13

14

15

- Q. CORRECT?
- A. YES.
- Q. I DON'T NECESSARILY WATCH A LOT OF COLLEGE GAMES, BUT HAS
 ADIDAS GOT AROUND THAT BY PUTTING THEIR SWISH ON THE FRONT?
- 8 MR. LI: OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR, THEY'RE STRIPES.
 - MR. HAUSFELD: STRIPES. I KNEW I DIDN'T WATCH ENOUGH
 COLLEGE GAMES.

11 (LAUGHTER.)

12 **THE WITNESS:** SO THE QUESTION IS WHAT?

BY MR. HAUSFELD:

- Q. WELL, DID -- DID ADIDAS GET AROUND THAT PROVISION BY
 PUTTING ITS STRIPES ON THE FRONT OF THE COLLEGE PLAYERS AS
- 16 OPPOSED TO THE BACKS?
- 17 A. I DON'T KNOW.
- 18 Q. THE UNIFORMS THAT COLLEGE PLAYERS WEAR NOW BEAR SYMBOLS OF
- 19 VARIOUS APPAREL MANUFACTURERS?
- 20 A. I THINK THEY MAY.
- 21 O. THEY'RE -- THEY MAY. YOU DON'T KNOW?
- 22 **A.** I THINK THERE'S A REGULATION ON HOW THOSE MARKS ARE USED.
- 23 Q. AND THERE'S MARKS ON THE JERSEYS, CORRECT?
- 24 A. I THINK THERE ARE.
- 25 Q. ON THE PANTS?

- 1 A. THERE MAY BE.
- 2 Q. ON THE SOCKS?
- 3 **A.** THERE MAY BE.

- Q. ON THE SHOES?
- 5 **A.** THERE MAY BE.
- 6 **Q.** ON THE -- WAS GOING TO CALL THEM MITTENS -- ON THE GLOVES?
- 7 A. I DON'T KNOW. THERE MAY BE.
- 8 Q. ON THE HELMETS?
 - **A.** THERE MAY BE.
- 10 Q. NOW, YOU IDENTIFIED A NUMBER OF SOURCES OF INCOME THAT THE
- 11 BIG TEN RECEIVES?
- 12 **A.** YES.
- 13 Q. CORRECT? BUT YOU DID IT IN PERCENTAGES.
- 14 WHAT'S THE DOLLAR VALUE OF THE REVENUES RECEIVED FROM
- 15 MARCH MADNESS TO THE BIG TEN?
- 16 **A.** ABOUT 30 -- \$30 MILLION.
- 17 Q. AND THE SHARE OF THE ATHLETES FOR THEIR NIL'S IN -- IN
- 18 THEIR MARCH MADNESS IS ZERO?
- 19 **A.** YES.
- 20 Q. AND WELL HOW MUCH DOES THE BIG TEN RECEIVE WITH REGARD TO
- 21 CONFERENCE BOWLS?
- **A.** CONFERENCE BOWLS?
- 23 Q. YOU SAID 10 TO 15 PERCENT?
- 24 A. YEAH, I WOULD SAY -- I WOULD SAY BETWEEN 25- AND
- 25 \$30 MILLION.

- 1 Q. AND THAT'S FROM THE BROADCAST REVENUE?
- 2 **A.** IT -- IT'S A COMBINATION OF BROADCAST REVENUE, GATE
- 3 RECEIPTS AND SPONSORSHIPS THAT ARE DEVELOPED AT THAT BOWL
- 4 SITE.

- 5 **Q.** WHAT DOES THE BIG TEN RECEIVE FROM THE BROADCASTING OF
- 6 YOUR GAMES OTHER THAN ON THE BIG TEN NETWORK?
- 7 A. YOU'LL HAVE TO TELL ME WHAT -- WHAT DO WE RECEIVE FROM
- 8 BROADCAST TELEVISION --
 - Q. OTHER THAN THE BIG TEN?
- 10 **A.** NOT INCLUDING -- NOT INCLUDING BOWL GAMES.
- 11 Q. INCLUDING BOWL GAMES.
- 12 **A.** INCLUDING BOWL GAMES.
- 13 (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
- 14 THE WITNESS: THE BROADCAST RIGHTS -- THE BROADCAST
- 15 COMPANIES DON'T PAY US FOR BOWL GAMES. AND -- THEY PAY THE
- BOWL. AND THEN THE BOWL TAKES THE DOLLARS, RUNS THE BOWL, AND
- 17 GIVES US A FEE FOR PARTICIPATION BOWL (SIC). THAT'S ABOUT 30.
- 18 BY MR. HAUSFELD:
- 19 **Q.** OKAY.
- 20 A. AND THEN ON THE TELEVISION SIDE, APART FROM THE BIG TEN
- 21 NETWORK, I WOULD SAY ABOUT \$120 MILLION, FROM TELECASTS
- 22 BROADCAST, PAYMENTS, ESPN, CBS.
- 23 Q. AND THAT'S \$150 MILLION?
- 24 **A.** 120, 130.
- 25 Q. AND WHAT'S THE ATHLETES' SHARE OF THAT WITH REGARD TO

- 1 THEIR NIL'S?
- 2 A. THE ATHLETES' SHARE OF THAT IS -- IS -- DOESN'T GET
- 3 A SHARE OF THAT.
- 4 **O.** AND WHAT'S THE BIG TEN REVENUE WITH REGARD TO BROADCAST?
- 5 THE BIG TEN NETWORK?
- 6 A. THE BIG TEN NETWORK, I WOULD SAY THE BIG TEN NETWORK IS
- 7 APPROXIMATELY A HUNDRED, HUNDRED AND TEN MILLION.
- 8 Q. AND THAT'S PER YEAR?
- 9 A. THAT'S PER YEAR.
 - Q. AND WHAT IS THE ATHLETES' SHARE OF THAT REVENUE?
- 11 **A.** THERE IS NO ATHLETES' SHARE OF BROADCAST RIGHTS.
- 12 MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT --
- 13 BY MR. HAUSFELD:

- 14 Q. AND YOU HAVE A BIG TEN STORE?
- 15 **THE COURT:** THERE SEEMS TO BE AN OBJECTION. WHAT'S
- 16 YOUR OBJECTION?
- 17 MR. LI: OBJECTION, ASSUMPTION FACTS NOT IN EVIDENCE
- 18 RELATING TO NIL RIGHTS TO THESE BROADCASTS. I UNDERSTAND THE
- 19 COURT HAS MADE A RULING, BUT I'M JUST LODGING AN OBJECTION.
- 20 **THE COURT:** OVERRULED.
- 21 **THE WITNESS:** EXCUSE ME.
- BY MR. HAUSFELD:
- 23 Q. AND YOU ALSO HAVE A BIG TEN STORE?
- 24 **A.** DO I HAVE A BIG TEN STORE, OR IS THERE A BIG TEN STORE?
- 25 Q. IS THERE A BIG TEN STORE?

- 1 A. I THINK THERE MAY BE A BIG TEN STORE IN INDIANAPOLIS
 2 AIRPORT.
- Q. AND THE BIG TEN STORE MAY SELL REBROADCASTS OF CURRENT

 GAMES AND REBROADCASTS OF CLASSIC GAMES IN SOME FORM?
 - A. I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T THINK SO.
 - Q. DOES THE BIG TEN STORE SELL JERSEYS?
- 7 A. THEY MAY SELL INSTITUTIONAL JERSEYS, YEAH.
- 8 Q. TRADING CARDS?
 - A. I DON'T KNOW. I'VE NEVER BEEN IN A BIG TEN STORE.
- 10 Q. DO THE ATHLETES RECEIVE ANY SHARE OF THE REVENUES FROM THE
- 11 BIG TEN STORE?
- 12 **A.** NO.

6

- 13 Q. NOW, YOU MENTIONED BEFORE THAT, FROM YOUR REPORTING
- 14 PERSPECTIVE, THE PRESIDENTS ARE IN CONTROL OF BIG TEN
- 15 ATHLETICS.
- 16 A. THEY HAVE OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL THINGS ATHLETIC
- 17 AND ACADEMIC, BUT -- YEAH, THEY'RE ON BOARD.
- 18 **Q.** CAN WE PLEASE SHOW THE WITNESS THE ART SCIENCE GROUP
- 19 KNIGHT COMMISSION ON INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS, OCTOBER 2009.
- MR. LI: COULD WE HAVE --
- 21 BY MR. HAUSFELD:
- 22 **Q.** ARE YOU AWARE OF THE KNIGHT COMMISSION?
- 23 MR. LI: SO COULD WE HAVE EXHIBIT NUMBER SO WE CAN
- 24 JUST FIND IT.
- 25 (OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.)

1 THE CLERK: YOU WANT TO GIVE THE NUMBER FOR THE 2 RECORD, HOWEVER? 3 MR. HAUSFELD: 2514-1. (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 4 5 BY MR. HAUSFELD: ARE YOU AWARE OF THE KNIGHT COMMISSION, ARE YOU NOT? 6 7 I AM AWARE OF KNIGHT COMMISSION. A. 8 AND WHAT IS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF ITS REPUTATION? 9 Α. I THINK IT'S GOT A GOOD REPUTATION, THINK IT'S A GOOD 10 GROUP OF PRESIDENTS AND PUBLIC CITIZENS WHO HAVE AN INTEREST 11 IN COLLEGE SPORTS. IT'S MADE UP OF SOME OF THE SAME PEOPLE THAT ARE 12 Q. 13 PRESIDENTS OR CHANCELLORS OF UNIVERSITIES IN THE BIG TEN? 14 WE'VE HAD REPRESENTATION ON -- ON THE KNIGHT COMMISSION 15 OVER THE YEARS. I THINK IT GOES BACK TO THE EARLY '90'S, AND 16 ZERO A NUMBER OF OUR PRESIDENTS HAVE SERVED. 17 MR. HAUSFELD: AND COULD WE PLEASE SEE PAGE 7. 18 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 19 (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.) 20 MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, THERE IS A MOTION IN LIMINE 21 REGARDING THE KNIGHT COMMISSION, SO I'M JUST PUTTING THE 22 COUNSEL ON NOTICE THAT I MAY START OBJECTING DEPENDING ON 23 WHERE THE QUESTIONS GO. BY MR. HAUSFELD: 24 25 AND IN THIS REPORT OF THE KNIGHT COMMISSION --

```
1
           IF WE COULD HIGHLIGHT "IN SUM."
 2
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
 3
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
 4
       Q. "IN SUM, PRESIDENTS WOULD LIKE SERIOUS CHANGE BUT DON'T
 5
       SEE THEMSELVES AS THE FORCE FOR THE CHANGES NEEDED, NOR HAVE
       THEY IDENTIFIED AN ALTERNATIVE FORCE THEY BELIEVE COULD BE
 6
 7
      EFFECTIVE."
 8
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, OBJECTION.
 9
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
10
       O. WERE YOU EVER AWARE OF THAT FINDING BY THE KNIGHT
11
      COMMISSION?
12
      A. NO.
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, OBJECT, MOVE TO STRIKE. THIS IS
13
14
      HEARSAY.
15
                THE COURT: HE'S JUST ASKING IF HE'S HEARD OF IT OR
16
      AGREED --
17
                          (SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
                THE COURT: -- NOT BEING RECEIVED FOR THE TRUTH, SO
18
19
      YOUR OBJECTION'S OVERRULED.
                MR. LI: OKAY. THANK YOU. THANK YOU.
20
21
                THE WITNESS: NO.
22
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
23
       Q. WERE YOU AT THE 2010 STATE OF THE ASSOCIATION FROM THE
24
      NCAA?
25
       A. LIKELY NOT.
```

```
1
               MR. HAUSFELD: COULD WE SEE EXHIBIT NO. 2296, PLEASE.
 2
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
 3
                MR. HAUSFELD: AND THIS IS A COPY -- IF WE COULD
 4
      HIGHLIGHT THE TOP, PLEASE.
 5
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
 6
 7
       Q. -- FROM THE NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ASSOCIATION, DATED NCAA
 8
      NEWS ARCHIVE 2010. AND IT'S THE 2010 NCAA STATE OF THE
 9
      ASSOCIATION SPEECH FULL TEXT.
10
          DO YOU SEE THAT?
11
      A. (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.)
           I DON'T SEE IT HERE, NO.
12
13
      Q.
         IT'S ON THE -- SHOULD BE ON THE TOP OF YOUR SCREEN.
14
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, IF COUNSEL COULD GIVE HIM A HARD
15
      COPY, MAYBE HE COULD SEE THE WHOLE THING AND READ IT BETTER.
16
       IT'S PRETTY SMALL.
17
                THE WITNESS: THIS SAYS, "NCAA STATE OF ASSOCIATION
       FULL TEXT." THAT'S ALL IT SAYS.
18
19
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
20
      Q. RIGHT.
21
      A. OKAY.
22
         THAT'S ALL I ASKED.
      Q.
23
      A. YEAH, I COULD SEE THAT.
24
               MR. HAUSFELD: AND IF WE COULD GO 2296-2, PLEASE?
25
               MR. LI: YOUR HONOR --
```

BY MR. HAUSFELD: 1 2 AND THE PHRASE "WHAT WAS PREVALENT THROUGHOUT." 3 WELL, ACTUALLY, IF YOU COULD -- IF YOU COULD HIGHLIGHT THE ENTIRE PARAGRAPH, "EARLIER THIS FALL, THE KNIGHT COMMISSION 4 5 RELEASED BOTH." 6 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 7 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 8 Q. WOULD YOU TAKE A MOMENT, PLEASE, MR. DELANY, AND READ THE 9 ENTIRE PARAGRAPH. 10 A. COULD YOU MAKE IT A LITTLE BIT LARGER? 11 MR. HAUSFELD: I CAN'T, BUT I HOPE THEY CAN IN THE 12 BACK. 13 MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY APPROACH AND JUST GIVE 14 HIM A COPY SO HE'LL HAVE THE ACTUAL DOCUMENT. 15 THE WITNESS: YEAH, BE EASIER TO READ. 16 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 17 I THINK, HONESTLY, IT'S EASIER TO READ ON THE MACHINE THAN 18 THE ACTUAL PRINT. A. YEAH, THIS IS SMALL, VERY SMALL. I'LL READ IT. 19 20 (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.) 21 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 22 THE WITNESS: OKAY. 23 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 24 Q. AND IN IT, THE PRESIDENT OF THE ASSOCIATION IS ADDRESSING

THE ASSOCIATION MEMBERS IN 2010 AND REFERRING TO THE KNIGHT

COMMISSION REPORT THAT WE JUST SAW. 1 2 AND HE CONCLUDES BY SAYING, "WHAT WAS PREVALENT THROUGHOUT 3 WAS A SENSE AMONG PRESIDENTS THAT INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS IS SPENDING MORE THAN IT SHOULD GENERATING MORE REVENUE THAN IS 4 5 GOOD FOR IT AND THAT THE VALUES OF HIGHER EDUCATION ARE BEING 6 DAMAGED." 7 WERE YOU AWARE OF THAT DISCUSSION AT THE STATE OF THE 8 ASSOCIATION MEMBERSHIP IN 2010? 9 Α. NO. 10 NOW, THE BIG TEN REQUIRES RELEASES OR CLEARANCES TO BE 11 OBTAINED FROM ALL ATHLETES --12 A. YES. 13 Q. -- FOR PURPOSES OF BROADCAST? 14 Α. JUST -- NO, THAT'S NOT -- THAT'S NOT ACCURATE. WE REQUIRE 15 A RELEASE IN ORDER TO ALLOW US TO PROMOTE THE TELEVISION 16 PROGRAMS THAT THE ATHLETE MAY BE INVOLVED WITH. 17 MR. HAUSFELD: IF WE COULD SEE, PLEASE, DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT 2, PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3078-1. 18 19 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) MR. HAUSFELD: AND IF WE COULD HIGHLIGHT THE TOP, 20 21 PLEASE. 22 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 23 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 24 AND THE TOP STATES THAT IT'S A TELECAST RIGHTS AGREEMENT 25 DATED FEBRUARY 13TH, 2007, BETWEEN THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE AND

1 THE BIG TEN NETWORK. CORRECT?

A. UM-HMM.

MR. HAUSFELD: AND IF WE COULD TAKE A LOOK, THEN,
PLEASE, AT PAGE 30 -- PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 3078-4 UNDER
"CLEARANCES."

(EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)

BY MR. HAUSFELD:

- Q. IS IT READS THAT "THE CONFERENCE SHALL BE SOLELY
 RESPONSIBLE FOR SECURING ALL CLEARANCES WITH RESPECT TO ALL
 OFFICIALS AND OTHER PERSONS PARTICIPATING IN OR OTHERWISE
 CONNECTED WITH EACH SELECTED EVENT, INCLUDING WITHOUT
 LIMITATION ALL NAME AND LIKENESS RIGHTS OF ALL PARTICIPANTS."
 DO YOU SEE THAT?
- DO 100 SEE THAT
- 14 A. I DO SEE THAT.
- 15 Q. AND IS THAT ACCURATE?
 - A. I WOULD JUST SAY THIS: THAT THE -- APPEARS TO BE

 ACCURATE. IT'S APPARENTLY BOILERPLATE. I BEEN INVOLVED IN

 LOTS OF NEGOTIATIONS. NEVER HAD A DISCUSSION, NEVER BEEN

 NEGOTIATED. BUT I'M ASSUMING THAT THIS, ALONG WITH OTHER

 KINDS OF CLAUSES, ARE LAWYERS ANTICIPATING LAWYERS. AND THE

 FACT THAT IT'S HERE, I CAN READ IT, BUT REALLY DON'T HAVE MUCH

 COMMENT ON IT OTHER THAN THING SPEAKS FOR ITSELF.
 - Q. IT'S BOILERPLATE; IT'S STANDARD.
 - A. YEAH, STANDARD.
- MR. HAUSFELD: WE MOVE EXHIBIT 3078, YOUR HONOR, INTO

1 EVIDENCE. 2 MR. LI: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. 3 THE COURT: RECEIVED. (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 3078 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 4 5 MR. HAUSFELD: AND COULD WE PLEASE SEE DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT 4, WHICH IS PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1005-1. 6 7 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 8 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 9 AND THIS READS, "STUDENT ATHLETE NAME AND LIKENESS 10 RELEASE." 11 Α. UH-HUH. 12 Q. DO YOU SEE THAT? 13 Α. I DO. 14 AND IT SAYS, "I HEREBY GRANT," AND THE "I" WOULD BE THE Q. 15 ATHLETE? 16 Α. YES. 17 IN WHAT PACKAGE IS THIS RELEASE PROVIDED TO THE ATHLETE? Q. 18 Α. I DON'T KNOW. 19 AND DO YOU KNOW UNDER WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES? Q. 20 I DON'T KNOW. Α. 21 "I HEREBY GRANT TO THE UNIVERSITY IN THE BIG TEN 22 CONFERENCE AND THEIR ASSIGNS THE RIGHT TO PUBLISH, DUPLICATE, 23 PRINT, BROADCAST, OR OTHERWISE USE IN ANY MANNER OR MEDIA MY 24 NAME, PHOTOGRAPH, LIKENESS, OR OTHER IMAGE OF MYSELF FOR ANY 25

PURPOSE."

```
1
          DO YOU SEE THAT?
          I DO.
 2
      Α.
 3
       Q. AND IT SAYS, "INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION" --
               MR. LI: YOUR HONOR --
 4
 5
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
          "-- USES IN PROMOTIONAL AND MARKETING MATERIALS AND USES
 6
 7
      BY THE BIG TEN NETWORK, CBS, ABC, AND ESPN."
 8
               MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, I'M REMINDED BY MY COLLEAGUES
 9
       THERE'S A MOTION IN LIMINE ABOUT USING THESE PARTICULAR
10
       DOCUMENTS WHERE THERE'S NO CONNECTION TO THE NCAA.
11
                THE COURT: OH, WELL --
               MR. HAUSFELD: I'LL GET TO THAT IN A MOMENT, YOUR
12
13
      HONOR.
14
               MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, IT'S NOT AN NCAA -- THAT'S ALL.
15
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
       Q. DO YOU SEE WHERE IT SAYS, "USES BY THE BIG TEN NETWORK,
16
17
       CBS, ABC, AND ESPN"?
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, I'M OBJECTING. THERE'S A
18
19
      MOTION -- THERE WAS A MOTION IN LIMINE THAT WAS GRANTED.
20
                THE COURT: SEEMS TO BE QUITE RELEVANT. WHAT IS THE
21
      MOTION?
22
                MR. LI: IT WAS -- YOU HAVE TO GIVE ME THE DOCUMENT.
23
                        (PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)
24
                MR. LI: I'LL GIVE YOU THE NUMBER, YOUR HONOR.
25
                MR. HAUSFELD: I WAS JUST ADVISED, YOUR HONOR, THAT
```

- THE MOTION IN LIMINE WAS DENIED, AND I THINK WE COULD POSSIBLY

 END THE OBJECTION IF WE COULD LOOK AT THE NEXT SENTENCE.
- 3 MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, IT'S MOTION NO. 9, AND IT SAYS,
- 5 BY NCAA DIVISION I SCHOOLS OR CONFERENCES. HOWEVER,
- 6 PLAINTIFFS MUST PRESENT SOME EVIDENCE OF A NEXUS BETWEEN THESE
- 7 FORMS AND THE NCAA, " SO --
- 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO YOU MAY TRY TO DO THAT.
- 9 BY MR. HAUSFELD:
- 10 Q. COULD WE READ THE NEXT SENTENCE, "ALL SUCH USES SHALL BE
- 11 CONSISTENT WITH ALL APPLICABLE NCAA AND BIG TEN CONFERENCE
- 12 RULES AND REGULATIONS"; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 13 **A.** I SEE THAT, YEAH.
- 14 Q. THIS FORM WAS TO BE CONSISTENT WITH ALL NCAA RULES,
- 15 CORRECT?
- 16 **A.** THAT'S RIGHT.
- 17 Q. AND IT WAS DRAWN UP TO BE CONSISTENT WITH ALL NCAA RULES,
- 18 CORRECT?
- 19 A. THAT'S RIGHT.
- 20 **Q.** AND IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL NCAA
- 21 RULES, CORRECT?
- 22 **A.** IT WAS -- A PART OF THIS WAS INTENDED TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 23 WHATEVER THE BIG TEN NETWORK DID WAS IN COMPLIANCE WITH NCAA
- 24 RULES.
- MR. HAUSFELD: I MOVE EXHIBIT 1005-1 INTO EVIDENCE,

1 YOUR HONOR.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

2 **THE COURT:** IT WILL BE RECEIVED.

MR. LI: NO OBJECTION.

(PLAINTIFFS' EXHIBIT 1005-1 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE)

BY MR. HAUSFELD:

Q. AND THE RELEASE GOES FURTHER IN THE LAST LINE, AND IT SAYS, "I AGREE THAT NEITHER I NOR MY HEIRS SHALL BE ENTITLED TO ANY COMPENSATION FOR THE USE OF MY NAMES, PHOTOGRAPH, LIKENESS OR OTHER IMAGE OF MYSELF."

IS THAT BOILERPLATE?

- A. DOES IT -- IT IS BOILERPLATE, YES.
- Q. YOU DO THAT IN ALL YOUR CONTRACTS? YOU DO THAT IN ALL
- 13 YOUR RELEASES?
- 14 A. THIS IS -- YEAH, IN THIS RELEASE SINCE 2007.
- 15 Q. AND THERE WERE SIMILAR FORMS BEFORE THIS USED BY THE BIG
- 16 TEN?
- 17 **A.** INSTITUTIONAL.
- 18 Q. "INSTITUTIONAL" MEANING VERY -- INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITIES?
- 19 **A.** YES.
- 20 Q. AND FOR HOW LONG BEFORE THIS, DID THE UNIVERSITIES HAVE
- 21 SIMILAR RELEASES?
- **A.** DO NOT KNOW.
- 23 Q. AND WAS A THOUGHT -- WAS A COMPANY CALLED THOUGHT EQUITY
- 24 ADDED TODAY THESE RELEASES AT A PARTICULAR TIME?
- **A.** THEY MAY HAVE BEEN.

- 1 **Q.** AND WHAT IS -- WHAT IS THE BUSINESS OF THOUGHT EQUITY?
- 2 A. I THINK THOUGHT EQUITY IS IN THE BUSINESS OF LICENSING
- 3 CLIPS FOR COPYRIGHT OWNERS.
- Q. AND SO YOU PROVIDE A RELEASE OR -- YOU ACQUIRE A RELEASE

 FROM THE ATHLETE WITH REGARD TO NOT ONLY BROADCAST BUT FOR ALL
- 6 MEDIA AND INCLUDING CLIPS? CORRECT? YES?
- 7 **A.** YEAH.

- Q. THANK YOU.
- 9 NOW, COUNSEL ASKED YOU A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ELICITING
 10 YOUR VIEW OF THE EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR ATHLETES.
- 11 **A.** RIGHT.
- Q. AND YOU LISTED A NUMBER OF ITEMS THAT YOU BELIEVED SHOULD

 BE UNDERTAKEN IN ORDER TO REFORM THE PRESENT PRACTICES.
- 14 **A.** YES.
- Q. AND DR. EMMERT JUST TESTIFIED AS TO A NUMBER OF REFORMS

 THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED AND/OR IMPLEMENTED IN ORDER TO ENHANCE

 THOSE OPPORTUNITIES, DID HE NOT?
- 18 A. I DIDN'T HEAR HIS TESTIMONY.
- Q. HAVE THERE BEEN A NUMBER OF REFORMS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE,
 THAT HAVE BEEN ENACTED SINCE 2010 THAT HAVE IN YOUR JUDGMENT
 ENHANCED THE OPPORTUNITIES OF FBS FOOTBALL AND DIVISION I
 MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETES IN PARTICULAR TO AVAIL THEMSELVES OF
- 23 AN EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE?
- 24 A. I -- I THINK THERE HAVE BEEN A NUMBER OF EFFORTS IN THE
 25 RECENT YEARS. I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S 2010. BUT ACCESS TO

- POST-SEASON PLAY, FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL, IS DEPENDENT ON 1 2 TEAMS MAKING PROGRESS TOWARDS THEIR DEGREE, MEASUREMENT OF THE 3 INDIVIDUALS ON THE TEAMS, SO THAT'S AN INCENTIVE OR A DISINCENTIVE, DEPENDING UPON HOW YOU LOOK AT IT.
 - I THINK THERE'S STANDARD REVIEW UNDER WAY TO INCREASE QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE REQUIREMENTS FOR INCOMING STUDENTS, SO I THINK IT'S A CONSTANT DISCUSSION ABOUT THE ISSUE OF STANDARDS OCCURRING INSIDE THE NCAA.
 - SO, YEAH, I WOULD -- I WOULD AGREE THAT THERE'S A CONSTANT EFFORT IN THIS AREA.
- I WASN'T JUST LOOKING, MR. DELANY, AT STANDARDS. 11 12 FOR EXAMPLE, YOU MENTIONED THE 20-HOUR RULE.
- 13 Α. OKAY. LET ME KNOW WHAT -- GIVE ME A SPECIFIC -- I DON'T 14 KNOW WHAT EMMERT SAID.
 - WELL, LET'S TAKE THE 20-HOUR RULE. Q.
 - Α. OKAY.

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- THE 20-HOUR RULE IS SOMETHING IN YOUR JUDGMENT YOU FELT IMPEDES OR INTERFERES WITH THE ABILITY OF AN FBS FOOTBALL ATHLETE AND A DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETE TO FULLY ACCESS THE EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN THE -- IN THE INSTITUTION, CORRECT?
- WHAT I SAID WAS THE 20-HOUR RULE, WHICH WAS ADOPTED ABOUT 20 YEARS AGO, IN MY VIEW, NEEDS TO BE REVIEWED IN ORDER THAT TIME SPENT ON ATHLETICS, ACADEMICS, AND PERSONAL WOULD BE BETTER BALANCED. I THINK THAT IT'S A BELL CURVE, BOTH FOR

1 INSTITUTIONS AND FOR ATHLETES.

IN SOME CASES, IT'S ADEQUATE. IN SOME -- IN SOME CASES, IT WORKS. AND SOME CASES, IT DOESN'T.

SO WHAT I WAS ASKING FOR -- WHAT I WAS RAISING WAS THE ISSUE THAT WE REVIEW IT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE'S BALANCE HERE.

MOST OF THESE YOUNG PEOPLE COME INTO COLLEGIATE ATHLETICS
WITH SIX, EIGHT, NINE YEARS OF PRETTY INTENSIVE TRAINING. IT
CONTINUES. BUT MY POINT IS IF -- AS WE GO FORWARD, WE HAVE TO
INSPECT WHAT WE'VE DONE AND MAKE SURE THAT IT WORKS WELL.

I THINK IN SOME CASES IT'S FULLY ADEQUATE AND SOME CASES,

NOT. AND SO WHAT WE NEED TO DO IS FOCUS ON THE AT-RISK -
AT-RISK STUDENTS. WE HAVE TO FOCUS ON THE ACADEMIC LOAD

THEY'RE TAKING. WE HAVE TO FOCUS ON WHETHER OR NOT ANY OF OUR

RULES OR ANY OF OUR PRACTICES MAKES IT LESS LIKELY THE AT-RISK

STUDENT IS GOING TO STRUGGLE IN PURSUING THEIR DEGREE.

SO THAT -- THAT'S MY POINT ON IT. I DON'T HAVE THE

ANSWER. BUT I THINK IT'S AN AREA THAT WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK

AT ON A REFORM AGENDA.

- Q. AND DO YOU KNOW THAT OTHER EDUCATORS, PARTICULARLY THOSE BELONGING TO THE KNIGHT COMMISSION, HAVE FOCUSED ON JUST THAT ISSUE?
- A. I THINK IT'S -- I THINK PEOPLE HAVE DISCUSSED IT BEFORE,
 YES.
 - Q. AND DO YOU KNOW WHAT THEY HAVE CONCLUDED?

- 1 **A.** I DON'T.
- 2 **Q.** AND YOU MENTIONED SUMMER -- ATHLETES' COMMITMENTS IN THE
- 3 SUMMER, AND I'D LIKE YOU TO PARTICULARLY FOCUS ON FBS FOOTBALL
- 4 AND DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETES.
- 5 A. I'M TALKING ABOUT TRAINING OF ALL ATHLETES, NOT JUST
- 6 FOOTBALL PLAYERS AND BASKETBALL PLAYERS.
- 7 Q. BUT I'M ASKING YOU TO PLEASE FOCUS ON THE FBS FOOTBALL AND
- 8 THE DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETES.
- 9 **A.** RIGHT.
- 10 **Q.** OKAY.
- 11 **A.** OKAY.
- 12 Q. WHAT DID YOU MEAN BY "THEIR SUMMER OBLIGATIONS"?
- 13 **A.** WHAT I'M -- WHAT I'M SUGGESTING IS THAT I WAS ASKED A
- 14 QUESTION ABOUT WHAT ABOUT PERIODS THAT THEY MIGHT NOT BE
- 15 INVOLVED.
- AND MY PERSONAL VIEW, ACCESS TO INTERNSHIPS, ACCESS TO
- 17 SUMMER YEARS ABROAD ARE INTEGRAL TO GETTING THE EDUCATION THAT
- 18 OUR UNIVERSITIES CAN PROVIDE.
- 19 AND TO THE EXTENT THERE'S INTERFERENCE WITH THAT, I THINK
- 20 THAT OUGHT TO BE ALLOWED AT AS A POSSIBLE REFORM.
- 21 **I** O. HAVE YOU LOOKED AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS ACTUAL
- 22 INTERFERENCE TO THOSE FBS FOOTBALL ATHLETES AND DIVISION I
- 23 MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETES IN ORDER TO AVAIL THEMSELVES OF
- 24 THOSE OPPORTUNITIES IN THE SUMMER?
- 25 A. I -- I WILL TELL YOU THAT I HAVE LOOKED AT IT. AND I HAVE

HAD LOOKED AT IT OVER TIME, NOT ONLY FOR ATHLETES IN COLLEGE
BUT FOR ATHLETES IN HIGH SCHOOL AND GRADE SCHOOL.

AND IF YOU REALLY LOOK AT WHAT'S GOING ON IN THIS COUNTRY
AROUND TRAINING OF ATHLETES, YOU WOULD FIND THAT MOST OF THEM
ARE NOT WORKING, THEY'RE NOT TAKING INTERNSHIPS, THEY ARE
PLAYING SPORTS, AND THEY'RE DOING IT ON OUR CAMPUSES AND
BEFORE THEY GET THERE, AND I THINK WE OUGHT TO BACK OFF FROM
IT.

- Q. BECAUSE IT'S TOO MUCH?
- A. TOO MUCH, YEAH.

- Q. TOO MUCH SPORTS?
- A. OVER-USE INJURIES, TOO MUCH ATHLETICS FOR TOO MANY YOUNG
 PEOPLE PRODUCES A LOT OF STRAIN ON YOUNG BODIES. AND AS THEY
 COME INTO THE COLLEGE SPACE, I THINK WE NEED TO BACK OFF ON
 THE AMOUNT OF TIME THAT THE ATHLETE IS -- IS PUTTING IN.

THERE'S NOTHING WRONG -- I READ OFTENTIMES WHERE YOUNG

PEOPLE SAY, "I'M AN ATHLETE RATHER THAN A STUDENT." I DON'T

THINK THERE'S ANYTHING WRONG WITH A PERSON DESCRIBING

THEMSELVES AS A ATHLETE AS LONG AS THEY CAN DO THE WORK AND DO

DO THE WORK.

Q. AND I JUST WANTED TO SEE IF I UNDERSTOOD YOUR LISTING OF REFORMS THAT YOU THOUGHT OF CORRECTLY. YOU'RE THINKING OF A LIFETIME TRUST, ALLOWING STUDENTS TO COME BACK TO GET THEIR DEGREE IF THEY DON'T COMPLETE IT DURING THEIR PERIOD OF ELIGIBILITY?

- **A.** YES.
- **Q.** IS THAT CORRECT?

AND YOU'RE LOOKING TO CHANGE THE TIME DEMANDS THAT WE'VE

JUST SPOKEN ABOUT.

A. YES.

- Q. AND YOU'RE ALSO LOOKING FOR THE -- THE INTERNSHIP, YOU KNOW, IN THE SUMMER FOR SOME?
 - A. THE OPPORTUNITY FOR THE INTERNSHIP.
- Q. AND YOU MENTIONED EXPLORATION OF MULTI-YEAR GRANTS CORRECT?
 - A. WELL, I -- I THINK WE'VE REINTRODUCED THE MULTI-YEAR

 GRANT. I WAS A PRODUCT OF A FOUR-YEAR GRANT, AS MY TEAMMATES

 WERE. I WAS A PRODUCT OF FRESHMAN INELIGIBILITY, AS MY

 TEAMMATES WERE. I THINK THOSE ARE GOOD THINGS.

I DON'T THINK THAT FRESHMAN ELIGIBILITY IN ALL CASES IS A GOOD THING. I THINK WORKING AS AN INTERN IS A GOOD THING. SO WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS RESTRUCTURE, TAKE A LOOK AT THESE REFORMS, AND FIND OUT HOW WE CAN MAKE WHAT IS GOOD BETTER.

- Q. SO FRESHMAN INELIGIBILITY IS A GOOD THING IN YOUR MIND
 BECAUSE IT ALLOWS THE ATHLETE TO BETTER MATRICULATE INTO THE
 EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES, CORRECT?
- A. I BELIEVE THAT.
- Q. AND YOU MENTIONED THAT HAVING A MULTI-YEAR GRANT,

 LIKEWISE, IS A GOOD THING BECAUSE I THINK YOU SAID AT ONE

 POINT, THE SECURITY INVOLVES (SIC) IN GETTING A MULTI-YEAR

- 1 GRANT IS IMPORTANT?
- 2 A. I BELIEVE THAT.

Q. AND WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY THE SECURITY -- YOU KNOW, IN A
MULTI-YEAR GRANT?

INSTITUTION TO SUPPORT THAT INDIVIDUAL.

- A. THERE'S A LOT OF MOVEMENT A LOT OF TRANSFERS. SOMETIMES
 PLAYERS DON'T FEEL LIKE THEY PLAY ENOUGH SO THEY LEAVE. WHEN
 YOU HAVE MORE SECURITY IN A GRANT IN AID, IF A PERSON IS
 MAKING ADEQUATE PROGRESS TOWARDS A DEGREE, IS HAPPY AT THAT
 INSTITUTION, I PERSONALLY BELIEVE THAT THE RIGHT OUTCOME IS
 FOR THAT ATHLETE TO BE AT THAT INSTITUTION AND FOR THE
- SO I BELIEVE A FOUR-YEAR SCHOLARSHIP IS BETTER THAN THREE; THREE'S BETTER THAN TWO; AND TWO'S BETTER THAN ONE.
- Q. AND CAN WE PLEASE SEE DEMONSTRATIVE NO. 19, WHICH IS THE NCAA ELIGIBILITY CENTER 2011-12 GUIDE FOR THE COLLEGE-BOUND STUDENT ATHLETE.

(EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)

(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)

MR. HAUSFELD: CAN WE -- YOUR HONOR, MAY WE TAKE A BREAK NOW WHILE WE FIND THAT? AND THEN WE CAN RETURN. I DON'T HAVE MUCH LEFT.

THE COURT: OKAY. I WAS SORT OF THINKING YOU COULD FINISH BEFORE THE BREAK, BUT YOU CAN'T.

MR. HAUSFELD: I WOULD LIKE TO FIND THIS PARTICULAR DOCUMENT. I DON'T HAVE THE PX NUMBER ON IT, THOUGH.

```
1
                THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
 2
                MR. HAUSFELD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 3
                THE COURT: IT'S 12:20; WE'LL BREAK UNTIL 12:30.
           (RECESS TAKEN AT 12:19 P.M.; PROCEEDINGS RESUMED AT 12:32
 4
 5
      P.M.)
                THE COURT: GO AHEAD.
 6
 7
                MR. HAUSFELD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
 8
           COULD WE PLEASE SEE EXHIBIT 2592-1?
 9
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
10
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
11
         AND, MR. DELANY, THIS IS THE 2011-12 GUIDE FOR THE
12
       COLLEGE-BOUND STUDENT ATHLETE FOR THE NCAA ON THE ELIGIBILITY
13
      CENTER.
14
           HAD YOU SEEN THIS BEFORE?
15
       A. NO.
16
                MR. HAUSFELD: COULD WE PLEASE TURN TO PAGE 2592-19?
17
       AND COULD WE HIGHLIGHT THE FIRST BULLET?
18
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
19
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
       Q. WERE YOU AWARE, MR. DELANY, THAT ATHLETIC SCHOLARSHIPS IN
20
21
       DIVISIONS I AND II ARE INITIALLY AWARDED FOR UP TO ONE
22
       ACADEMIC YEAR IN THE TIME PERIOD COVERED BY THIS GUIDE?
23
      A. I AM AWARE OF THAT, YES.
24
                MR. HAUSFELD: AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THE SECOND
25
       BULLET, PLEASE.
```

(EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 1 2 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 3 IT SAYS, "ATHLETICS SCHOLARSHIPS CAN BE RENEWED, REDUCED, INCREASED, OR CANCELED FROM YEAR TO YEAR FOR ALMOST ANY 4 5 REASON." DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 7 Α. YES. 8 Q. AND IT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT WAS THE RULE IN EFFECT UP TO 2011-2013? 9 10 Α. (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.) 11 ARE YOU READING JUST THE FIRST SENTENCE OR BOTH SENTENCES? 12 Q. FIRST SENTENCE. 13 Α. YEAH. FOR ALMOST ANY REASON. 14 THAT WAS THE RULE? Q. 15 I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S -- "FOR ALMOST ANY REASON" IS THE Α. 16 RULE. IT MAY BE. I'D LIKE TO SEE WHAT THE RULE IS. I DON'T 17 KNOW THAT THAT'S THE RULE, "FOR ALMOST ANY REASON." THAT'S NOT A RULE. THAT'S A -- MUST BE SOME -- A 18 19 PARAPHRASE OR A NOTICE, BUT IT -- MY UNDERSTANDING IS IF YOU 20 ARE IN GOOD ACADEMIC STANDING, IF YOU ARE CONDUCTING 21 YOURSELF -- IT'S NORMAL FOR THESE AWARDS TO BE RENEWED. AND 22 THE NOTION THAT THEY'RE CANCELED FOR ALMOST ANY REASON IS NOT 23 MY EXPERIENCE. AND I'M NOT SURE THAT'S THE RULE. 24 DO YOU KNOW OF ANY OTHER NCAA ELIGIBILITY CENTER GUIDE FOR 25 COLLEGE-BOUND STUDENT ATHLETES?

```
1
      Α.
          I DON'T.
 2
       Q.
          AT THE BOTTOM --
 3
      Α.
          YEAH.
         -- AT THE LAST LINE, IT SAYS, "YOU SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER
 4
 5
      HOW YOU WILL FINANCE YOUR EDUCATION IF YOUR ATHLETIC
       SCHOLARSHIP IS REDUCED OR CANCELED."
 6
 7
           DO YOU SEE THAT?
 8
      Α.
          (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.)
 9
           YEP.
10
          ACCEPTING THAT AS THE POSITION OF THE NCAA WITH REGARD TO
11
       ATHLETIC SCHOLARSHIPS, DO YOU THINK THAT PROVIDED A BCS
12
       FOOTBALL OR DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETE A DEGREE OF
13
       CERTAINTY IN THEIR EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES?
14
                MR. LI: OBJECTION, CALLS FOR SPECULATION.
15
                THE WITNESS: I SUPPORT --
16
                THE COURT: SUSTAINED.
17
                THE WITNESS: -- MULTI-YEAR GRANTS, FOUR-YEAR GRANTS.
                MR. LI: SIR.
18
           DID THE COURT SUSTAIN IT?
19
20
                THE COURT: YES.
21
                MR. LI: THANK YOU.
22
                THE WITNESS: OH, I'M SORRY.
23
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
24
          YOU'RE ON RECORD, ARE YOU NOT, MR. DELANY, OF SAYING THAT
25
       "IN THE 21ST CENTURY, IT'S PAINFULLY OBVIOUS WE NEED TO
```

```
CHANGE"? CORRECT?
 1
 2
      Α.
         CORRECT.
 3
       Q.
          AND IT'S -- YOU ALSO ON RECORD AS SAYING, "IT'S PAINFULLY
 4
       OBVIOUS IT'S NOT ALL A LEVEL PLAYING FIELD, " CORRECT?
 5
           I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU'RE READING FROM.
       Α.
         DO YOU RECALL SAYING THAT?
 6
 7
          I -- I REALLY THE FIRST STATEMENT. I DON'T RECALL THE
 8
       "LEVEL PLAYING FIELD" STATEMENT.
 9
                MR. HAUSFELD: COULD WE SEE DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBIT 15,
10
      PLEASE.
11
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
12
          AND THIS IS AN ARTICLE, "CHANGING TIMES QUESTION AND
13
       ANSWER WITH BIG TEN COMMISSIONER JIM DELANY."
14
15
           CAN WE LOOK AT PAGE 3, PLEASE.
16
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
17
      BY MR. HAUSFELD:
          THAT IS A PICTURE OF YOU, SIR?
18
19
       Α.
          YES.
20
       O. AND RIGHT --
21
                MR. LI: YOUR HONOR, IF I MAY GIVE HIM A COPY OF THE
22
       DOCUMENT.
23
                THE COURT: YES.
24
                MR. LI: THIS HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY THE OTHER SIDE --
25
                THE WITNESS: (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.)
```

1 WHERE ARE YOU? 2 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 3 RIGHT ABOVE THE PHOTOGRAPH. THE COURT: IT'S ON THE SCREEN AS WELL. 4 THE WITNESS: (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.) 5 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 6 7 AGAIN, I THINK IT MAY BE EASIER TO READ ON THE SCREEN THAN -- 'CAUSE WE'VE HIGHLIGHTED IT. 8 9 Α. (REVIEWING DOCUMENT.) 10 "IT'S PAINFULLY OBVIOUS IT'S NOT ALL A LEVEL PLAYING **Q**. 11 FIELD." MR. LI: THE REMAINDER OF THE SENTENCE --12 13 BY MR. HAUSFELD: AND THAT "A LOT OF LEVEL PLAYING FIELD PHILOSOPHY IS UNDER 14 15 ATTACK." 16 A. YES. 17 YOU SAID THAT? Q. 18 Α. I DID. 19 Q. OKAY. NOW, IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY, SIR, THAT IF THE PAC 12 PAID 20 21 ANY MONEY ABOVE THE COST OF COLLEGE ATTENDANCE TO AN ATHLETE, 22 A -- AN FBS FOOTBALL OR DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETE 23 FOR NIL'S, THAT THE ROSE BOWL WOULD END? 24 I THINK THAT'S VERY GOOD -- VERY LIKELY -- VERY LIKELY 25 OUTCOME.

1 AND HAVE YOU PREDICTED OTHER OUTCOMES THAT DID NOT COME Q. 2 ABOUT? 3 Α. I'M SURE I DID. 4 IS THERE A LEVEL OF SHARING OF NIL'S FOR -- TO FBS 5 FOOTBALL ATHLETES AND DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL ATHLETES THAT WOULD NOT AFFECT WHETHER THE ROSE BOWL CONTINUES? 6 7 MR. LI: OBJECTION. I'M NOT SURE IF IT'S A LEGAL 8 QUESTION. 9 THE COURT: IT ISN'T. 10 MR. LI: LEGAL OPINION. 11 THE COURT: NO, I WOULDN'T THINK SO. 12 MR. LI: OKAY. 13 THE WITNESS: COULD YOU REPEAT YOUR QUESTION, PLEASE. 14 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 15 IS THERE A --16 THE COURT: OH, I SEE. YOU'RE SAYING IF THEY DID IT IN VIOLATION OF NCAA RULES AND GOT KICKED OUT AND THE ROSE 17 BOWL WAS CANCELED BY THE NCAA. YEAH, I DON'T THINK THAT'S 18 19 WHAT HE MEANT BY THE QUESTION, THOUGH. 20 MR. HAUSFELD: NO. 21 THE WITNESS: SO --22 THE COURT: THE QUESTION IS --23 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 24 **Q**. IF THEY --25 THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION?

THE WITNESS: I DON'T UNDERSTAND. 1 2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ASK AGAIN. 3 BY MR. HAUSFELD: IF IT WAS DECIDED TO PAY FBS FOOTBALL ATHLETES. 4 5 IF IT WAS DECIDED BY WHOM? Α. A SCHOOL. TO PAY THEIR ATHLETES 10 CENTS ABOVE THE -- THE 6 7 TUITION, ROOM AND BOARD, AND BOOKS FOR NIL'S, ARE YOU SAYING 8 THE ROSE BOWL WOULD BE CANCELED? 9 THE COURT: WELL, YOU NEED TO INCLUDE IN THE 10 HYPOTHETICAL THAT IT IS NOT A VIOLATION OF NCAA RULES 'CAUSE 11 IF IT WERE, THEY COULD BE BOOTED OUT OF THE NCAA, AND THEN, IN FACT, THERE WOULD PERHAPS NOT BE A ROSE BOWL. SO YOU NEED TO 12 INCLUDE THAT IN YOUR HYPOTHETICAL. 13 14 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 15 WOULD THAT BE A VIOLATION IN YOUR JUDGMENT OF NCAA RULES? 16 A. WOULD IT BE A -- WOULD IT A VIOLATION NOW? 17 THE COURT: THAT'S A DIFFERENT --MR. HAUSFELD: YES. 18 19 THE WITNESS: I THINK IT WOULD BE. 20 BY MR. HAUSFELD: 21 SHOULD IT?

A. I THINK IT WOULD BE A VIOLATION NOW. WHETHER IT SHOULD BE OR NOT, I'VE TRIED TO SPEAK CANDIDLY AND CONSISTENTLY ON THAT POINT. BUT I THINK THAT ANY PAYMENT ABOVE THOSE THAT ARE PRESCRIBED -- MAY BE A -- 10 CENTS IS -- IS 10 CENTS. HOW

22

23

24

1 SERIOUS THAT WOULD BE, I DON'T KNOW, BUT I THINK IT WOULD 2 TECHNICALLY BE A VIOLATION. 3 BUT I -- WHAT HAPPENS? I DON'T THINK THE ROSE BOWL WOULD BE CANCELED OVER 10 CENTS. 4 5 MR. HAUSFELD: YOUR HONOR, AT THE NEXT BREAK, I WILL TRY TO WORK OUT WITH THE COUNSEL FOR THE NCAA A NUMBER OF 6 7 GRADUATION RATES THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT IN THE RECORD 8 WITH REGARD TO BIG TEN SCHOOLS, CONSISTENT WITH THE SAME 9 GRADUATION RATES WE'VE SUBMITTED PREVIOUSLY FOR OTHER SCHOOLS. 10 THE COURT: OKAY. 11 MR. HAUSFELD: I HAVE NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, YOUR 12 HONOR. 13 MR. LI: JUST A COUPLE QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR, VERY 14 OUICKLY. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MR. LI: 17 Q. MR. DELANY, YOU WERE ASKED A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THE RULES RELATING TO SCHOLARSHIPS. 18 19 DO YOU RECALL THAT? 20 A. YES. 21 YOU WOULD DEFER TO THE ACTUAL RULES, WOULDN'T YOU? Q. 22 Α. YES. 23 Q. NOW, YOU WERE ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT REVENUE COMING INTO THE BIG TEN. 24

25

A. YES.

- Q. AND THOSE INCLUDED AN ADDITIONAL CATEGORY WHICH WAS

 JERSEYS. YOU RECALL THAT?
- **A.** YES.

REVENUES?

- Q. AND LET'S JUST GO DOWN IT, JERSEYS, NCAA DISTRIBUTIONS,

 BOWL GAMES, AND TELEVISION. THOSE ARE THE VARIOUS AREAS OF
 - A. WELL, THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, RECEIVES

 NOTHING FOR JERSEYS. THE THREE THAT I IDENTIFIED WERE THE

 BOWL GAMES, NCAA MARCH MADNESS, AND OUR TELEVISION

 ARRANGEMENTS.
 - Q. AND THOSE REVENUES GO TO HELP SUPPORT ACADEMIC -- SORRY.

 STRIKE THAT -- HELP TO SUPPORT PROGRAMS AT THE VARIOUS

 SCHOOLS?
 - A. THEY DO.
 - Q. AND THOSE INCLUDE FUNDING SCHOLARSHIPS?
- 16 A. THAT'S CORRECT.

17 MR. LI: THANK YOU. NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: SO THE QUESTION I THOUGHT MR. HAUSFELD
WAS ASKING -- WAS POSITING THAT THE NCAA CHANGED ITS RULES
SUCH THAT A CONFERENCE COULD CHOOSE TO ALLOW ITS MEMBER
SCHOOLS TO PAY SOME SHARE OF NAME, IMAGE, AND LIKENESS
REVENUES TO ITS ATHLETES, IF THAT WERE TO HAPPEN, AND IF IN
RESPONSE TO THAT, PAC 12 WERE TO ALLOW ITS SCHOOLS TO DO THAT,
ARE YOU SAYING THAT THE PAC TEN (SIC) -- AND THE PAC TEN CHOSE
NOT TO DO THAT, ARE YOU SAYING THAT PAC TEN THEN WOULD REFUSE

TO PLAY THE PAC 12 IN THE ROSE BOWL? 1 2 THE WITNESS: YOU MEAN, BIG TEN? 3 MR. LI: BIG TEN. THE COURT: I KNEW I'D GET THOSE WRONG. OKAY. 4 5 THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND WHAT --THE COURT: NCAA SAYS --6 7 THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. 8 THE COURT: NCAA SAYS IT'S OKAY. 9 THE WITNESS: I UNDERSTAND --10 THE COURT: PAC 12 CHOOSES TO DO IT; BIG TEN CHOOSES 11 NOT TO DO IT. ARE YOU SAYING THAT BIG TEN WOULD THEN REFUSE 12 TO PLAY THE ROSE BOWL WITH THE PAC 12. 13 THE WITNESS: YOU KNOW WHAT I THINK HAPPENS IN THAT 14 CIRCUMSTANCE, ASSUMING WE WANT TO BE IN DIVISION I BUT CHOSE 15 NOT TO PAY OUR ATHLETES IN THAT WAY, I THINK THAT THE -- YOU 16 KNOW, WHAT YOU -- WHAT YOU COULD SEE HAPPENING -- AND THIS IS 17 TOTALLY HYPOTHETICAL AND JUST A PROJECTION -- THAT CONFERENCES 18 AND SCHOOLS WHO ARE COMFORTABLE IN ONE AREA WOULD SEEK OUT TO 19 PLAY CONFERENCES AND SCHOOLS WHO ARE COMFORTABLE IN THE OTHER 20 AREA. 21 OVER 10 CENTS? I HAVE A HARD TIME THINKING ANYBODY WOULD 22 CANCEL THE ROSE BOWL OVER A DIME, BUT IF -- IF THERE WAS A 23 VERY SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE IN HOW THOSE STUDENTS WERE 24 RECRUITED, HOW THEY WERE RETAINED, I THINK THAT THERE'S A VERY

GOOD POSSIBILITY THAT YOU'D TO HAVE A CONVERSATION ABOUT WHO

YOU WANT TO PLAY GOING FORWARD. 1 2 ROSE BOWL'S SO IMPORTANT TO THE BIG TEN, I KNOW THAT IT 3 WOULDN'T BE CANCELED OVER A DIME. MR. HAUSFELD: JUST SO WE HAVE A -- ON THE RECORD, 4 5 YOUR HONOR, HOW MUCH DOES THE BIG TEN MAKE IF IT PLAYS IN THE ROSE BOWL? 6 7 THE WITNESS: IF IT PLAYS IN THE ROSE BOWL, PROBABLY 8 ABOUT \$10 MILLION. 9 MR. HAUSFELD: ONE GAME. THE WITNESS: ONE GAME. 10 11 MR. HAUSFELD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 12 MR. LI: NOTHING FURTHER, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. YOU'RE EXCUSED. YOU MAY STEP 14 DOWN. THANK YOU. 15 YOU MAY CALL YOUR NEXT WITNESS. 16 THE CLERK: IF I MAY HAVE THE WITNESS COME TO THE 17 WITNESS STAND, PLEASE. YEAH, AND ONCE THERE, IF I COULD HAVE YOU RAISE YOUR RIGHT 18 HAND FOR ME, PLEASE. 19 20 RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND FOR ME, PLEASE. 21 TODD PETR, 22 CALLED AS A WITNESS FOR THE DEFENDANTS, HAVING BEEN DULY 23 SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 24 THE CLERK: PLEASE BE SEATED, AND ONCE SEATED, I'M 25 GOING TO ASK THAT YOU PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST AND

LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD, PLEASE. 1 2 THE WITNESS: MY NAME IS TODD. FIRST NAME IS 3 T-O-D-D, LAST NAME IS PETR, P-E-T-R. THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 4 5 THE WITNESS: YOU'RE WELCOME. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 8 Q. GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. PETR. 9 Α. HELLO. CAN YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT YOUR POSITION IS AT THE NCAA? 10 0. 11 SURE. I'M THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AT NCAA. Α. 12 0. WHAT DO YOU DO AS THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH? 13 Α. WELL, I OVERSEE OUR RESEARCH SHOP, IF YOU WILL. WE HAVE A 14 RESEARCH DEPARTMENT, HAS 16 EMPLOYEES IN IT. AND WE WORK WITH 15 THE MEMBERSHIP TO MAKE SURE THAT THEIR RESEARCH NEEDS ARE MET 16 ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS, AND THAT'S REALLY OUR -- OUR PRIMARY 17 PURPOSE. ARE THERE PARTICULAR AREAS OF RESEARCH THAT YOU DO FOR THE 18 19 MEMBERSHIP? 20 WE'RE ALL OVER THE MAP, BUT I THINK THERE ARE FOUR MAJOR 21 AREAS THAT WE -- WE LOOK INTO SORT OF MOST REGULARLY. 22 WOULD BE ACADEMICS, ACADEMIC SUCCESS OF STUDENT ATHLETES,

STUDENT ATHLETES AND HOW THEY'RE DOING ACADEMICALLY.

OF DATA THROUGH VARIOUS SOURCES ON ACADEMICS AND -- AND

23

24

25

PREDICTORS OF ACADEMIC SUCCESS OF ATHLETES. WE COLLECT A LOT

ANOTHER ONE WOULD BE FINANCES OF INTERCOLLEGIATE

ATHLETICS. WE COLLECT DATA FROM ALL OUR MEMBERS ON THEIR

REVENUES AND EXPENSES ACROSS ALL THREE DIVISIONS AND DO

ANALYSIS WITH THOSE DATA.

WE ALSO DO A LOT OF WORK IN THE AREA OF STUDENT ATHLETE
WELL-BEING. THOSE TEND TO BE MORE DIRECT SURVEYS OF THE
STUDENT ATHLETES, TRYING TO GET AT IMPORTANT ISSUES IN THEIR
LIVES, THEIR THOUGHTS ON THEIR EXPERIENCES AS STUDENT ATHLETES
SOCIALLY, ACADEMICALLY, AND IN OTHER VARIOUS WAYS.

AND, FINALLY, TO THE FOURTH MAJOR AREAS IS A COLLECTION OF SORT OF DEMOGRAPHIC DATA ON -- ON STUDENT ATHLETES, ON COACHES, ON ADMINISTRATORS, THAT TYPE OF THING.

- Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN THE MANAGING DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH?
- A. I BEEN THE MANAGING DIRECTOR SINCE 2001, I BELIEVE.
- Q. HOW LONG HAVE YOU WORKED IN THE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT AT THE NCAA?
 - A. I HAVE BEEN AT THE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT FOR ABOUT 26 YEARS IN THE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT.
 - Q. WHAT ARE THE OVERALL GOALS OF THE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT THAT YOU MANAGE AT THE NCAA?
 - A. WELL, I WOULD SAY THE NCAA HAS BECOME A VERY DATA-DRIVEN ORGANIZATION, ESPECIALLY IN THE LAST COUPLE OF DECADES.

TO THE EXTENT THAT -- THAT THAT IS PART OF OUR -- ONE OF
THE FIVE PILLARS OF OUR STRATEGIC PLAN, THAT WE'LL BE DATA
DRIVEN. TO THAT END, OUR MEMBERSHIP AND OUR MEMBERSHIP

COMMITTEES ASK US TO PROVIDE THEM WITH THE BEST,

MOST-COMPREHENSIVE DATA WE CAN IN -- IN THEIR POLICY

DELIBERATIONS.

AND THAT STARTS WITH THE PRESIDENTIAL BODIES THAT ARE AT

THE TOP OF EACH OF THE THREE DIVISIONS AND RUNS DOWN THROUGH

THE -- THE COMMITTEES THAT DEAL WITH SPECIFIC ISSUES, WHETHER

THEY BE ACADEMIC OR OTHER SORTS OF POLICY ISSUES.

WE ATTEMPT TO PROVIDE THEM THE -- THE BEST DATA THAT WE CAN. I THINK EARLY ON IN THE LIFE OF THE NCAA, LIKE A LOT OF PLACES, IT WAS SORT OF POLICY BY ANECDOTE. AND I -- I THINK THAT SENDS YOU SORT OF CAREENING FROM ONE EXTREME TO ANOTHER IN POLICY DECISIONS.

AND I THINK DATA ALLOWS YOU TO FOCUS ON THE -- ON THE REAL TARGET AND SET SOME GOALS THAT YOU WANT TO ACHIEVE WITH YOUR POLICY AND ALSO BETTER UNDERSTAND THE -- THE CONSEQUENCES OF POLICIES THAT YOU MAY PUT INTO PLACE.

- Q. FOR HOW LONG WOULD YOU SAY THE NCAA HAS BEEN DATA DRIVEN AS ONE OF ITS PRINCIPLES?
- A. IN MY EXPERIENCE, IT STARTED LATE '80'S, EARLY '90'S,
 WHERE IT REALLY SIGNIFICANTLY RATCHETED UP THE -- ITS EFFORTS
 AND ATTEMPTS TO COLLECT DATA AND ANALYZE DATA IN POLICY
 ISSUES.
- Q. HOW DOES YOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT DECIDE WHAT ISSUES TO RESEARCH?
- A. LIKE EVERYTHING ELSE AT THE ASSOCIATION, IT REALLY STARTS

WITH THE MEMBERSHIP, AND SO WE'RE RESPONDING TO MEMBERSHIP

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION OR TO INFORM A SPECIFIC POLICY DEBATE

THAT'S GOING ON WITHIN THE MEMBERSHIP.

THAT CAN START WITH THE DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS OR

THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE ORGANIZATION, OR WE WORK

DIRECTLY WITH A LOT OF COMMITTEES WHO ARE -- WHO ARE HEAVY

DATA USERS, FOR INSTANCE, ANY ACADEMIC COMMITTEES, THE

DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE, DIVISION I

ACADEMIC CABINET, DIVISION II ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS COMMITTEE.

WE'RE IN THE MEETINGS WITH THEM AND, AGAIN, HAVING
GIVE-AND-TAKE ON THE TYPES OF INFORMATION AS THEY DISCUSS
THEIR POLICY THAT WOULD BE MOST USEFUL TO THEM.

SO WE'RE REALLY -- GENERALLY SPEAKING, WE'RE TRYING TO

TRACK THE MEMBERSHIP NEEDS. WE -- OUR ROLE IS SPECIFICALLY

OVERSEEN BY A RESEARCH COMMITTEE WHICH IS AN ASSOCIATION-WIDE

COMMITTEE OF THE ORGANIZATION. AND SOME OF THE IDEAS

CERTAINLY COME FROM THE RESEARCH COMMITTEE.

BUT IT'S ALL THESE COMMITTEES ARE AT LARGE THAT COME AND ASK US FOR THE INFORMATION.

- Q. SO JUST TO SORT OF PAINT THE LANDSCAPE HERE, YOU WORK FOR WHAT I'LL CALL THE NATIONAL OFFICE; IS THAT RIGHT?
- A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. SO YOU'RE EMPLOYED BY THE NCAA?
- A. YES, I AM.

Q. AND YOU WORK IN THE INDIANAPOLIS NCAA HEADQUARTERS?

A. YES, I DO.

1

- 2 Q. AND THEN WHEN YOU DESCRIBE THE MEMBERSHIP, THAT'S THE
- 3 COLLEGES AND THE UNIVERSITIES, CORRECT?
- 4 A. CORRECT.
- 5 Q. AND THE ACADEMIC CABINET YOU DESCRIBED, THE ACADEMIC
- 6 CABINET IS COMPRISED OF REPRESENTATIVES FROM THOSE COLLEGES
- 7 AND UNIVERSITIES, CORRECT?
 - A. YES, THAT IS CORRECT.
- 9 Q. AND THEY SOMETIMES COME TO YOU WITH QUESTIONS, AND THEN
- 10 YOU IN THE RESEARCH DEPARTMENT PROVIDE ANSWERS TO THEM AS BEST
- 11 YOU CAN?
- 12 **A.** AS BEST WE CAN BASED ON DATA THAT WE HAVE OR BASED ON
- 13 ATTEMPTING TO COLLECT NEW DATA THAT WOULD ANSWER NEW
- 14 QUESTIONS, IF YOU WILL.
- 15 O. AND YOU ENGAGE SOMETIMES I ASSUME IN DISCUSSIONS OR
- 16 DIALOGUES WITH THEM ABOUT WHAT THAT DATA MEANS AND WHAT MORE
- 17 YOU CAN DO TO ANSWER THEIR QUESTIONS?
- 18 A. ABSOLUTELY. WE'LL -- WE'LL ANALYZE THE DATA TO THE BEST
- 19 OF OUR ABILITY AND TALK TO THEM ABOUT WHAT WE SEE IN THE DATA,
- 20 AND THEY CAN TALK TO US ABOUT WHAT OTHER THINGS THEY'D LIKE TO
- 21 SEE OR OTHER ANALYSES THEY'D LIKE US TO RUN.
- 22 **Q.** BUT, ULTIMATELY, DO YOU AS THE DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH GET TO
- 23 VOTE ON THE POLICIES?
- 24 **A.** I DO NOT, NO.
- 25 Q. NOW, ONE OF THE FOUR AREAS YOU DESCRIBED WITHIN YOUR

- 1 RESEARCH DEPARTMENT WAS FINANCES, SO LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT
 2 ABOUT FINANCES.
 - A. SURE.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. THE COURT HAS EXPRESSED SOME INTEREST IN THE FLOW OF

 MONEY, SO I THOUGHT WITH YOU WE COULD FOCUS ON THE NCAA AND

 THE MONEY THAT COMES INTO THE NCAA AND HOW IT GOES OUT.
- SO LET'S FIRST TALK ABOUT THE MONEY THAT'S COMING INTO THE NCAA.
- A. OKAY.
 - Q. ALL RIGHT. SO IF WE LOOK AT THE MONEY THAT'S COMING INTO
 - (DEMONSTRATIVE PUBLISHED.)
- 13 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
 - Q. THIS IS A STIPULATION ABOUT WHERE THE MONEY GOES THAT THE PARTIES FILED WITH THE COURT, AND I THOUGHT YOU COULD PROVIDE A LITTLE MORE DETAIL ON THIS.
 - SO WHAT IS THE PRIMARY CATEGORY OF REVENUE COMING INTO THE NATIONAL OFFICE OF THE NCAA?
 - A. IT WOULD BE THE CBS AND TURNER BROADCASTER AGREEMENT FOR

 THE DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL CHAMPIONSHIP. AND I THINK

 THAT REPRESENTS ABOUT 85 PERCENT OF -- OF REVENUE TO THE NCAA.
- Q. AND SO THAT'S JUST FOR THE MEN'S BASKETBALL CHAMPIONSHIP,
- 23 RIGHT?
- 24 A. DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL.
- 25 Q. AND THEN WHAT ACCOUNTS FOR THE REMAINING 15 PERCENT OR SO

- OF THE NCAA'S REVENUE? WHAT'S, LET'S SAY, THE NEXT BIGGEST
 BUCKET?
 - A. YEAH, IT WOULD BE TICKET SALES TO THE CHAMPIONSHIPS. AND,

 AGAIN, I THINK THE LION'S SHARE OF THAT WOULD BE THE

 DIVISION I'S MEN'S BASKETBALL, BUT OTHER CHAMPIONSHIPS.

6 AND THEN THERE'S --

- Q. OKAY. SO THE NEXT BIGGEST BUCKET WOULD BE MONEY THAT IS

 PAID FOR HOSTING THE 89 DIFFERENT SPORTS CHAMPIONSHIPS OR THE

 89 DIFFERENT CHAMPIONSHIPS -- HOW MANY CHAMPIONSHIPS?
- A. THE TICKETS THAT WOULD BE SOLD TO THOSE CHAMPIONSHIPS.
- 11 Q. MEN'S BASKETBALL, AS WELL AS OTHER SPORTS?
 - A. YES.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

- Q. BUT THE LION'S SHARE OF THAT MONEY COMES FROM TICKETS SOLD

 TO THE MEN'S BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT?
- 15 A. I BELIEVE SO, YES.
- 16 **I** O. AND THAT COMES INTO THE NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE?
- 17 **A.** YES.
- 18 Q. ALL RIGHT. THEN WHAT ARE -- WHAT'S THE NEXT --
- A. THERE ARE OTHER BROADCAST AGREEMENTS TO BROADCAST

 CHAMPIONSHIPS OTHER THAN THE DIVISION I MEN'S BASKETBALL
- 21 CHAMPIONSHIP, LIKE THE COLLEGE WORLD SERIES THAT'S GOING ON

22 NOW.

23

24

25

AND OTHER SOURCES OF REVENUE, I THINK WE GET A -- A SMALL AMOUNT FROM, SAY, LICENSING AGREEMENTS, AND THAT SORT OF THING.

- Q. DOES THE NCAA GET ANY REVENUE FROM REGULAR SEASON FBS
 2 FOOTBALL?
 - A. NO.

7

8

- 4 Q. SO NEITHER FROM BROADCAST OR FROM TICKET SALES; IS THAT
- 5 RIGHT?
- 6 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
 - Q. AND DOES THE NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE GET ANY BROADCAST OR
 TICKET SALES REVENUE FROM POST-SEASON FBS FOOTBALL, FOR
- 9 INSTANCE, BOWL GAMES?
- 10 A. NO. WE DON'T.
- 11 Q. AND DOES THE NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE GET REVENUE FROM ANY
- 12 REGULAR SEASON BASKETBALL GAMES?
- 13 **A.** NO.
- 14 Q. AND DOES THE NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE GET ANY REVENUE FROM,
- 15 LET'S SAY, THE BIG TEN CONFERENCE TOURNAMENT THAT MIGHT HAPPEN
- 16 AT THE END OF THE REGULAR SEASON?
- 17 A. NO, THAT -- NO, THAT WOULD BE FOR THE BIG TEN.
- 18 Q. ALL RIGHT. SO WE CAN TAKE THIS DOWN.
- 19 NOW, LET'S LOOK AT WHAT THE NCAA DOES WITH THAT MONEY. SO
- 20 THE MONEY THAT HAS COME IN PRIMARILY FROM THE BROADCAST RIGHTS
- 21 TO THE MEN'S BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT, 85 PERCENT, AND TO A
- 22 MALLER MEASURE THOSE OTHER CATEGORIES YOU JUST DESCRIBED.
- LET'S BRING UP EXHIBIT 3900.
 - (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)

25

BY MS. LUEDTKE:

1

4

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 2 IT'S SMALL THERE ON THE SCREEN, BUT CAN YOU JUST GENERALLY 3 TELL ME WHAT THIS DOCUMENT IS?
- YEAH. IT'S A DOCUMENT THAT ILLUSTRATES WHERE THE REVENUES 5 THAT WE JUST TALKED ABOUT THAT COME TO THE -- TO THE NCAA, HOW THEY ARE THEN GENERALLY DISBURSED BACK TO THE MEMBERSHIP AND
- 8 Q. IS THIS A DOCUMENT THAT'S ON NCAA'S WEBSITE AND AVAILABLE 9 TO THE PUBLIC?

TO OTHER SOURCES OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR.

- 10 Α. YES, IT IS.
- 11 WHY DOES THE NCAA PUT THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION ABOUT WHERE 0. 12 ITS MONEY GOES ON ITS WEBSITE?
 - Α. WELL, FIRST OF ALL, I THINK THE MEMBERSHIP IS QUITE INTERESTED IN THIS AND, YOU KNOW, AN EXPLANATION OF HOW WE MAKE OUR DISTRIBUTIONS BACK TO THEM. AND I THINK THERE'S ALSO PUBLIC -- GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST IN -- IN THIS TYPE OF INFORMATION.
 - Q. ALL RIGHT. WELL, IF WE GO UP, IF WE BLOW UP THERE ON THE RIGHT, YOU'LL SEE IT SAYS, "BY THE NUMBERS, HERE'S A LOOK AT HOW WE SPENT NCAA REVENUES FOR THE 2012-2013 FISCAL YEAR." DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 22 Α. I DO.
- 23 ALL RIGHT. LET'S WALK THROUGH SOME OF THE BIGGEST 24 CATEGORIES OF HOW THE NCAA IS SPENDING ITS MONEY THAT IT 25 BROUGHT IN FROM THE CATEGORIES YOU JUST DESCRIBED. LET'S PULL

UP --1 2 THE COURT: HOW MUCH DID IT BRING IN? HOW MUCH DID 3 IT BRING IN? YOU GAVE PERCENTAGES, BUT WHAT ARE THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS? 4 5 THE WITNESS: IN THE YEAR WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, I BELIEVE IT WAS AROUND 900 MILLION --6 7 THE COURT: 900 MILLION. 8 THE WITNESS: -- DOLLARS. 9 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 10 SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THE CATEGORIES OF WHERE THAT 11 \$900 MILLION GOES. LET'S BRING UP Z2. 12 13 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 14 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 15 SO WE'VE BLOWN UP THE FIRST CATEGORY THERE UNDER --16 LOOKING AT WHERE THE REVENUE FOR 2012-2013 GOES. AND IT SAYS, 17 "188.3 MILLION SPORTS SPONSORSHIP AND GRANTS IN AID FUNDS." DO YOU SEE THAT? 18 19 YES. Α. O. CAN YOU DESCRIBE FOR THE -- FOR THE COURT GENERALLY HOW --20 WHAT IS THIS CATEGORY, 188 MILLION FOR SPORTS, SPONSORSHIP, 21 22 AND GRANTS IN AID FUNDS? 23 SURE. THIS IS A FUND THAT IS DISTRIBUTED DIRECTLY BACK TO Α. 24 THE MEMBERSHIP GENERALLY THROUGH THE CONFERENCES THAT PROVIDES 25 MONEY BACK TO THE MEMBERSHIP BASED ON, ONE, THE NUMBER OF

- SPORTS THEY SPONSOR, AND TWO, THE NUMBER OF GRANTS IN AID OR 1 2 SCHOLARSHIPS THAT THEY PROVIDE TO THEIR STUDENT ATHLETES. 3 Q. GREAT. LET'S BRING UP 3308. (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 4 5 BY MS. LUEDTKE: WHAT IS EXHIBIT 3308? 6 7 THIS IS A -- A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE 2013-14 REVENUE 8 DISTRIBUTION PLAN, SO THIS DESCRIBES TO THE MEMBERSHIP AND --9 AND THE PUBLIC HOW WE INTEND TO DISTRIBUTE THE -- THE MONEY 10 THAT GOES DIRECTLY BACK TO THE MEMBER INSTITUTIONS. 11 SO THIS IS AVAILABLE ON THE NCAA WEBSITE; IS THAT RIGHT? Q. 12 Α. YES, IT IS. 13 Q. SO THE PUBLIC HAS ACCESS TO THIS INFORMATION? 14 Α. YES. 15 MS. LUEDTKE: LET'S TURN TO 3308-10. 16 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 17 MS. LUEDTKE: AND LET'S --AT THE TOP THERE, IT SAYS "BROAD-BASED DISTRIBUTIONS." 18 Q. 19 DO YOU SEE THAT? 20 Α. I DO. 21 NOW, IF WE GO ABOUT DOWN HALFWAY DOWN THE PAGE, IT SAYS, "SPORTS SPONSORSHIP." LET'S BLOW UP THAT SECTION. 22
 - THIS SECTION ENTITLED, "SPORTS SPONSORSHIP," IS THIS
 SETTING FORTH IN SOME MORE DETAIL WHAT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT
 IN TERMS OF DISTRIBUTING MONEY BASED ON THE NUMBER OF SPORTS

24

1 SPONSORED?

- 2 \blacksquare A. IT IS. AND AS YOU SEE, IT STARTS WITH THE 14TH SPORT.
- 3 EVERY DIVISION I INSTITUTION MUST SPONSOR AT LEAST 14 SPORTS,
- 4 AND SO YOU GET A SHARE OF THE OVERALL BUDGET FOR THE SPORTS
- 5 SPONSORSHIP FUND FOR EVERY SPORT STARTING WITH THE 14TH THAT
- 6 YOU -- THAT YOU SPONSOR.
- 7 Q. SO A SCHOOL THAT SPONSORS MORE SPORTS WILL RECEIVE A
- 8 BIGGER DISTRIBUTION -- WELL, LET ME STRIKE THAT.
- 9 WHERE DOES THIS MONEY GO, THE MONEY FROM THE SPORTS
- 10 SPONSORSHIP? WHERE IS IT DIRECTLY SENT?
- 11 A. IT'S SENT, FOR THE MOST PART, TO THE CONFERENCE OFFICES.
- 12 Q. IF A SCHOOL DOESN'T BELONG TO A CONFERENCE, WHERE IS IT
- 13 SENT?
- 14 A. TO THE SCHOOL DIRECTLY.
- 15 Q. OKAY. SO FOR EACH SCHOOL IN A CONFERENCE, THE NCAA LOOKS
- 16 AT HOW MANY SPORTS THAT SCHOOL SPONSORS?
- 17 **A.** YES.
- 18 Q. AND THEN SENDS THE SPORTS SPONSORSHIP DISTRIBUTION TO
- 19 THOSE CONFERENCES BASED ON THE NUMBER OF SPORTS.
- **A.** EXACTLY.
- 21 **O.** ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN TO 38 -- 3308-10.
- 22 SORRY. BLOW UP THE BOTTOM THERE, "GRANTS IN AID."
- 23 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 24 BY MS. LUEDTKE:

25

Q. AND THIS IS SETTING FORTH IN MORE DETAIL THE DISTRIBUTION

- 1 BASED ON THE NUMBER OF GRANTS IN AID OFFERED, CORRECT?
- 2 A. CORRECT.
- 3 Q. AND HOW DOES THE NCAA THINK ABOUT HOW IT'S GOING TO
- 4 DISTRIBUTE THE GRANTS IN AID REVENUE?
- 5 **A.** AGAIN, IT'S DEPENDENT ON HOW MANY GRANTS IN AID AN
- 6 INSTITUTION GIVES. I THINK THE IDEA IS TO, AGAIN, ENCOURAGE A
- 7 BROAD-BASED PROGRAM. AND FOR PROGRAMS THAT HAVE MORE SPORTS
- 8 AND HAVE MORE SCHOLARSHIPS, THEY WILL GET A BIGGER SHARE OF
- 9 THIS PARTICULAR PIECE OF THE MONEY.
- 10 O. AND IS THE GRANTS IN AID DISTRIBUTION GIVEN TO THE
- 11 CONFERENCE?
- 12 **A.** IT WILL -- YES.
- 13 **Q.** AND THEN IT'S DISTRIBUTED FROM THE CONFERENCE TO THE
- 14 SCHOOL BASED ON WHATEVER THAT CONFERENCES SPECIFIC
- 15 DISTRIBUTION FORMULA IS?
- 16 A. YEAH, THE CONFERENCE DETERMINES HOW THEY'RE GOING TO SPLIT
- 17 THIS MONEY UP AMONG THEIR INSTITUTIONS.
- MS. LUEDTKE: LET'S BRING UP 3308-12.
- 19 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 20 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- 21 \blacksquare 0. 3308-12, DOES THIS INDICATE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY OVER THE
- 22 LAST SEVERAL YEARS THAT'S BEEN DISTRIBUTED TO EACH CONFERENCE
- BASED ON THAT SPORTS SPONSORSHIP FORMULA?
- 24 A. YES, IT DOES. OVER THE THAT LAST FIVE YEARS, I BELIEVE.
- 25 **THE COURT:** SO YOU GIVE IT TO THE CONFERENCES BASED

- ON HOW MANY SCHOLARSHIPS AND TEAMS THE SCHOOLS HAVE, BUT THE
 CONFERENCES, IN TURN, COULD DISTRIBUTE IT BASED ON SOMETHING
- THE WITNESS: YES, THE CONFERENCE AMONG THEMSELVES

 CAN AGREE ON HOW THEY WANT TO DISTRIBUTE THESE FUNDS.

6 BY MS. LUEDTKE:

TOTALLY DIFFERENT.

- Q. DOES THE NCAA CONTROL HOW THE CONFERENCES DISTRIBUTE THE MONEY?
- A. NO.

3

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 10 Q. DO YOU ENCOURAGE THE CONFERENCES TO DISTRIBUTE THE MONEY

 11 IN ANY PARTICULAR WAY?
- 12 **A.** GENERALLY SPEAKING, WITH SOME OF THESE FUNDS, WE ENCOURAGE
 13 THEM TO DO IT EQUALLY. MAKE THAT ENCOURAGEMENT.
 - Q. SO IF WE LOOK AT THESE NUMBERS, YOU'LL SEE THAT FOR THE NUMBERS OF SPORTS SPONSORED, SOME CONFERENCES RECEIVE MORE MONEY THAN OTHERS. WHY WOULD THAT BE?
 - A. IN THIS CASE, BECAUSE THE -- THE INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS WITHIN THOSE CONFERENCES IN AGGREGATE ARE SPONSORING MORE SPORTS THAN SCHOOLS IN OTHER CONFERENCES.
 - MS. LUEDTKE: LET'S TURN TO 3308-13.
- 21 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 22 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
 - Q. WHAT DOES THIS CHART INDICATE?
- A. THIS IS A SIMILAR CHART THAT PROVIDES MONEY THAT WENT
- 25 DIRECTLY BACK TO THE CONFERENCES AT THE CONFERENCE LEVEL,

- 1 AGAIN, ACROSS THE LAST FIVE YEARS FOR THE GRANT IN AID SIDE OF THIS DISTRIBUTION.
- Q. SO AS WE SAW WITH THE SPORTS SPONSORSHIP, THESE NUMBERS

 DIFFER BY CONFERENCE, CORRECT?
- 5 A. YES, THEY DO.
- Q. AND THAT'S BECAUSE DIFFERENT SCHOOLS WITHIN DIFFERENT
 CONFERENCES AWARD DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF GRANTS IN AID, CORRECT?
- 8 A. CORRECT. AND TWO THINGS WOULD DRIVE THAT ONE, THE NUMBER
- 9 OF SCHOOLS IN THE CONFERENCE, AND THEN THE NUMBER OF -- OF
- 10 GRANTS IN AID GIVEN WITH -- WITHIN THOSE SCHOOLS.
- 11 Q. SO SOME OF THESE CONFERENCES HAVE MORE MEMBERS THAN
- 12 OTHERS?
- 13 **A.** YES.
- Q. AND THAT WOULD LEAD TO A HIGHER NUMBER FOR BOTH

 SPONSORSHIP AND GRANTS IN AID?
- 16 A. I WOULD THINK SO.
- Q. ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO BACK TO OUR CATEGORIES THAT WERE IN 3900, AND LET'S BRING UP Z3.
- 19 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 20 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- Q. ALL RIGHT. THE NEXT CATEGORY DOWN LISTS 188 MILLION FOR
 THE BASKETBALL FUND. COULD YOU DESCRIBE TO THE COURT WHAT THE
- 23 BASKETBALL FUND IS?
- A. THE BASKETBALL FUND IS A FUND THAT'S BASED ON THE SUCCESS
- OF CONFERENCE TEAMS IN THE BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT OVER THE LAST

- 1 SIX YEARS. AND IT'S ESSENTIALLY THE MORE -- THE MORE GAMES
 2 CONFERENCE TEAMS WIN, THE MORE THAT THAT CONFERENCE WILL
- 3 RECEIVE FROM THE BASKETBALL FUND, SO IT'S RELATED TO
- 4 PERFORMANCE IN THE TOURNAMENT.
- 5 Q. SO THE BASKETBALL FUND IS REVENUE THAT'S COME INTO THE
- 6 NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE AND IS DISTRIBUTED TO THE CONFERENCES,
- 7 CORRECT?

- A. CORRECT.
- 9 **Q.** AND THEN THE CONFERENCES DISTRIBUTE IT BASED ON THEIR OWN 10 DISTRIBUTION FORMULA, CORRECT?
- 11 A. THAT IS TRUE. ON -- IN THE BASKETBALL FUND, THEY ARE
 12 ENCOURAGED TO DISTRIBUTED IT EQUALLY, BUT THAT'S NOT A
- 13 REQUIREMENT.
- MS. LUEDTKE: LET'S PULL UP 3308-7.
- 15 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 16 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- Q. ALL RIGHT. WITHIN YOUR REVENUE DISTRIBUTION PLAN, IS THIS
- 18 IS A MORE COMPLETE AND DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF HOW THE
- 19 BASKETBALL FUND IS DISTRIBUTED?
- 20 **A.** YES.
- 21 **Q.** AND THIS SETS FORTH HOW A UNIT IS CALCULATED, RIGHT?
- 22 A. I BELIEVE IT DOES. YES.
- 23 Q. SO FOR EACH TEAM THAT GETS TO PLAY ONE ROUND, COMES -- AND
- 24 PLAYS IN THE FIRST ROUND OF THE TOURNAMENT BUT LOSES, THEY GET
- 25 ONE UNIT, RIGHT?

- 1 A. THEY GET ONE UNIT, YES.
- 2 \blacksquare Q. AND IF THEY WIN IN THE FIRST ROUND AND GO TO THE SECOND
- 3 ROUND, THEY GET TWO UNITS, RIGHT?
 - **A.** UNLESS THEY WIN THERE.
 - Q. AND THEY GET THREE AND THEN --
- **A.** WOULD GOT MORE.
- 7 Q. SO IF ONE TEAM GOES ALL THE WAY TO THE FINAL FOUR, THEY
- 8 GET MORE UNITS THAN A TEAM THAT LOSES IN THE SECOND ROUND?
- 9 **A.** CORRECT.
- 10 Q. AND SO WHAT THE BASKETBALL FUND DOES IS IT ADDS UP THAT UP
- 11 WITHIN A CONFERENCE, CORRECT?
- 12 **A.** YES.

- 13 **Q.** AND THEN THE CONFERENCE GETS A DISTRIBUTION BASED ON THE
- 14 SUCCESS OF THEIR TEAMS IN THE BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT.
- 15 A. THAT'S -- THAT'S TRUE.
- 16 **O.** LET'S TURN TO 3308-9.
- 17 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 18 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- 19 Q. WHAT DOES THIS SHOW?
- 20 A. AGAIN, SIMILAR TO SOME OF THE PREVIOUS SPREAD SHEETS THAT
- 21 WE'VE SEEN, THIS IS THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE BASKETBALL FUND
- 22 MONEY ACROSS THE LAST FIVE YEARS ON A CONFERENCE-BY-CONFERENCE
- BASIS.
- 24 **Q.** AND, AGAIN, THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THE AMOUNTS BY
- 25 CONFERENCE, CORRECT?

- 1 A. THERE IS -- YES, DIFFERENCES IN -- CERTAIN -- CERTAIN
 2 DIFFERENCES BY CONFERENCE, YES.
- Q. SO IF WE LOOKED UP, FOR EXAMPLE, THE BIG TEN WHERE

 COMMISSIONER DELANY, WHO WE JUST HEARD FROM IS FROM, THEY LAST
- 5 YEAR GOT ABOUT \$20 MILLION FROM THE BASKETBALL FUND, RIGHT?
 - A. CORRECT.
- Q. BUT IF WE LOOKED AT, SAY, THE ATLANTIC TEN CONFERENCE,
- 8 THEY GOT \$8 MILLION; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 9 **A.** YES.

- 10 Q. SO WOULD YOU CONCLUDE FROM THAT THAT THE TEAMS FROM THE
- BIG TEN WERE PLAYING IN MORE GAMES IN THE CONFERENCE IN TOTAL
- 12 THAN TEAMS FROM THE ATLANTIC TEN?
- 13 **A.** YES. YES.
- MS. LUEDTKE: LET'S BRING UP Z4. LET'S TURN TO THE

 NEXT CATEGORY.
- 16 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 17 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- Q. THE NEXT CATEGORY DOWN IS THE NCAA SAYS OF THAT REVENUE

 THAT CAME IN FROM THE BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT, THEY SPEND

 \$97 MILLION ON DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS.
- DO YOU SEE THAT?
- 22 **A.** YES, I DO.
- Q. CAN YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT -- WHAT IS THAT \$97 MILLION
- 24 SPENT ON?
- 25 **A.** THAT'S THE 97 -- THAT 97 MILLION IS WHAT IT TAKES TO PUT

- ON THE 39 DIVISION I CHAMPIONSHIPS IN 23 DIFFERENT SPORTS

 ACROSS THE ENTIRE ACADEMIC YEAR.
- IT'S -- IT'S -- IT SUPPORTS TRAVEL LODGING FOR THE TEAMS,

 WHATEVER SITE COSTS ARE -- ARE REQUIRED, BUT JUST THE COSTS OF

 PUTTING ON THOSE 39 CHAMPIONSHIPS.
 - Q. IS MR. LEWIS, WHO'S SITTING OVER AT OUR COUNSEL TABLE THE PERSON IN CHARGE OF RUNNING ALL THOSE CHAMPIONSHIPS?
 - A. HE'S THE KING OF THE CHAMPIONSHIPS, YEAH.
- 9 Q. ALL RIGHT. JUST TO BE CLEAR, WITHIN FOOTBALL, THERE'S FBS

 10 AND FCS, RIGHT?
- 11 A. THAT IS CORRECT.

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 12 Q. NOW, THE NCAA DOESN'T RUN A CHAMPIONSHIP FOR FBS FOOTBALL,
 13 RIGHT?
 - A. THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S THE FOOTBALL BOWL SUBDIVISION AND
 THEIR POST-SEASON OPPORTUNITY COMES THROUGH BOWL GAMES.
 - Q. BUT FOR FCS, WHICH IS A DIFFERENT SET OF FOOTBALL TEAMS
 WHO DON'T PLAY IN THOSE BOWLS BUT ARE STILL WITHIN DIVISION I,
 DOES THE NCAA SPONSOR A CHAMPIONSHIP FOR FCS FOOTBALL?
 - A. YES, THEY DO. IT'S CALLED THE FOOTBALL CHAMPIONSHIP

 SUBDIVISION, AND THERE IS A CHAMPIONSHIP RUN BY THE -- BY THE

 NCAA FOR THAT GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS.
- 22 MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. LET'S BRING UP Z5.

 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- BY MS. LUEDTKE:
 - Q. ALL RIGHT. WE'RE GOING DOWN THE LIST OF CATEGORIES OF HOW

```
THE REVENUE IS SPENT. AND THIS SAYS, "STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND
 1
 2
       73.5 MILLION."
 3
           CAN YOU TELL THE COURT GENERALLY WHAT THE "STUDENT
      ASSISTANCE FUND" IS?
 4
 5
          YEAH, THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND COMBINES A COUPLE OF
      Α.
       OTHER FUNDS THAT EXISTED PREVIOUSLY. BUT GENERALLY SPEAKING,
 6
 7
       THE STUDENT ASSISTANT FUND ALLOWS FOR STUDENT -- STUDENT
 8
       ATHLETES WHO ARE IN NEED TO RECEIVE DIRECT BENEFITS FROM THIS
 9
      FUND THAT MIGHT HELP THEM ACADEMICALLY, MIGHT HELP THEM WITH
10
      PERSONAL ISSUES THAT ARISE, MIGHT HELP THEM WITH THINGS LIKE
11
      NEEDED CLOTHING, NEEDED SUPPLIES, A COMPUTER, WHATEVER IT MAY
12
       BE, OTHER -- OTHER SPECIALIZED SUPPLIES FOR THEIR ACADEMIC.
13
           SO IT'S THERE TO ASSIST STUDENT ATHLETES WHO HAVE MAYBE
14
       SOME SPECIAL FINANCIAL NEED.
15
          AND THIS MONEY, LIKE THE OTHERS, GOES TO THE CONFERENCES?
      Q.
16
       Α.
          IT IS DISTRIBUTED THROUGH THE CONFERENCES, YES.
17
          SO THE CONFERENCES ARE THE ONES WHO GIVE IT TO THE
18
       SCHOOLS?
19
      Α.
          YES.
         BUT THE NCAA GIVES IT TO THE CONFERENCES?
20
       0.
21
       Α.
          YES.
                MS. LUEDTKE: OKAY. LET'S BRING UP 3308-22.
22
23
                THE COURT: I'M SORRY. IS IT LIMITED TO THE COST OF
24
       ATTENDANCE FOR EACH STUDENT?
```

THE WITNESS: I DON'T BELIEVE THAT IT IS. I --

- I'M -- ACTUALLY, I DON'T KNOW THAT. I DON'T KNOW. 1 2 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 3 DO YOU HAVE RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADMINISTERING OR INTERPRETING THE RULES AT THE NCAA? 4 5
 - NO. Α.

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ALL RIGHT. LET'S LOOK AT 3308-22.

(EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)

BY MS. LUEDTKE:

- IS THIS A GRAPH THAT DEPICTS THE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF ALLOWABLE EXPENSES UNDER THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND?
- 11 Α. YES.
 - Q. CAN YOU WALK THROUGH -- LET'S TURN TO THE NEXT PAGE, 3308-23, AND LET'S LOOK AT THE TOP, THE FIRST CATEGORY, "EDUCATIONAL EXPENSES."
 - ARE THESE EXAMPLES OF EDUCATION EXPENSES AND FEES THAT COULD BE GIVEN TO A STUDENT ATHLETE THROUGH THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND?
 - YES, THEY -- THEY ARE. Α.
 - WHAT ARE SOME OF THOSE EXAMPLES? Q.
 - IF A STUDENT ATHLETE NEEDS TO GO TO SUMMER SCHOOL, THE Α. TUITION FOR SUMMER SCHOOL COULD BE PAID THROUGH THIS. IF THEY EXHAUSTED THEIR ELIGIBILITY BUT AREN'T QUITE FINISHED WITH A THE DEGREE, THEY COULD GET THE -- THAT WOULD BE THE FIFTH OR SIXTH YEAR AID. AGAIN, THINGS LIKE TUTORING, EDUCATIONAL SUPPLIES, IF THEY NEED SPECIAL TESTING, THAT -- THAT COULD

COME OUT OF THIS PROGRAM. 1 2 I WOULD GUESS THE MAJORITY IS IN THE TUITION AND/OR 3 TUTORING AREA, BUT -- BUT ALL OF THOSE ARE AVAILABLE. MS. LUEDTKE: IF WE WENT BACK TO THAT GRAPH ON 4 5 3308-22 --6 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 7 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 8 Q. -- WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND GOES TO 9 EDUCATIONAL EXPENSES? 10 IT LOOKS LIKE ALMOST HALF. I'M HAVING A HARD TIME READING 11 THE EXACT PERCENT, BUT MAYBE 48 OR SO. O. ALL RIGHT. LET'S LOOK AT THE CATEGORY OF HEALTH AND 12 13 SAFETY EXPENSES. 14 WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF HEALTH AND SAFETY EXPENSES THAT 15 CAN GO TO STUDENT ATHLETES FROM THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND? 16 A. IF A STUDENT ATHLETE NEEDS A SPECIAL INSURANCE POLICY, 17 THEY COULD PAY FOR THE PREMIUM THROUGH THAT. OBVIOUSLY, 18 MEDICAL, DENTAL, VISION EXPENSES THAT AREN'T COVERED BY OTHER 19 INSURANCE FOR A STUDENT ATHLETE CAN BE USED TO TAKE CARE OF 20 THOSE ISSUES FOR THAT -- FOR THAT PERSON. 21 IN GENERAL, JUST HEALTH AND SAFETY KINDS OF -- KINDS OF 22 EXPENSES TO TRY TO KEEP THE HEALTH IN THE BEST ORDER THAT WE 23 CAN. Q. IF WE GO BACK TO 3308-22, THAT GRAPH, ABOUT WHAT 24

PERCENTAGE OF THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND COMES -- GOES TO

- 1 HEALTH AND SAFETY EXPENSES?
- 2 A. ABOUT A QUARTER OF -- OF THE STUDENT ASSISTANT FUNDS IS IN
- 3 THAT CATEGORY.
- 4 Q. AND THEN IF YOU LOOK AT THAT SAME GRAPH, 21 PERCENT OF THE
- 5 STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND GOES TO HELP WITH PERSONAL OR FAMILY
- 6 EXPENSES; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 7 **A.** YEAH. YES.
 - Q. CLOSE TO \$13 MILLION?
- 9 **A.** YES.

- 10 MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN BACK TO 3308-23.
- 11 LET'S LOOK AT THOSE PERSONAL OR FAMILY EXPENSES.
- 12 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 13 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- 14 Q. WHAT ARE SOME EXAMPLES OF PERSONAL OR FAMILY EXPENSES FOR
- 15 A STUDENT ATHLETE THAT CAN BE REIMBURSED THROUGH THE STUDENT
- 16 ASSISTANCE FUND?
- 17 A. WELL, ON TOP, YOU HAVE CLOTHING, AND I THINK THAT THAT
- 18 COULD BE, SAY, NEEDING A FORMAL ATTIRE FOR A BANQUET OR
- 19 SOMETHING THAT YOU'VE BEEN INVITED TO. OR IF YOU COME FROM A
- 20 SOUTHERN CLIMATE AND YOU NEED A WINNER COAT BECAUSE YOU'VE
- 21 | ENDED UP IN THE NORTHEAST, THAT KIND OF THING WOULD BE
- 22 APPROPRIATE UNDER THIS FUND.
- 23 TRAVEL TO -- IF A FAMILY EMERGENCY OR A FAMILY FUNERAL OR
- 24 SOMETHING LIKE THAT WHERE YOU NEED TO BE HOME AND YOU CAN'T
- 25 AFFORD TO OTHERWISE GET THERE, THIS FUND WOULD COVER THOSE

- EXPENSES. ANY OTHER, YOU KNOW, PERSONAL OR FAMILY EXPENSES

 THAT CAUSE THAT INDIVIDUAL DIFFICULTY FOR, YOU KNOW, STAYING

 ON CAMPUS OR STAYING ON TRACK ACADEMICALLY, I THINK WOULD
 - Q. SO THE STUDENT WOULD GO TO THEIR SCHOOL AND REQUEST HELP
 FROM THE STUDENT ASSISTANCE FUND?
 - A. YES.

5

6

7

8

9

13

17

24

25

- Q. AND THEN THAT MONEY WOULD FLOW FROM THE NCAA THROUGH THE CONFERENCE TO THE SCHOOL; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 10 A. I BELIEVE THAT'S RIGHT. YES.

BE -- WOULD BE COVERED.

- Q. NOW, THIS MONEY IS ALL FUNDED BY THE BROADCAST RIGHTS TO

 THE NCAA MEN'S BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT, RIGHT?
 - A. YES.
- Q. AND DO THESE PROGRAMS YOU'VE DESCRIBED WITHIN THE STUDENT

 ASSISTANCE FUND, DO THEY BENEFIT DIVISION I FOOTBALL AND MEN'S

 BASKETBALL PLAYERS?
 - A. YES, THEY DO.
- MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO BACK TO OUR LIST

 OF CATEGORIES --
- THE WITNESS: OKAY.
- 21 **MS. LUEDTKE:** -- Z6.
- 22 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 23 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
 - Q. ALL RIGHT. NEXT DOWN THE LIST, WE HAVE 25 MILLION FOR THE ACADEMIC ENHANCEMENT FUND. SO THIS IS \$25 MILLION GOING AGAIN

- 1 FROM THE NCAA TO THE CONFERENCES; IS THAT RIGHT?
- 2 A. THIS ONE, I THINK, GOES DIRECTLY TO THE INSTITUTIONS.
- 3 **Q.** OKAY.

5

7

- A. JUST -- EVERY INSTITUTION GETS THE SAME AMOUNT OF MONEY.
- Q. ALL RIGHT. SO THIS \$25 MILLION IS DIVIDED EQUALLY AMONGST
- 6 ALL DIVISION I INSTITUTIONS?
 - A. CORRECT.
 - Q. AND FOR WHAT PURPOSE IS THE ACADEMIC ENHANCEMENT FUND?
- 9 A. IT'S MEANT FOR THOSE INSTITUTIONS TO ENHANCE THE ACADEMIC
- 10 SUPPORT OF STUDENT ATHLETES ON THEIR CAMPUS. THEY COULD USE
- 11 IT FOR BRINGING IN A LEARNING SPECIALIST OR BUYING MORE
- 12 COMPUTERS OR OTHER NEEDED INFRASTRUCTURE FOR THEIR ACADEMIC
- 13 SUPPORT CENTER, TUTORING FOR STUDENT ATHLETE, SO ANYTHING THAT
- 14 HELPS TO SUPPORT THE ACADEMIC ENDEAVORS OF THE STUDENT
- 15 ATHLETE, I THINK ARE -- ARE FAIR GAME UNDER THIS PROGRAM.
- MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. NOW, LET'S GO BACK TO OUR
- 17 LIST HERE, Z7.
- 18 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
- 19 BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- 20 Q. ALL RIGHT. 63.2 MILLION GOES TO DIVISION II AND DIVISION
- 21 III ALLOCATIONS. COULD YOU DESCRIBE WHAT THAT IS?
- 22 **A.** YEAH. THE NCAA CONSTITUTION HAS PROVISIONS IN IT THAT SAY
- 23 THAT A PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL REVENUE WILL BE AUTOMATICALLY
- 24 | DISTRIBUTED TO DIVISION II AND DIVISION III.
- 25 AND THEN DIVISION II AND III ARE GRANTED THAT MONEY, WHICH

```
IN THIS YEAR YOU WERE LOOKING AT WAS -- WAS $63.2 MILLION.
 1
 2
      AND THEY ESSENTIALLY THEN AUTONOMOUSLY USE THAT MONEY TO BEST
 3
       SERVICE THEIR STUDENT ATHLETE'S NEEDS.
           A LOT OF THIS WILL END UP RUNNING THEIR CHAMPIONSHIPS. WE
 4
 5
       HAVE 50 MORE CHAMPIONSHIPS AT THE DIVISIONS II AND III LEVEL.
 6
           IT ALSO FUNDS THEIR GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE, THEIR -- YOU
 7
       KNOW, THEIR COMMITTEES SPECIFIC TO DIVISION II AND
 8
       DIVISION III, AND IT FUNDS THAT STRUCTURE.
 9
           AND OTHER SERVICES. THEY HAVE THEIR OWN SPECIAL GRANTS
10
      FOR STUDENT ATHLETES, THEIR OWN SPECIAL LEADERSHIP ACADEMIES,
11
       AWARDS, WHATEVER THAT MAY BE. WHATEVER PROGRAM THEY WANT TO
12
       FUND, AGAIN, THAT'S SORT OF DETERMINED BY THE DIVISION II AND
13
       III MEMBERSHIP.
14
       Q. SO THIS IN PAST YEAR, THE 900 SOME MILLION COMES INTO
15
       THE --
16
                        (OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.)
17
      BY MS. LUEDTKE:
          IN THIS PAST YEAR, THE 900 OR SO MILLION DOLLARS CAME INTO
18
19
       THE NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE. AND OF THAT, 63.2 MILLION WAS USED
20
       TO FUND DIVISION II AND DIVISION III'S CHAMPIONSHIPS AND OTHER
21
       SUPPORT; IS THAT RIGHT?
22
       A. CORRECT.
23
               MS. LUEDTKE: LET'S PULL UP Z8.
24
                            (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.)
```

BY MS. LUEDTKE:

1

4

6

- NOW, THIS IS THE NEXT CATEGORY DOWN. THIS SAYS THAT OF 2 3 THE REVENUE THAT CAME INTO THE NCAA, MOSTLY FROM THE MEN'S BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT BROADCAST RIGHTS, 57.8 MILLION WENT TO
- 5 STUDENT ATHLETE SERVICES; IS THAT CORRECT?
 - THAT'S CORRECT. Α.
- 7 CAN YOU TELL THE COURT WHAT ARE THESE STUDENT ATHLETE 8 SERVICES THAT FALL UNDER THIS 57.8 MILLION?
- 9 SURE. THERE'S AN ELEMENT OF OUR HEALTH AND STATE PROGRAMS Α.
- 10 WHICH -- WHICH ARE RUN BY OUR CHIEF -- OUT OF OUR CHIEF
- 11 MEDICALS -- OFFICER'S OFFICE. IT INCLUDES ISSUES AROUND
- 12 CATASTROPHIC INJURY INSURANCE FOR STUDENT ATHLETES, DRUG
- 13 TESTING. WE HAVE A BUNCH OF LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS
- 14 THAT WE RUN THROUGH THIS. ALSO SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS,
- 15 POSTGRADUATE SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS, AS WELL AS DEGREE
- 16 COMPLETION SCHOLARSHIP PROGRAMS COME FROM -- FROM THIS
- 17 \$57.8 MILLION.
- AND, FINALLY, THE KINDS OF HONOR CEREMONIES THAT WE DO AT 18
- 19 THE CONVENTION OR THE WOMAN OF THE YEAR WHERE WE HONOR STUDENT
- 20 ATHLETES -- EXCEPTIONAL STUDENT ATHLETES IN THESE CEREMONIES
- 21 ARE INCLUDED IN THAT -- IN THAT BUDGET ITEM.
- 22 AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WOULD BENEFIT DIVISION I MEN'S
- 23 BASKETBALL AND FOOTBALL PLAYERS?
- 24 Α. SURE.

25

AS WELL AS STUDENT ATHLETES IN OTHER SPORTS, CORRECT?

- **A.** UM-HMM.
- 2 Q. YOU HAVE TO --
 - A. SORRY, YES. YES.
 - Q. HAVE YOU HEARD OF THE ACCELERATING ACADEMIC SUCCESS
- 5 PROGRAM?

- A. I HAVE.
- **Q.** WHAT IS THAT?
 - A. THAT'S A PROGRAM THAT WAS RECENTLY IMPLEMENTED WHERE WE'VE TAKEN ABOUT 5- OR \$6 MILLION TO TRY TO HELP THOSE INSTITUTIONS WHO STRUGGLE FINANCIALLY, WHO -- THAT'S WHY WE CALL IT THE -- WE CALL THEM THE LIMITED RESOURCE INSTITUTIONS. AND IT'S NOT JUST WITHIN THE ATHLETIC DEPARTMENT, BUT THE -- YOU KNOW, WE TAKE INTO ACCOUNT INSTITUTIONAL FINANCES AND INSTITUTIONAL RESOURCES AS WELL.

THE GOAL THERE IS -- IS TO SET A -- A SET OF GRANTS TO A

SET OF INSTITUTIONS THAT -- THAT CAN GO UP TO \$900,000 OVER

THREE YEARS TO DEVELOP PROGRAMMING FOR THE STUDENT ATHLETE

POPULATION SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON ACADEMICS AND ACADEMIC

SUCCESS OF THOSE STUDENT ATHLETES TO TRY TO INCREASE THE -
THE ACADEMIC OUTPUT AT THOSE INSTITUTIONS BECAUSE THOSE

INSTITUTIONS GENERALLY HAVE STRUGGLED TO -- TO GET THE STUDENT

ATHLETES THROUGH AND -- AND FIND THEMSELVES GENERALLY ON THE

LOWER END OF GRAD RATES AND OTHER ACADEMIC METRICS.

AND SO THE NCAA IS TRYING TO FUND PROGRAMS AT SOME OF
THESE INSTITUTIONS THAT, THEN, HOPEFULLY WILL SERVE AS PILOT

- PROGRAMS FOR OTHER INSTITUTIONS AS WE ASSESS WHAT WORKS OUT OF
 THESE FIRST SIX OR SEVEN PROGRAMS AND WHAT -- WHAT MAY NOT
 HAVE WORKED.
 - BUT -- BUT TO REALLY TRY TO DETERMINE THE TYPES OF INTERVENTIONS WE CAN MAKE AT THIS TYPE OF INSTITUTION TO INCREASE ACADEMIC SUCCESS AT THOSE SCHOOLS.
 - Q. ARE THESE LIMITED RESOURCE INSTITUTIONS THAT YOU DESCRIBED

 THAT ARE ELIGIBLE FOR MONEY FROM THE ACCELERATING ACADEMIC

 SUCCESS PROGRAM DIVISION I SCHOOLS?
 - A. YES, THEY'RE ALL DIVISION I SCHOOLS.

- 11 Q. AND WAS IT THE MEMBERS OF THE NCAA DIVISION I WHO DECIDED

 12 TO CREATE THIS PROGRAM?
 - A. YES, IT WAS -- IT WAS FROM THE -- THE DIVISION I BOARD.
 - Q. CAN YOU GIVE AN EXAMPLE OF ONE OF THE TYPES OF PROGRAMS

 THAT HAVE BEEN FUNDED BY THIS DISTRIBUTION OF MONEY THROUGH

 THE ACCELERATING ACADEMIC SUCCESS PROGRAMS?
 - A. YEAH, WE HAVE SEVERAL -- AND, AGAIN, AS I SAID, I THINK WE HAVE SIX DIFFERENT SITES GOING RIGHT NOW, AND THEY'RE DOING DIFFERENT TYPES OF THINGS. SOME OF THEM ARE ENHANCING THE ACADEMIC CENTERS ON CAMPUS BOTH IN TERMS OF FACILITIES THAT THEY PROVIDE, MAYBE IN TERMS OF INFRASTRUCTURE AND COMPUTER, BUT ALSO IN TERMS OF PERSONNEL.

THEY'RE ADDING LEARNING SPECIALISTS. THEY'RE ADDING MORE ACADEMIC ADVISORS. SO -- WE HEARD STORIES WHERE A COUPLE OF THESE SCHOOLS, THERE WAS ONE ACADEMIC ADVISOR FOR 500 STUDENT

ATHLETES. THEY'VE ADDED A COUPLE MORE, AND THE RATIO NOW IS MUCH MORE REASONABLE.

OTHERS OF THEM HAVE BEEN CREATIVE IN THE WAY THEY WANT TO DEAL WITH -- SOME OF THESE SCHOOLS HAVE TO SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THE ROAD, ESPECIALLY EARLY IN THE SEASON FOR VARIOUS REASONS. AND SO THEY'VE CREATED BETTER INFRASTRUCTURE, LIKE WI-FI AVAILABLE ON THE BUS AND WI-FI AVAILABLE IN THE HOTEL, SORT OF PORTABLE WI-FI SYSTEMS WITH TABLETS AND LAPTOPS THAT THE -- THAT THE STUDENT ATHLETES CAN USE.

AND, IN FACT, WE WERE TOLD AT ONE RECENT CONFERENCE

CHAMPIONSHIP THAT ONE OF -- ONE OF THE INSTITUTIONS THAT HAD

REALLY A -- A HIGHLY DEVELOPED WI-FI SYSTEM AND WAS ABLE TO

SET SORT OF A TENT UP ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF THE TRACK AND FIELD

CHAMPIONSHIPS HAD STUDENT ATHLETES FROM ALL THE SCHOOLS COMING

IN, USING THE WI-FI TRYING TO -- TRYING TO -- YOU KNOW, YOU

COULD SEE THAT -- THAT IT WAS A NEED THAT WAS BEING MET RIGHT

THERE IN THAT -- IN THAT FACILITY AND SO -- SO WE HAVE HIGH

HOPES THAT THIS PROGRAM WILL LEAD US TO -- TO REALLY BE ABLE

TO DEVELOP BEST PRACTICES FOR A LOT OF THESE INSTITUTIONS AND

HELP THEIR STUDENT ATHLETES GET -- GET THROUGH SOME OF THESE

ISSUES THAT THEY FACE THAT MAY BE UNIQUE AND WE DON'T SEE IN

SOME OF THE OTHER TYPES OF INSTITUTIONS.

- Q. HOW WOULD THE NCAA FACILITATE THE COMMUNICATION OF THOSE BEST PRACTICES THAT ARE DEVELOPED?
- A. WELL, FIRST, THERE'LL BE AN ASSESSMENT AT THE END

OF THE -- IN FACT, THERE'S AN ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FOR THESE 1 2 PROGRAMS. AND THEN -- AND WE'LL LOOK AT THE ACTUAL OUTCOMES 3 ON THOSE -- ON THOSE CAMPUSES, HAVE WE MOVED THE NEEDLE IN TERMS OF GRADUATION RATES, ELIGIBILITY RETENTION OF THE 4 5 STUDENT ATHLETES, HAVE THINGS NOTICEABLY CHANGED. AND FOR THOSE THAT DON'T, IT WILL BE OUR INTENTION, I'M SURE, TO -- TO 6 7 PREPARE EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS AND PUT IT ON OUR WEBSITE AND 8 THESE LIMIT RESOURCE INSTITUTIONS WE BRING TOGETHER ONCE A 9 YEAR -- BOTH THOSE THAT HAVE THESE GRANTS AND THOSE THAT 10 DON'T, WE BRING THEM TOGETHER FOR A THREE-DAY CONFERENCE. I'M 11 SURE THAT -- THAT DISCUSSIONS OF WHAT'S WORKED AND WHAT HASN'T 12 WILL BE A CENTERPIECE OF THAT CONFERENCE AS WE GO FORWARD.

SO THERE'S AN ONGOING EFFORT AMONG THE NCAA TO WORK WITH THESE LIMITED RESOURCE INSTITUTIONS TO HELP THEM HELP THEIR STUDENT ATHLETES.

- Q. DOES MONEY THAT COMES INTO THE NCAA FROM THE MEN'S

 BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT HELP FUND CONFERENCES SUCH AS THE ONE
 YOU JUST DESCRIBED?
- A. YES.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. AND THESE PROGRAMS YOU DESCRIBED FOR THE ACCELERATING

 ACADEMIC SUCCESS PROGRAMS, DO THOSE BENEFIT MEN'S DIVISION I

 FOOTBALL AND BASKETBALL PLAYERS?
- 23 **A.** YES.
- 24 **Q.** AS WELL AS THOSE IN OTHER SPORTS?
- 25 **A.** YES.

THE COURT: ARE WE DONE WITH ALL THE MONEY? 1 MS. LUEDTKE: WE ARE, YES. 2 3 THE COURT: SO WHAT HAPPENS TO THE REST OF IT? MS. LUEDTKE: WE CAN GO THROUGH ALL THE CATEGORIES IF 4 5 YOU WANT. THERE'S A FEW CATEGORIES LEFT THAT --6 THE COURT: WELL, WE'RE NOT CLOSE TO 900 MILLION YET, 7 SO --8 MS. LUEDTKE: SURE. WE CAN --9 THE COURT: I DON'T WANT TO GO THROUGH EVERY LITTLE 1 10 MILLION-DOLLAR ITEM, BUT LOOKS LIKE WE'VE GOT ABOUT -- I DON'T 11 KNOW -- 400 MILLION SO FAR, SO THERE MUST BE SOME BIG 12 CATEGORIES LEFT. 13 MS. LUEDTKE: SURE. WE CAN BRING UP 3900, AND WE'LL 14 DO THE HIGH LEVEL -- THOSE LINE ITEMS. 15 LET'S BRING UP 3900. ON THE FIRST PAGE, WE WENT THROUGH 16 THOSE THREE. LET'S TURN TO THE SECOND PAGE. 17 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) BY MS. LUEDTKE: 18 19 AT THE 47-POINT -- 43.7 MILLION AT THE BOTTOM, "OTHER DIVISION I DISTRIBUTIONS." DO YOU SEE THAT? 20 21 Α. YES. WHAT IS THAT? 22 Q. 23 THIS WAS AT THE END OF THE YEAR, THERE -- A LOT OF TIMES, Α. 24 OUR MEMBERSHIP WILL SEE THAT THERE'S A SURPLUS, IF YOU WILL. 25 AND THIS WAS AN ADDITIONAL 43.7 MILLION THAT WENT BACK

1 DIRECTLY -- EXCUSE ME -- DIRECTLY TO THE INSTITUTIONS FROM THE 2 ASSOCIATION, AGAIN, I THINK PROBABLY THROUGH THE CONFERENCE --3 THAT SYSTEM THAT -- THAT IS DEVELOPED THROUGH THE CONFERENCE BACK TO THE INSTITUTION. 4 5 MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. LET'S TURN TO THE THIRD PAGE OF 3900. AT THE TOP THERE, 8.5 MILLION, "CONFERENCE 6 7 GRANTS." 8 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 9 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 10 DO YOU SEE THAT? Q. 11 Α. UH-HUH. 12 Q. IT SAYS, THESE GRANTS ARE USED --13 THE COURT: I DON'T NEED A LOT OF DETAIL. 14 MS. LUEDTKE: SURE. 15 THE COURT: WE'RE UP TO ABOUT 500 MILLION NOW. I'M 16 LOOKING FOR THE BIG PICTURE. 17 MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. LET'S PULL BACK UP TO THE 18 FULL PAGE. 19 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 20 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 21 GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM, 27.7 MILLION MEMBERSHIP SUPPORT 22 SERVICES. DO YOU SEE THAT? 23 YEP. THIS IS ESSENTIALLY THE -- THE RULES SIDE OF THINGS, SO INTERPRETING THE RULES, ENFORCING THE RULES. THAT'S WHAT 24

IT COSTS TO -- TO KIND OF RUN THE MANUAL AND -- AND ENSURE

- 1 THAT OUR MEMBERSHIP FIRST UNDERSTANDS THE RULES AND THEN IS 2 ABIDING BY THE RULES. 3 Q. SO IF A MEMBER HAS A QUESTION ABOUT --THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. 4 5 MS. LUEDTKE: OKAY. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE 3900-4. 6 7 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) MS. LUEDTKE: THE TOP THERE, 4.7 MILLION GOING DOWN. 8 9 (EXHIBIT PUBLISHED.) 10 BY MS. LUEDTKE: 11 "EDUCATIONAL SERVICES." DO YOU SEE THAT? Q. 12 Α. YES. 13 Q. WHAT IS THIS GENERALLY? 14 Α. IT'S A GROUP OF -- OF PROGRAMS THAT WE PROVIDE TO EDUCATE 15 COACHES AND STUDENT ATHLETES. 16 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. 17 BY MS. LUEDTKE: ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO BACK TO THE LIST HERE. LET'S GO DOWN 18 19 TO THE 27.9 MILLION. DO YOU SEE THAT? 20 A. YEAH. 21 "OTHER ASSOCIATION-WIDE EXPENSES," WHAT ARE THOSE 22 GENERALLY? 23 A. YEAH, THESE ARE LEGAL SERVICES, COMMUNICATIONS, BUSINESS
- 24 INSURANCE, IT'S JUST SORT OF COST OF DOING BUSINESS KIND OF THINGS.

- 1 **Q.** ALL RIGHT. AND BELOW THAT ON 3900, 40.7 MILLION?
- 2 **A.** AND THAT'S GOING TO BE SORT OF THE GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE
- 3 EXPENSES THAT PROBABLY ACCRUE MOST IN THE NATIONAL OFFICE
- 4 THINGS, LIKES ACCOUNTING, I.T. SUPPORT, JUST THE -- AGAIN,
- 6 KIND OF THING, AND THE UNDERLYING BUSINESS INFRASTRUCTURE OF
- 7 THE NATIONAL OFFICE.
- 8 Q. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, MR. PETR.
 - WHAT IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ATHLETIC GRANT IN AID
- 10 SCHOLARSHIP MONEY?
- 11 **THE COURT:** SO WHERE DOES REST OF IT GO? I THINK
- WE'RE UP TO ABOUT 600 MILLION NOW, SO HE'S GOT 300 MILLION TO
- 13 GO.

9

- 14 MS. LUEDTKE: STANDING RIGHT HERE, YOUR HONOR, I
- 15 DON'T KNOW. WE'LL HAVE HIM BACK ON THE STAND ON MONDAY. WE
- 16 CAN HAVE HIM FOLLOW UP ON THAT, YOUR HONOR.
- 17 O. WHAT IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF ATHLETIC GRANT IN AID
- 18 SCHOLARSHIP MONEY AWARDED TO STUDENT ATHLETES IN DIVISION 1?
- 19 **A.** SORRY, THE --
- 20 **Q.** WHAT IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF GRANT -- ATHLETIC GRANT IN AID
- 21 SCHOLARSHIP MONEY AWARDED TO STUDENT ATHLETES IN DIVISION 1?
- 22 A. ACROSS THE WHOLE DIVISION?
- 23 Q. CORRECT.

- A. IT'S ABOUT \$2 BILLION.
- Q. AND OF THAT ABOUT \$2 BILLION, HOW MUCH OF THAT IS GRANT IN

- 1 | AID SCHOLARSHIP MONEY FOR FOOTBALL AND MEN'S BASKETBALL?
- A. ABOUT 500 MILLION.
- Q. NOW, THAT'S NOT COMING FROM THE NCAA NATIONAL OFFICE,
- 4 RIGHT?
- 5 A. NO, THAT WOULD BE GRANTED BY THE INSTITUTIONS.
- MS. LUEDTKE: YOUR HONOR, I'M TRYING TO SEE IF I HAVE

 A FIVE-MINUTE MODULE. WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO START A NEW

 MODULE, OR WOULD YOU LIKE --
- 9 THE COURT: SURE.

(PAUSE IN THE PROCEEDINGS.)

- BY MS. LUEDTKE:
- Q. ALL RIGHT. DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE GOALS STUDY IS?
- 13 **A.** I DO.

10

11

- 14 O. WE'VE HEARD SOME TESTIMONY IN THE TRIAL ABOUT THE GOALS
- 15 STUDY. CAN YOU -- IS YOUR RESEARCH DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBLE FOR
- 16 ADMINISTERING THE GOALS STUDY?
- 17 **A.** YES, WE ARE.
- 18 Q. HOW IS GOALS DATA COLLECTED?
- 19 **A.** THE GOALS STUDY IS A STUDY OF CURRENT STUDENT ATHLETES.
- 20 STUDENT ATHLETES ON OUR CAMPUS AT THE TIME THAT WE ARE
- 21 CONDUCTING THE STUDY. WE WORK THROUGH -- AND THIS IS A MODEL
- 22 WE'VE USED SUCCESSFULLY FOR A LOT OF DIFFERENT STUDIES.
- BUT IN OUR GOALS STUDY, WE WORK THROUGH OUR FACULTY
- 24 ATHLETIC REPRESENTATIVES. THERE IS A FACULTY ATHLETIC REP ON
- 25 ALL OF YOUR CAMPUSES. AND WHAT WE DO IS WE ASK THE FACULTY

ATHLETIC REP TO HELP US ADMINISTER THIS STUDY IN A VERY

SPECIFIC PROCTORED WAY AMONG A SET OF STUDENT ATHLETES. AND

WE ASSIGN THE TEAMS THAT EACH FACULTY REP IS SUPPOSED TO

SURVEY ON THEIR CAMPUS SO THAT WE CAN CONTROL THAT WE HAVE A

REPRESENTATIVE SAMPLE ACROSS SPORT, ACROSS DIVISION, SO THAT

WHEN WE PULL ALL THE DATA TOGETHER, IT'S NATIONALLY

SO WE ASSIGN THE FACULTY REP TWO OR THREE TEAMS THAT WE'D LIKE THEM TO PULL TOGETHER. WE TELL THEM EXACTLY HOW WE WANT THE SURVEY TO BE ADMINISTERED. WE PROVIDE THEM A SCRIPT THAT THEY'RE TO READ AT THE BEGINNING. AND WE -- AND WE ALSO PROVIDE THE APPROPRIATE ENVELOPES THAT CAN BE SEALED SO THAT THE STUDENT ATHLETES CAN RIGHT THERE, AS THEY FINISH THEIR SURVEY, THE SURVEYS GO IN THE ENVELOPED, THEY'RE SEALED, THEY'RE IN A FEDEX ENVELOPE THAT THEN COMES TO A THIRD-PARTY VENDOR FOR DATA KEY.

- Q. DO YOU TAKE THOSE STEPS TO ENSURE THE ACCURACY OF THE DATA?
- A. WE TAKE THOSE STEPS TO ENSURE THE ACCURACY AND TO ENSURE
 THAT STUDENT ATHLETES UNDERSTAND THAT THEIR RESPONSES WILL BE
 KEPT CONFIDENTIAL, YES.
- Q. SO ON THE GOALS STUDY, THEY IDENTIFY THEIR TEAM BUT NOT THEIR NAME?
 - A. YES.

REPRESENTED.

Q. DO THEY IDENTIFY THEIR SCHOOL?

- A. NO.
- Q. WHY DID THE NCAA DECIDE TO CONDUCT THE GOALS STUDY?
- A. WELL, THE REAL GENESIS WAS THAT WE HAD CONDUCTED LOTS OF STUDIES OVER TIME OF -- OF PREDICTIONS OF STUDENT ATHLETES' SUCCESS IN CAMPUS. BUT THOSE ALWAYS FOCUSED ON THINGS LIKE -- THAT WE ALL KNOW ARE PREDICTIVE, GPA IN HIGH SCHOOL, TEST SCORES, TYPES OF COURSES THAT YOU'VE TAKEN. AND WE'VE DONE SOME VERY GOOD STUDIES ON THAT, BUT -- BUT WHERE IT'S US OR IT'S THE TESTING COMPANIES OR IT'S OTHER NATIONAL HIGHER -- RESEARCH ORGANIZATIONS, WE ALL SEE THAT, YOU KNOW, OUR BEST EFFORTS STILL LEAVE 40 TO 50 PERCENT OF THE -- OR 50 TO 60 PERCENT OF THE VARIANCE THAT'S OUT THERE IN TERMS OF FINANCIAL ACADEMIC SUCCESS UNEXPLAINED.

SO ONE OF THE MAIN GOALS WAS TO TRY TO EXPAND ON OUR KNOWLEDGE, WHAT EXPLAINS ACADEMIC SUCCESS, SO YOU COULD HAVE YOU HAVE SOME VERY HIGH LEVEL, PEOPLE WHO BRING IN VERY HIGH CREDENTIALS WHO DON'T SUCCEED. AND YOU HAVE PEOPLE WHO BRING IN VERY LOW CREDENTIALS WHO SUCCEED GRANDLY.

AND SO WE WANTED TO GET AT SOME OF THE OTHER FEATURES THAT MIGHT BE IMPORTANT IN TRYING TO ASSESS WHO WOULD SUCCEED IN COLLEGE AND WHO WON'T. THAT WAS REALLY THE BEGINNING IMPETUS OF IT. BUT THEN WE ADD ON THINGS LIKE JUST -- WE WANT TO KNOW ABOUT YOUR -- WE WANT TO KNOW MORE FROM THE STUDENT ATHLETES DIRECTLY ABOUT THEIR FEELINGS ABOUT THEIR EXPERIENCES ON CAMPUS.

AND SO THERE WAS SORT OF MULTIPLE GOALS HERE, BUT I WOULD 1 2 SAY THE FIRST ONE IS JUST BETTER UNDERSTAND THE SUCCESS AND 3 FAILURE OF STUDENT ATHLETES. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL BREAK FOR THE DAY. 4 5 YOU MAY STEP DOWN, AND I -- THEY PROBABLY WANT YOU BACK AT 6 830 TOMORROW MORNING OR MONDAY MORNING UNLESS THERE'S SOME 7 OTHER SCHEDULE. THANKS. 8 MS. LUEDTKE: YOUR HONOR, BEFORE WE GO COULD, COULD I 9 MOVE TO ADMIT 3308 AND 3900, THE TWO DOCUMENTS, MR. PETR WAS 10 USING? 11 MR. ISAACSON: NO OBJECTION TO 3900, AND NO OBJECTION 12 TO THE PAGES OF 3308 THAT WERE USED WITH THE EXCEPTION OF 22, 13 WHICH I DON'T THINK THERE'S A FOUNDATION FOR. BUT PERHAPS 14 THAT COULD HAPPEN ON MONDAY, AND I WOULD WITHDRAW THE 15 OBJECTION. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 17 MR. ISAACSON: -- WHY HE WOULD KNOW THAT. 18 THE CLERK: ADMITTED, YOUR HONOR? 19 THE COURT: AND WHAT'S THE WITNESS SCHEDULE FOR NEXT 20 WEEK? 21 MR. POMERANTZ: WE WILL COMPLETE MR. PETR, AND THEN 22 THE NEXT THREE WITNESSES -- NOT ABSOLUTELY CERTAIN OF THE ORDERS -- ARE MR. BANOWSKY, WHO IS A CONFERENCE COMMISSIONER, 23 24 MR. --25 THE COURT: SORRY. BANOWSKY?

1 MR. POMERANTZ: BANOWSKY, B-A-N-O-W-S-K-Y; 2 MR. SANKEY, S-A-N-K-E-Y; AND MS. DICKMAN, WHO IS FROM THE 3 NCAA. THOSE WILL BE THE NEXT THREE WITNESSES AFTER MR. PETR. 4 5 THE COURT: AND THEN WHO ARE -- IF YOU COULD JUST TELL ME ALL THE WITNESSES. 6 7 MR. POMERANTZ: SURE. THEN WHAT WE WOULD ANTICIPATE 8 IS WE WOULD GO TO THE TWO SURVEY EXPERTS, OURS FIRST AND THEN 9 THEIRS SECOND. IT'S MR. DENNIS --10 THE COURT: YEAH, I KNOW THOSE. 11 MR. POMERANTZ: OKAY. AND THEN WE WOULD GO TO OUR 12 TWO ECONOMISTS, MS. STIROH, AND DR. RUBINFELD. 13 AND THEN WE WOULD FINISH WITH MR. LEWIS. 14 THERE IS ONE WITNESS WHO WE ARE NOT CERTAIN WHETHER HE CAN 15 COME AND TESTIFY. 16 THE COURT: YOU CAN STEP DOWN, SIR. YOU DON'T NEED 17 TO SIT THERE FOR THIS. 18 I'M SORRY? 19 MR. POMERANTZ: THERE'S ONE WITNESS WE ARE NOT 20 CERTAIN WHETHER HE'LL BE ABLE TO TESTIFY FOR PERSONAL REASONS. 21 THAT'S MR. -- WE'VE BEEN KEEPING THE OTHER SIDE IN THE LOOP ON 22 HIM. 23 THE COURT: WHO'S THAT? 24 MR. POMERANTZ: MR. HOLLIS, H-O-L-I-S. HE'S --25 (OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.)

1 MR. POMERANTZ: OH, I'M SORRY. AND WE -- AND 2 MR. HOLLIS, WHO'S THE ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AT MICHIGAN. 3 AND I DID SKIP ONE. MR. MUIR, WHO YOU REMEMBER FROM STANFORD, HE WILL COME BACK ON TUESDAY TO FINISH HIS 4 5 TESTIMONY. THE COURT: AND THAT'S ALL? 6 7 MR. POMERANTZ: THAT'S -- I THINK THAT'S THE LIST. THE COURT: YOU HAD MENTIONED LENNON EARLIER. IS HE 8 9 OFF? 10 MR. POMERANTZ: WE DON'T BELIEVE HE'LL BE COMING TO 11 TESTIFY. THE COURT: OKAY. 12 13 MR. POMERANTZ: YOUR HONOR, JUST SO THAT -- WE WENT 14 BACK AND ADDED UP THE NUMBERS IN EXHIBIT 3900 WHICH, I BELIEVE 15 IS IN THE RECORD. IT ADDS UP TO \$846 MILLION, AND THAT'S 16 APPROXIMATELY THE REVENUE. I THINK MR. PETR WAS ESTIMATING 17 900 MILLION. BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THAT EXHIBIT, IT -- THE NUMBERS ACTUALLY ADD UP TO \$846 MILLION, AND THEY'RE ALL SET 18 19 FORTH IN THE EXHIBIT. THE COURT: OKAY. 20 21 SO YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BE PRETTY EFFICIENT TO GET ALL 22 THESE WITNESSES CALLED. THEY'LL HAVE TO --23 MR. POMERANTZ: WE HAVE BEEN IN DISCUSSIONS --24 THE COURT: -- CONFINE THEMSELVES TO VERY RELEVANT 25 TESTIMONY AND NOT SPENDING A LOT OF TIME ON THINGS THAT MIGHT

BE NICE, BUT AREN'T REALLY ALL THAT RELEVANT. 1 2 MR. POMERANTZ: YEAH, WE -- WE HAVE A COMMITMENT WITH 3 EACH OTHER THAT WE WILL BE SPLITTING THE AVAILABLE TIME EQUALLY. AND AS -- WE ESTIMATED FOUR AND A HALF HOURS PER 4 5 SIDE, BUT IF ON ANY GIVEN DAY, WE GET LESS THAN FOUR AND A HALF PER SIDE WE, DIVIDE UP THAT TIME AND TAKE IT AGAINST EACH 6 7 SIDE'S TIME. 8 SO WE'RE -- WE'RE COMPARING NOTES EVERY DAY, AND WE FULLY 9 KNOW THAT OUR COMMITMENT IS TO BE DONE BY FRIDAY OF NEXT WEEK, 10 AND WE WILL BE. 11 THE COURT: OKAY. WHAT'S THE SPLIT SO FAR? 12 13 (OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION.) 14 MR. POMERANTZ: UP THROUGH YESTERDAY, 20 HOURS 40 15 MINUTES FOR THE PLAINTIFFS; 17 HOURS 33 MINUTES FOR THE 16 DEFENDANT. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. AND DID YOU GET A CHANCE TO THINK ABOUT WHAT YOU'D LIKE TO DO IN TERMS OF --18 19 MR. POMERANTZ: WE DID. THE COURT: -- ARGUMENT? 20 21 MR. POMERANTZ: I THINK BOTH OF US AGREE THAT -- WHAT 22 WOULD BE HELPFUL TO BOTH OF US WOULD BE IF YOUR HONOR WOULD 23 LET US KNOW WHAT QUESTIONS ARE -- YOU WOULD LIKE US TO BE ABLE TO ADDRESS, AND THEN YOU GIVE US TIME TO THINK ABOUT THEM AND 24 25 PUT TOGETHER OUR THOUGHTS AND RESPOND.

WE HAVE A LITTLE DIFFERENCE OF OPINION, I THINK, AS TO

WHEN WE ADDRESS THE ANSWER -- PROVIDE YOU WITH THE ANSWERS.

THE COURT: NO, THEY AREN'T SPECIFIC QUESTIONS.

THEY'RE LIKE, WHAT IS THE AGREEMENT? WHAT IS THE

ANTICOMPETITIVE CONDUCT? WHAT IS THE ANTITRUST INJURY?

WHAT'S THE ANSWER TO THAT VIS-A-VIS COLLEGE EDUCATION MARKETS?

WHAT'S THE ANSWER TO THAT VIS-A-VIS GROUP LICENSE MARKET?

MR. ISAACSON: SO WE --

THE COURT: LIKE THAT.

MR. ISAACSON: WE'D BE PREPARED TO DO THAT NEXT WEEK,

IF YOU WOULD -- WE WANT TO DO IT IN A WAY THAT'S HELPFUL TO

YOUR HONOR. YOU'RE THE ONE MAKING THE DECISIONS, SO YOU TELL

US WHEN YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THAT OUTLINE FOR YOU AND TELL

US HOW MUCH TIME YOU WOULD LIKE US TO SET ASIDE FOR THAT.

AND WE'D BE HAPPY TO DO -- YOU KNOW, WE COULD DO IT ANY AFTERNOON NEXT WEEK. YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT 30 MINUTES. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS TOTAL BETWEEN THE TWO SIDES. THAT'S A -- OR -- OR PER SIDE. THAT'S NOT A HUGE AMOUNT OF TIME EITHER WAY.

AND IF YOU WANTED US TO ADDRESS THOSE QUESTIONS DURING THAT TIME PERIOD, WE'D BE HAPPY TO DO THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH ANY OF THE CONCERNS I MENTIONED EARLIER. I DIDN'T WANT TO JUST TELL YOU TO DO IT MY WAY, AND THEN HAVE THAT CAUSE YOU DIFFICULTIES IN TERMS OF --

1	(SIMULTANEOUS COLLOQUY.)
2	MR. ISAACSON: I THINK OUR PREFERENCE IS ALWAYS TO
3	MEET THE NEEDS OF THE COURT.
4	MR. POMERANTZ: WE AGREE WITH THAT, YOUR HONOR.
5	WE
6	IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT DIALOGUE WITH US, YOU
7	KNOW, EARLIER, KNOWING, HOWEVER, THAT TO SOME EXTENT, OUR
8	ANSWERS ARE YET TO COME, BECAUSE WE HAVE SOME WITNESSES NEXT
9	WEEK WHO WOULD LIKELY BE PROVIDING HOPEFULLY RELEVANT EVIDENCE
10	FOR YOUR HONOR'S CONSIDERATION.
11	THE COURT: WELL, THAT'S WHY I WONDERED WHETHER YOU
12	MIGHT SAY, OH, WE DON'T WANT TO DO IT IN THE INTERIM. WE WANT
13	TO WAIT TILL IT'S OVER AND DO IT, WHAT, ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON
14	NEXT WEEK? OR COME BACK MONDAY MORNING?
15	MR. POMERANTZ: I THINK BOTH OF US WOULD LIKE TO KNOW
16	SOONER RATHER THAN LATER WHAT QUESTIONS YOU HAVE.
17	MR. ISAACSON: I THINK WE JUST HEARD THE QUESTIONS.
18	THE COURT: PARDON?
19	MR. ISAACSON: I THINK I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION
20	WE JUST HEARD THE QUESTIONS.
21	THE COURT: WELL, AND THEN WE'D GO ON TO WHAT THE
22	PROCOMPETITIVE JUSTIFICATIONS ARE AND SOME ISSUES ABOUT THOSE,
23	AND WHAT ARE THE LESS RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVES? ACTUALLY,
24	THAT IS SOMETHING I'M INTERESTED IN.
25	YOU GAVE ME A PROPOSED INJUNCTION, WHICH IS PRETTY SHORT

1 AND BROAD, BUT THERE MIGHT BE OTHER MORE SPECIFIC REMEDIES 2 THAT YOU MIGHT PROPOSE. 3 YOU MIGHT HAVE PARTICULAR OBJECTIONS TO SOME OF THEIRS THAT THEY'RE PROPOSING. 4 5 MR. HAUSFELD: YOUR HONOR, I THINK --6 THE COURT: -- LESS RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVES, SOME OF 7 THE THINGS ABOUT WHAT IS THE RELEVANCE OF SOME OF THE THINGS 8 WE'VE HEARD ABOUT? WHERE DO THEY SORT OF FIT INTO THE 9 PARADIGM OF ANTITRUST INJURY? 10 I'M NOT CLEAR ON WHY THESE GRADUATION RATES ARE ALL OVER 11 THE MAP THE WAY THEY ARE. WHAT ELSE? 12 13 THAT SORT OF THING. 14 MR. HAUSFELD: I THINK, YOUR HONOR, IN LIGHT OF 15 OUESTIONS THAT YOU JUST ASKED AND THE FACT THAT WE HAVE YET TO 16 HEAR FROM THE DEFENDANTS' ECONOMISTS, IT MIGHT MAKE MORE SENSE 17 TO HAVE US ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS AFTER THEY'VE COMPLETED THEIR TESTIMONY, SINCE IT SEEMS AS IF THEY'RE GOING TO WRAP UP 18 19 THE DEFENSE CASE FOR THE MOST PART AND INCORPORATE AT LEAST 20 THE NCAA'S RESPONSES TO THOSE QUESTIONS FROM THEIR PERSPECTIVE. 21 MR. ISAACSON: I DON'T --22 23 THE COURT: YEAH. 24 MR. ISAACSON: -- MAYBE WE WOULD --25 THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT YOU'D SAY.

1	MR. ISAACSON: MAYBE WE WOULD HAVE A COMMON
2	PREFERENCE FOR FRIDAY AFTERNOON AS OPPOSED TO MONDAY MORNING?
3	YOU'RE GETTING A LOT OF NODS
4	MR. POMERANTZ: THAT WOULD BE MY PERSONAL PREFERENCE.
5	I HAVEN'T TALKED TO MY CLIENT ABOUT IT
6	THE COURT: NEXT FRIDAY AFTERNOON?
7	MR. ISAACSON: YES.
8	MR. HAUSFELD: YES, YOUR HONOR.
9	THE COURT: NOT THIS AFTERNOON.
10	MR. HAUSFELD: CORRECT.
11	THE COURT: AS OPPOSED TO A WEEK FROM MONDAY MORNING?
12	OR ARE YOU
13	MR. ISAACSON: AS OPPOSED TO A WEEK FROM OH, I
14	THOUGHT
15	THE COURT: OR THIS MONDAY MORNING?
16	MR. HAUSFELD: NO. I THINK NEXT FRIDAY AFTERNOON,
17	AFTER THEY COMPLETE THEIR PRESENTATION OF THEIR EVIDENCE.
18	THE COURT: OKAY.
19	SO I CAN'T DO IT WITHOUT A LUNCH BREAK, THOUGH, SO YOU'D
20	HAVE TO PLAN ON UNLESS YOU COULD GET DONE EARLY.
21	BUT IF YOU'RE GOING UP TO 1:29 AND 59 SECONDS, THEN WE'D
22	HAVE TO TAKE A LUNCH BREAK AND COME BACK IN THE AFTERNOON.
23	MR. ISAACSON: YES.
24	THE COURT: THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT TO DO?
25	MR. HAUSFELD: I THINK THAT WOULD THE PREFERENCE.

1 MR. POMERANTZ: I THINK PROBABLY RIGHT. MAYBE WE 2 COULD JUST TALK OVER THE WEEKEND AND COME BACK TO YOU ON 3 MONDAY, BUT THAT SOUNDS ABOUT RIGHT TO ME, TOO. I JUST WANT 4 TO CONSULT WITH MY CLIENT AND THEN WITH MR. HAUSFELD AND 5 MR. ISAACSON. THE COURT: OKAY. 6 7 OR YOU COULD DO IT MONDAY MORNING, I SUPPOSE. BUT I DON'T 8 KNOW WHAT YOUR TRAVEL PLANS ARE. I DON'T WANT TO MAKE YOU 9 STICK AROUND WHEN YOU OTHERWISE WOULDN'T HAVE. 10 SO THAT WAS WHY I WAS TRYING TO GET YOUR PREFERENCES. 11 AFTER YOUR OBVIOUS PREFERENCES OF WHATEVER I WANT, WHAT YOU 12 REALLY WANT. 13 MR. ISAACSON: I THINK FROM THE NODDING HEADS BEHIND 14 US FROM BOTH TABLES, THERE'S A PREFERENCE FOR COMPLETING THIS 15 CASE ON SCHEDULE NEXT WEEK AS -- INCLUDING ANY ARGUMENTS. 16 AND WE WOULD -- AND WE WOULD DO THE WORK TO ACHIEVE THAT. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. THE CLERK: JUDGE, I JUST NEED CLARIFICATION. 18 19 EXHIBIT 3308, EXCLUDING PAGE 22, AND 3900 ARE ADMITTED? 20 THE COURT: YES. 21 THE CLERK: OKAY. 22 THE COURT: ALTHOUGH THEY THINK THEY MIGHT BE ABLE TO 23 GET PAGE 22 IN LATER. 24 THE CLERK: OKAY. 25

(DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 3308, PGS 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 23 1 2 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) (DEFENDANTS' EXHIBIT 3900 RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE) 3 MR. HAUSFELD: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 4 5 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 6 (PROCEEDINGS WERE CONCLUDED AT 1:41 P.M.) 7 --000--8 9 10 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS 11 12 WE CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT 13 FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. 14 WE FURTHER CERTIFY THAT WE ARE NEITHER COUNSEL FOR, RELATED 15 TO, NOR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THE ACTION IN WHICH 16 THIS HEARING WAS TAKEN, AND FURTHER THAT WE ARE NOT 17 FINANCIALLY NOR OTHERWISE INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THE 18 ACTION. Disn E. Skillnen 19 20 DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR, RPR, FCRR 21 22 23 RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR FRIDAY, JUNE 20, 2014 24 25